



National Reporting of SDG Statistics
Planning and launching NRPs: practical suggestions from national experiences
Wednesday 18 April 2018
Maciej Truszczynski



DANMARKS STATISTIK

Layout

- The process
- Considerations
- Possibilities
- What has worked for us

The process (1)

- Soft start with brainstorming followed by a strict project management.
- Establishing a Steering Group, consisting of all the involved divisions. Sense of ownership.



The process (2)

- Early definition of what we want to include in the platform, followed by a reality check of what is feasible within the deadline:
 - Statistical follow-up
 - HLPF themes, a more profound insight
 - Sub national level (regions and municipalities)
 - Private Sector
- Aspects of working with data platform
 - Provision of data
 - IT
 - Contacts with stakeholders



Considerations (1)

- Many stakeholders,
 - not always with a common agenda, but with many ideas – important to point out that there are costs connected.
 - Data from stakeholders – a challenge in matching their expectations and regular statistical work.
 - Many portals on 2030 Agenda – how to stand out/how to ensure some form of coordination?
- Open source question
 - Decision on using the existing IT system in Statistics Denmark – already know-how and compatibility (particularly with StatBank) – no additional capacity building needed.



Considerations (2)

- How to evaluate qualitative indicators (fx 5.1.1) - relevant Danish or international organizations?
- How to facilitate a discussion on HLPF with a view to (to the extent possible) having a coordinated national position?
- Ensuring that the shown development will be subject for discussion and not the figures – requires consultations.



Some of the possibilities

- Development of new indicators – national poverty line in case of Statistics Denmark
- New cooperation areas and strengthening visibility?
 - Clarification of cooperation modalities with private sector and their organizations
 - Clarification with municipalities and regions about subnational follow-up



What has worked for us?

- Early investment in time in order to place statistics on the agenda of the Danish follow up on the SDGs
 - Dialogue
 - Visibility
 - Frequent briefings
 - Participation in various events relating to the SDG
- ⇒ this has led to the recognition of the importance of statistics in the process and, subsequently, funding
- Openness towards discussing the statistical follow up on the 2030 Agenda
- Very positive feedback on Statistics Denmark's coordinating role – not everyone seems to enjoy statistical reporting (sic!)
- From the outset being clear on that Statistics Denmark has the final say on the data for the follow-up.



...and do not forget human factor



Thank you