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What is ECAPOV?

- The ECAPOV (ECA Poverty) is an ex-post harmonization effort for Eastern Europe and Central Asia (ECA) countries based on available household budget surveys (HBS) and Living Standards Measurement Surveys (LSMS).

- There are multiple versions/vintages depending on the exercise and the period of analysis.

- ECAPOV has been founded around 2000 in ECA PREM, was sponsored by the ECA Chief Economists Office.
Modules in the ECAPOV database

• It has several modules (added some recently)
  
  • Individual characteristics (module 2)
  
  • Consumption/welfare module (module 3)
  
  • Utilities module (module 4)
  
  • Social Protection and social assistance modules (module 6)
  
  • Income module (module 7)
  
  • Assets and services (module 9)
  
  • National welfare aggregate module (module 10 - new)
History

1998
ECAPOV
- Book
- Harmonization

2007-2012
ECAPOV
- Harmonization
- Global Poverty Monitoring
- Regional Poverty Monitoring

2012 to present
ECATSD
Monitoring Twin Goals
- Harmonization
- Global Poverty and SP Monitoring
- Regional Poverty and SP Monitoring
Supporting Operationalization of the Twin Goals
- Regional data management
- Thematic Briefs
- Portal (ECADATALAB)
- Dashboards / Dataviz
- Tools
- Cross GP products
- Quality control
- Open Data Access (internal WB)
- Just in time requests
Coverage of the ECAPOV database

Currently available for....

MODULES & VARIABLES

232 Expenditure
85 Income
222 Household Characteristics

29 countries.
236 surveys.
18 years (1995-2014).
What is the purpose?

- Comparable data series within and across countries
- Ensure regional comparability data and statistics
- Enable scalability on the process of data harmonization
- Enable greater efficiency in the use of statistics for regional research and policy dialogue
- Share the knowledge between teams and units
- Provide easy access to the raw/original and harmonized data through the `datalibweb` system (Stata-based ado command)
What are difficulties?

*Components of the harmonization are not strictly comparable in the ECA region because...*

**Countries have different systems:** i.e. Years of required primary education range from 4 to 6.

**Surveys are not kept unchanged through time:** i.e. In MDA 2006 the HBS was substantially modified. Main changes came from sampling frame, sampling areas, and questionnaires.

**Surveys do not have the same modules:** i.e. HBS reports expenditure on health but not prevalence. Only 9 HBS surveys had a health section.

**Questions are not asked in the same way:** i.e. In BIH 2010 the reference period for expenditure during holidays or vacations had changed from “last month” to “12 months.”

**Questions do not have the same categories:** i.e. In BIH 2010 the labor status question had a detailed category non-existing in previous years. Employment had been divided into full-time and part-time employment and unemployment into previously unemployed and seeking first time employment.
What are the compromises?

Prioritize country–time comparison more than cross-country comparison
The first priority is comparability within all years of available data for each country.

Harmonized variables might be different from the NSO definitions.
This means that indicators could be different and can present a challenge for discussing policy implication, for example when the poverty rates are different. Transparency is our main remedy.

Harmonization is done with available surveys
Most available surveys in ECA region are HBS surveys designed to gather information about expenditure, not consumption.
Who is our audience?

ECAPOV data is meant to **complement** and **not substitute** national official poverty estimates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO?</th>
<th>WHAT?</th>
<th>FORMATING?</th>
<th>DATA</th>
<th>TOOLs</th>
<th>ANALYTICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TTL, STC, ETC</td>
<td>Tailored</td>
<td>Self or other Teams</td>
<td>Microdata: X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indicators: X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTL</td>
<td>Tailored</td>
<td>ECATSD</td>
<td>Microdata: X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indicators: X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTL, STC, ETC, External Clients</td>
<td>Off-the-self</td>
<td>Self</td>
<td>Microdata: X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indicators:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WHO?**
- TTL, STC, ETC

**WHAT?**
- Tailored
- Self or other Teams
- ECATSD
- Off-the-self

**FORMATING?**
- Self or other Teams
- ECATSD
- Self

**DATA**
- Microdata: X
- Indicators: X

**TOOLs**
- X

**ANALYTICS**
- X

*World Bank Group*
How do we structure the data?

