

---

*Written comments gathered from the participants on the draft guidelines for setting targets and reporting under the Protocol*

*(Based on 19 responses)*

# Part I

---

- Add and articulate the climate change
- Further stress the importance of baseline study by using different tools e.g. rapid assessment for drinking water quality and analyzing the existing data from all possible sources ( different sector, as well as NGO's)
- Clarify if all the targets need to be set at the same time, or targets in some areas could be set at later stage
- To add information on coordination mechanisms between different ministries
- Further clarification and definition of stakeholders

# Part I

---

- Issues related to raising awareness, need for education
- To stress that this is an overarching instrument assuring the universal access to water (in the legislation and; duty of all governments)
- Criteria for prioritization of targets
- The relation setting targets between local and national levels
- It should be more country specific

# Part II

- Para 117 (possible indicators) – add diarrhoea , blue baby syndrome disease, and make suggestion for further parameters. i.e. often used pesticides (e.g. Atrazin)
- Ask to report on more diseases...(especially on these which are difficult to detect at early stages)
- Add something on the management and storm water, as it has a big effect on quality of water
- Climate change, link with sanitation
- Include systems for emergency situations, plans for dealing with outbreak of water related diseases

# Possible case studies

- Setting “local targets” using the water safety plans for schools, developed by WECF
- Case study from water works city of Munich (deal between the city and the farmers not to use pesticides)
- Presentation on rapid assessment and the case of Tajikistan, presentation of Hungary
- Practical example on setting targets on/consider climate change
- Examples from countries which already set the targets in the “boxes” or in the annex
- Examples of broad consultations with the public (MAMA 86, WECF)
- Progress in Moldova on setting targets
- Target setting based on Armenian practice in the Marmarik basin
- Cases from Armenia, Scotland and Belarus

# Additional comments

- Sometimes too much focus on piped systems, there is also the technical options of dry sanitation, and treatment at service
- Presentation of programme of measures to achieve targets
- Same corrections of the Russian version needed
- Add material on raising awareness, educational programmes
- Address the issue of capacity building
- Environmental permits
- Standards for discharges to water bodies
- Example on how “environmental” target can be related or adapted to the health aspect
- It’s moving in the right direction!