• Highlights of measuring global production
  – Firm-level heterogeneity
  – Extended supply-use tables and trade in value added
  – Microdata link project

• Challenges in measuring global production
  – Need for big (linked) data sets
  – Prices and volumes
  – Factoryless goods producers
  – Multinational enterprises

• Conclusion and way forward
Table 2: 'Ideal' breakdown of columns and rows in SU tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foreign Owned</th>
<th>Domestically owned MNE</th>
<th>Domestic Owned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>Exporters</code></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With high Import orientation</td>
<td>With low Import orientation</td>
<td><code>Non-Exporters</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>Non-Exporters</code></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OECD Expert Group on Extended Supply-Use Tables: Terms of Reference
Highlights—proof of concept for United States

• Tabulations from tax returns for all U.S. firms
• BEA data on activities of multinational enterprises (AMNEs)
• Data from Supply-Use tables (SUTs)
  – Decompose production components gross output
    • Domestic and imported intermediates
    • Components of value added
  – Globally-engaged MNEs and entirely domestic firms
    • Working paper: http://bea.gov/papers/working_papers.htm
    • Research spotlight: http://www.bea.gov/scb/toc/0516cont.htm
Highlights—extended SUTs and trade in value added

- Merge firm heterogeneity project with U.S. SUTs
- Incorporate modeling on basic price valuation
- Produce extended SUTs with heterogeneity for 33 OECD industries and 35 products; develop associated TiVA indicators


Firm-level heterogeneity: value added as a share of output, selected industries 2011
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Firm-level heterogeneity: Long-run link project

• Data:
  – Census Bureau
    • Economic censuses and annual surveys
    • Trade in goods
  – BEA
    • AMNEs
    • Trade in services

• Five-year project started in April 2016
  – Links completed for 2007 and 2012, for both inward and outward investment
  – Semiconductor case study: major production variables complete by firm type and ownership
  – Import use by 4-digit NAICS by 10 digit harmonized code
Challenges in measuring global production—big data and institutional arrangements

• Requires “big data” sets to work off from
  – Enterprise statistics, size class data, establishment data, and trade data (among others) collected from...
  – Enterprise and MNE surveys, economic censuses and surveys, services trade surveys, administrative data, tax data, and customs records
  – Not all of this information is readily available so creativity is crucial

• May require establishing institutional arrangement within the economy
  – In United States, BEA and Census Bureau are involved with project
  – Microdata link project will run five years with goal of identifying heterogeneity tabulations
  – To do this on an ongoing basis, statistical systems may need to better integrate enterprise and establishment data
Challenges in measuring global production—prices and volumes

• Globalization complicates input price measurement
  – Input prices required to construct real value added and multifactor productivity
  – Challenges in measuring trade prices, e.g. (Feenstra and Romalis, 2013)
  – Pricing to market implies output prices may not be a good proxy for intermediate input prices (Samuels and Soloveichik 2016)
  – Intangibles: priced mostly based on local input costs, but used globally, often with price discrimination across countries
Challenges in measuring global production—factoryless goods producers

• Unresolved: Industrial classification
  – Can FGPs be identified at the establishment level?
    • Ongoing work in the U.S. suggests no
  – What industries have been considered?
    • Distribution, manufacturing, head office, R&D services

• Unresolved: Treatment of transactions
  – Summary in UN Guide to Measuring Global Production
    • \textit{BPM6} seems to suggest \underline{merchanting} (paragraph 10.42)
    • Contract manufacturer ownership of material inputs is not consistent with \textit{manufacturing services on physical inputs owned by others}
    • \textit{General merchandise} results in output recorded in two countries

• More clarification and decisions are needed
Challenges in measuring global production—multinational enterprises

• Transfer pricing
  – Lack of market equivalents generates gaps between transfer price and arm’s length price for some products
    • Intangibles unique to a firm
    • Headquarter services
    • Firm-specific financing arrangements
  – Mismeasurement limited because transfer prices are subject to strict regulatory scrutiny and enforcement by tax authorities

• Structuring for purposes other than production
  – Arises when MNEs take advantage of heterogeneous tax laws and other regulations across countries
  – Facilitates artificial location of production and related income as well as strategic location of financial assets and liabilities → mismeasurement
  – Generates a wedge between the locations of production, underlying factors of production, and means for financing production → mismeasurement
Conclusion and way forward

• Proof-of-concept analysis validates firm-level heterogeneity across industries
  – Although available data has limitations
  – Next step to develop extended tables for 2005 and 2012

• Development of complimentary globalization statistics worth pursuing despite the need for patience and creativity
  – Microdata link
    • Complete case study and further tabulations between 2007 and 2012
    • Develop specification for an ongoing heterogeneity tabulation

• Expand research agenda for global production...much to do!

• Time to rethink data collections?
  – Well established collection mechanisms need to change for the times, but how to get past institutional inertia?