• The data and programs (scripts) were organized in the hierarchical structures, with the following structures:
  • CCC – Country code
  • YYYYY – Survey year
  • SSSS – acronym of the survey (i.e. HBS, LSMS, HIES)
  • vNN_M – version of master/original data
  • vMM_A – version of harmonized/adaptation data
  • i – ECAPOV modules (i=2,3,…,10)
Module 3: Consumption - Set of variables

• Household level data
• General Survey Information
• General HH Characteristics
• HH Consumption Information
• Consumption aggregates
Welfare: income vs. consumption

To examine poverty and inequality, one needs a measure of material well-being. Ideally, this measure should correspond as closely as possible to the way a person experiences his or her standard of living.

**Income** is often considered to be the preferred measure because it is an indicator of the “potential” to enhance welfare. However, measuring income suffers from several defects, both in theory and in practice:

- Can be highly volatile
- Income underreporting is common in transition countries (respondents not willing to fully disclose illegal or semi-legal income sources)
- Inability to separate out what is “household” income and what is “business income” in households engaged in informal activities

Given the problems with income-based measurement, and the practices of countries in the Region to measure poverty, ECAPOV relies on measuring welfare with consumption.
Comparable Consumption Aggregate

ECAPOV deals with issues of comparability with the following methodologies that are applied consistently across the region:

• Estimates of flow of services of durables, or durable purchases or rents are not used since data availability limits the application of this approach to all countries.

• Higher consumption should indicate a higher level of well-being. For health expenditures, this correspondence is questionable.

• Own food production and reciprocal exchange with other households and institutions are taken into account by using the median local prices to impute the value of in-kind food consumption and households’ own estimations of the value of gifts and transfers in-kind for food and nonfood items.

• To limit the effect of extreme values at either end of the distribution, the data are “bottom-coded” at 1 per cent of per capita mean real consumption and “top-coded” at 10 times the median of household consumption. The final data set excludes all records with zero consumption.
Price Deflation and Equivalence Scale

Deflation

• Across Time - ECAPOV uses Quarterly CPI (IMF) indexes to compute real values across time.
  • This measure ignores the differential impact of price increases on the poor and non-poor. Unfortunately, No price indexes for low-income groups are routinely available in the Region.

• Across Areas/Countries - The Paasche price index is used to account for regional price differences
  • This index involves not only the prices faced by a household in relation to the reference prices but also its expenditure pattern
  • The spatial price deflator is based entirely on differences in food prices given data limitations.

Equivalence

• Per capita household consumption is used to attribute the share of a household’s resources to individuals within the household
Set of variables

- **General HH Characteristics**
  - hhid (we preserve original hhid)
  - hhsize
  - weight
  - popw
  - region
  - urban
  - rdef

- **Consumption aggregates**
  - gall (excluding health, rent, durables)
  - gallT (including health, rent, durables) \( \leftarrow \) preferred
  - gallT2 (shared prosperity)

- **HH Consumption Information**
  - g1pc: Food
  - g2pc: Alcohol and tobacco
  - g3pc: Clothing
  - g4pc: Housing/Utilities
  - g5pc: Furnishings/HH Equipment
  - health
  - g7pc: Transport
  - g8pc: Communications
  - g9pc: Recreation
  - g10pc: Education
  - g11pc: Hotels/Restaurants
  - g12pc: Miscellaneous
  - rent
  - durables
Share of 1-digit COICOP groups overtime

Source: ECATSD calculations using ECAPOV and EUSILC data
Module 2: Individual characteristics

- Individual level data
- General Survey Information
- Core Individual Characteristics
- Other info (not necessarily standardized across survey)
Module 2: Individual characteristics

- Core Individual Characteristics
  - hhid
  - hhsize
  - pid
  - age
  - female 1-female, 0-male
  - reltohead 1-head, 2-spouse, 3-children, 4-parents, 5-other relative, 6-other non-relative
  - marstat 1-Married, 2-Single, 3-Living Together, 4-Divorced/Separated, 5-Widow/er
  - edlev 1-incomplete 5-12, 2-general secondary, 3-special secondary, 4-tertiary, 5-none or incomplete primary
  - lfstot 1-employee, 2-self employed, 3-unemployed, 4-retired, 5-student, 6-OLF

- Other info (not necessarily standardized across survey)
  - occup Army, Managers, Professionals, Technicians, Clerks, Service and sales workers, Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, Craft and related trades workers
  - sector private, public, mixed
Module 2: Individual characteristics (other variables)

