THE UNECE CONVENTION ON ACCESS TO INFORMATION, PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS (AARHUS CONVENTION)

TASK FORCE ON ACCESS TO INFORMATION

ELECTRONIC INFORMATION TOOLS: CASE STUDY BY European Environment Agency

REPORTNET 3

The modern reporting tool for national data submission towards EU climate and environment legislation

[https://www.eionet.europa.eu/reportnet/reportnet-3.0]

I. Description

1. Brief description: Reportnet 3 is the successor of Reportnet, which has been in operation since 2000. It will handle the vast majority (currently 110) of environmental data flows in Europe and is designed to match the reporting requirement of the coming one or two decades.

2. Type: [governmental]

3. Scope: [National, European]

4. Working language(s): en

5. Target users: data reporters and users, policy makers

6. Starting year: 2018

7. Budget and funding source: DG Environment 3.5 M€

8. Contact:

   [Deniz Aydemir, stakeholder manager, deniz.aydemir@eea.europa.eu]

II. Implementation

9. Policy, legal and institutional context:

10. Partner organizations involved: EEA Member Countries, DGs on Environment, Clima and Energy

11. Stakeholders involved, their expected benefits: improved and streamlined environmental e-Reporting

12. User needs and methods of their assessment: User needs have been widely collected and captured in a requirement catalogue. Based on that user stories are identified which guide the technical developments

13. Technology choice: Modern, micro-service based IT architecture

III. Evaluation

14. Results: An operational system serving a set of selected data flows will be delivered early 2021. A first beta is available as of September 2019.

15. Efficiency gains: streamlined reporting, more scalable and flexible, allows handling of more data flows and higher data volumes including new types of data

16. Risks: a risk register is maintained as part of the project
17. Challenges encountered (please indicate resolved or not): The migration Reportnet 2 to Reportnet 3 needs good planning and resourcing which is been prepared

18. Lessons learned: too early to evaluate

19. Conditions for successful replication: too early to evaluate

20. Overall assessment of the tool: too early to evaluate