

















Institutional arrangements and methodological issues in the compilation of BOP and NA

- Results of the survey

UNECE Statistical Division

Workshop on the implementation 2008 SNA: Consistency between national accounts and balance of payments statistics

3-5 October 2017, Minsk, Crowne Plaza Minsk Hotel

Questionnaire



- I. Institutional arrangements
- II. Data collection and production
- III. Evaluation and plans for improvement
- IV. Specific issues
- 18 countries (20 replies)





I. Institutional arrangements



- Responsible compilers
 - National Accounts: NSOs (1 country ROW by CB)
 - Balance of payments: CB (1 country Ministry of Economy)
 - Financial accounts: Split between NSO or CB
 - Produced and published in 5 countries (2 NSOs, 3 CB)
 - Experimental or partial in 7 countries
 - Not produced in 6 countries
- Subject to the Statistical Law
 - NSO in all countries (produce and disseminate)
 - CB in most countries
 - Not inlouded in 2 countries
 - Not clear in 4 (only as data provider)





I. Institutional arrangements



- Cooperation mechanisms
 - Agreements (MoU) between NSO and CB in all countries
 - In 4 countries included in statistical work program
 - Often agreements involve also MoF or other institutions (Customs, Ministry of Economy, etc.)
 - In 2 countries specifically focused on the 2008 SNA implementation, in others broader for compilation of macoreconomic statistics
 - Mostly for data exchange (rarely to improve quality or implement classifications, methods)
 - Working groups in half of the countries
 - Dealing more with methodology
 - Discussing major revisions, consistency





- Data sources to compile BoP and ROW
 - BOP (Customs, CB, NSO, ITRS, MoF, etc.)
 - Current account: customs data (often first processed by NSO); bank reports to CB; CB & NSO surveys on services trade; ITRS; enterprise surveys; administrative and other data from public authorities, trade in energy from respective agencies, FDI survey
 - Capital account: ITRS; household surveys; MoF; estimations based on customs data
 - Financial account: data reported from banks and other financial institutions to CB; data for insurance companies from supervising agencies; FDI survey; MoF; monetary statistics
 - Data are used both for direct calculations, as well as for cross-checks
 - ROW ← BOP + adjustments









- Coordination of production. Consistency.
 - **BoP ROW** (ROW is not compiled in 2 countries; Sector accounts are often compiled only annually)
 - BOP data is the source for ROW
 - CB typically responsible for data collection
 - Feedback from the adjustments made by NSO is needed
 - Broad coordination information only on main revisions, ad-hoc comparisons (Kyrgyzstan, Moldova...)
 - Some countries have more coordinated production
 - Regular consistency checks, WG, removing differences, etc Financial – non-financial accounts (Work in progress in many countries)
 - Regular checks and synchronization (Russia, Serbia, Turkey, Ukraine, ...)







- Components contributing most to inconsistencies
 - No analysis of the discrepancies in about half of the countries
 - Lack of feedback of NSO adjustments
 - Most often to inconsistencies contribute:
 - Estimates for non-observed economy (e.g. household sector, illegal trade, narcotics)
 - Remittances/Primary income (households)/Other current transfers
 - FISIM
 - Other items mentioned by individual countries
 - CIF/FOB adjustments
 - Classification/coverage of certain transactions or institutional sectors









- Revision and publication calendars separately for BoP and NA exist in most countries.
- Regular national accounts revisions follow and incorporate BoP revisions. Back link is rare
- In some countries BoP switched to BPM6 before 2008 SNA was introduced (Kazakhstan, Tajikistan, ...)
- Synchronisation of revision policies and calendars
 - Synchronized revision policies in 6 countries
 - Coordination of annual releases and when major revisions occur in another 7 countries
 - Revision policies are less coordinated in others
- Publication calendars –no common releases,
 BoP usually published first





III. Evaluation of the current situation and future plans



- Strengths
 - Cooperation between NSO and CB
 - Coordinated data collection and data sharing
 - Better use of resources and expertise
 - Different data sources allow cross-checking data
 - Good cooperation with relevant international organisations
- Areas for further development
 - Further strengthening cooperation, feedback, improving consistency
 - Common IT tools, automated data processing
 - Full implementation of SNA/BPM, sector accounts, coverage
 - Obstacles to data sharing, confidentiality
 - Improving specific areas: Goods for processing, merchanting, FISIM,
 CIF/FOB adjustment, cash transfers, etc.







- Coverage of the institutional sectors
 - Consistent coverage of institutional sectors in all but 2 countries
 - Under-coverage linked mainly to some household transactions
 - BoP can not always provide the necessary sector breakdown
 - Classification of institutional sectors (in some countries still under development) in 8 countries
 - Working groups including CB, MoF and NSO exist in some countries







- Unit for large multinational companies
 - No specialized LCU in any country
 - MNEs part of the regular collection and processing of enterprises data
 - MNEs do not have a big impact on the economy
 - FATS surveys conducted in some countries









- Specific BoP/ROW items coordination of methods
 - Good coordination of estimation methods and adjustments for most BOP-ROW items in 3 countries
 - In most countries partial coordination: most often mentioned
 CIF/FOB, compensation of employees/remittances, travel services
 - In many countries CB are in charge for estimating the respective items, NSOs are using as data source
 - In some countries BoP switched to BPM6 earlier -> no coordination
 - FISIM, goods for processing, merchanting and remittances were indicated challenging areas by several countries









- Trade asymmetry reconciliation exercises
 - Carried out in about half of the countries, predominantly by the CB
 - CEFTA reconciliation of trade in services, FDI and FATS: Albania, Moldova, Montenegro (planned), Serbia (planned)
 - Bilateral comparisons of foreign trade in goods and services in EAEU:
 Belarus, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan
 - Bilateral reconciliation of BoP positions:
 Belarus-Russian Federation, Belarus-Ukraine,
 Kyrgyzstan-Russian Federation
 - Periodical or ad-hoc mirror comparisons with selected partner countries









- Topics to be discussed in the workshop
 - Consistency between NA and BOP (coordination of the work, data collection and data sharing, impact of 2008 SNA/BPM6)
 - Compilation of financial accounts, consistency with non-financial
 - Goods for processing, merchanting
 - Globalization
 - Remittances
 - FISIM
 - Other items: CIF/FOB, extended SUT, tourism services, reinvested earnings, SPEs, capital transfers, NOE, etc.







Thank you!

UNECE Statistical Division

3 October 2017, Minsk

















