

From: "valab"
To: "aarhus compliance"
Cc: [email addresses redacted]
Date: 19/03/2015 09:27
Subject: SV: ACCC/C/2013/81 (Sweden): Update from the Party concerned from B.Stümer

19.3.2015
Till
UN/ECE
Communication ACCC/C/2013/81

Already 10/03/2015 I informed the UN / ECE about the verdict Land and Environmental Court of appeal 9.3.2015.

Yesterday, 18/03/2015 at 21:10 I received information that Sweden has submitted information on the the same judgment.

Sweden now says that the verdict is based on that sea eagles are nesting nearby.

Not one of all the objections that we complainants since 2008 demonstrably put forward as a reason to refuse planning permission for the machines vindkraftverlk on Helgarö are mentioned in one word.

Demonstrably Land and Environment Court in its basis for judgment 05.15.2014 has entered the complainants objections on at least 13 pages of which eagles are mentioned with a few lines.

We complainant asked for denials of planning permission with reference to landscape protection, sensitive habitats, roads in the area, noise and shadows, lawlessness in the form of that decision is contrary to EU Machinery Directive, Aarhus Convention, etc. and of course that eagles nest in the area.

The Court annuls the building permit with reference to the PBL Chapter 8, Section 20 -When application is made, the drawings, descriptions and information otherwise are to be submitted as required for the trial ... -

Which means that the application documents were judged to be incomplete and indicates lack of eagle invention as examples of deficiencies.

When the Swedish church solely indicates eagles in his appeal to the Land and Environmental Court of appeal and subsequently gets leave to appeal the complainants makes objections only against the church's statements about eagles

Then Land and Environmental Court of appeal based its judgment solely on eagles and did not consider in one word all the objections of human suffering and lawlessness that are included in the case.

That verdict means that in matters concerning the dangerous machines wind turbines in nature courts need not take into account people's health and wellbeing.

That restriction of a legal system is an insult to human and the democratic society.

We complainant does not accept this treatment.

We complainant requests that the UN / ECE will clarify the state of Sweden that the handling of permits for the construction of wind turbines shall be amended so that it corresponds to the Aarhus Convention's provisions which Sweden has pledged to follow.

Bernd Stümer
Väla Gård, Helgarö
64592 Strängnäs Sweden