Other variables also included
- Sector of work activity (4 or 10 categories)
- Type of contract (temporary, permanent, other)
- Form of employment (full-time, part-time, other)
- More detailed education level (complete vs. incomplete)
Module 4: Utilities

• This module contains information on energy, water and urban services at the household level

• It corresponds to the COICOP (2-3 digit level items)

• It includes non-purchased items such as received in-kind or self-collected items

• It is recently added, thus cover not all countries and years
Module 6: Social Protection and Social Assistance

• Individual level data
• General Survey Information
• Core Variables

M10  Old age pension
M11  Disability benefits
M12  Health and life insurance
M13  Survivor pension
M14  Maternity allowance
M15  Other social insurance
M16  Other pension
M20  Social assistance
M21  Child and family benefits
M22  Scholarship
M23  Housing and utilities
M24  Disability - social assistance
M26  Other benefits
M27  Privileges
M30  Unemployment insurance
M40  Subsidies and veterans
M50  Money from relatives/friends in country
M51  Remittances from outside
Module 7: Income

- Individual level data
- General Survey Information
- Core Variables

- `totinc` 1. annual total income excludes 4,5,11,12
- `oinc` 2. annual other income
- `wage` 3. annual wage income 4+5
- `hired_wage` 4. annual employees wage
- `self_wage` 5. annual self employed wage
- `SA` 6. annual social assistance
- `remit` 7. annual remittances
- `pension` 8. annual pension
- `child_benefit` 9. annual child and family benefits
- `unemp` 10. annual unemployment benefit
- `public` 11. annual wage from public sector
- `private` 12. annual wage from private sector
- `property` 13. annual income from property, K, L
- `agr_inc` 14. annual agricultural income
Module 9: Assets and Services

• Individual level data
• Contains access on assets and services
  • Basic opportunities include access to education (primary, secondary, and post-secondary);
  • Basic infrastructure (central heating, gas, piped water, sewerage, toilet, electricity, refrigerator, phone, and personal computer).
Module 10: National welfare aggregate module

- Household level data
- Contain national welfare aggregates, which the countries produced, available in
  - One variable includes the total welfare
  - When possible, include 12 COICOP group variables
  - Poverty lines
  - Weights
ECAPOV: Challenges

Coding of expenditure (COICOP)

Standardization and norms are very important.

Durables

HBS surveys only gather information about the durables acquired in the reference period. Neither information about the stock of durables nor information about how long households keep their durables is reported. So far, the harmonized welfare aggregate included the total amount paid for the durables acquired in the reference period.

Rent Imputation

Most surveys do not have a self-reported rent question (implicit rent, and when they do, they do not always ask this question in the same way). Not all HBS gather household characteristics that can be used in a hedonic model. Another challenge is that not all countries have a developed dwelling rental market, which makes the imputation more difficult (i.e. in KSV 2011, only 23 households pay a rent).

Health expenditure

A decision whether or not to include health expenditure has to be made. The elasticity of the expenditure in health with respect to the total expenditure differs among countries.
ECAPOV: ACCESS

Harmonized data can be accessed online through the internal World Bank’s Microdata Library.

Documentation
Metadata documented using DDI standard

Access Control
All users must submit request to access microdata (terms of use of original data producer are kept).

The WB has been providing Technical Assistance and transferring this technology to our countries.
ECAPOV is available for poverty, inequality and shared prosperity monitoring in the region and follows the **NSO guidelines for terms of use.**
ECAPOV: ANONYMITY

All of our data is anonymous. Terms of use explicitly forbid any attempt to de-anonymize data.

The World Bank has been providing Technical Assistance on microdata anonymization.
ECAPOV users can monitor trends and levels and make regional wide analysis.

World Development Indicators (PovCalNet)
Shared Prosperity
Poverty Reduction/Projections
Economic groups
Decomposition
Profiling
Poverty Reduction

POVERTY RATE IN ECA 2000-2013

Source: ECATSD calculations using ECAPOV and EUSILC data
Note: Regional trends are population weighted and include estimates
Shared Prosperity

Shared Prosperity in ECA (circa 2007-2012)

Annualized Consumption/Income Growth

Source: ECATSD calculations using ECAPOV and EUSILC data
... and in many cases the vulnerable group accounts for a significant share of the B40

Source: ECATSD calculations using ECAPOV and EUSILC data
In 2013, 32.3% of households were living between US$5.00 and US$10.
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