

# **ENDOSULFAN**

**Draft Dossier prepared in support of a proposal of endosulfan to be considered as a candidate for inclusion in the UN-ECE LRTAP protocol on persistent organic pollutants**

**German Federal Environment Agency – Umweltbundesamt, Berlin  
September 2004**

<b>PART I</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>1 CHEMICAL IDENTITY</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>2 PHYSICAL - CHEMICAL PROPERTIES</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>3 CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>4 POP CHARACTERISTICS</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>4.1 POTENTIAL FOR LONG-RANGE ATMOSPHERIC TRANSPORT</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4.1.1 Volatilization from surfaces</b> .....	<b>4</b>
4.1.1.1 Laboratory experiments	4
4.1.1.2 Field studies	4
<b>4.1.2 Fate and Behaviour in the Troposphere</b> .....	<b>5</b>
4.1.2.1 Air-Water Partitioning	5
4.1.2.2 Gas-Particle Partitioning	5
4.1.2.3 Degradation in Air	6
<b>4.1.3 Measured concentrations in non-target areas</b> .....	<b>8</b>
4.1.3.1 Short- to Medium Range Distance	8
4.1.3.2 Remote areas	10
4.1.3.3 Biota	13
4.1.3.4 Mountainous Areas	14
<b>4.2 ECOTOXICITY</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>4.2.1 Fish</b> .....	<b>15</b>
4.2.1.1 Acute Toxicity	15
4.2.1.2 Chronic Toxicity	16
<b>4.2.2 Aquatic Invertebrates</b> .....	<b>16</b>
4.2.2.1 Acute Toxicity	16
4.2.2.2 Effects on Reproduction	16
<b>4.2.3 Algae</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>4.2.4 Sediment dwelling organisms</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>4.2.5 Metabolites</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>4.2.6 Endocrine disruption</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>4.2.7 Other effects</b> .....	<b>18</b>
<b>4.3 BIOACCUMULATION</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>4.3.1 Octanol-Water Partition Coefficient</b> .....	<b>19</b>
<b>4.3.2 Bio-concentration Factors</b> .....	<b>19</b>
4.3.2.1 Fish	19
4.3.2.2 Plankton	20
4.3.2.3 Biomagnification	20
<b>4.4 MAMMALIAN TOXICITY</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>4.4.1 Acute toxicity</b> .....	<b>21</b>
<b>4.4.2 Sub chronic Toxicity</b> .....	<b>22</b>
<b>4.4.3 Chronic Toxicity and Carcenogenicity</b> .....	<b>22</b>
<b>4.4.4 Reproductive toxicity</b> .....	<b>23</b>
<b>4.4.5 Genotoxicity</b> .....	<b>23</b>
<b>4.4.6 Metabolites</b> .....	<b>24</b>
<b>4.5 DEGRADATION / PERSISTENCE</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>4.5.1 Soil</b> .....	<b>25</b>
4.5.1.1 Aerobic Conditions	26
4.5.1.2 Anaerobic Conditions	27

4.5.2	<b>Water</b> .....	<b>28</b>
4.5.3	<b>Sediments</b> .....	<b>29</b>
4.5.3.1	Aerobic Conditions	29
4.5.3.2	Anaerobic Conditions	30
4.5.3.3	Sea Water:	30
<b>PART II</b> .....		<b>31</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>PRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>32</b>
5.1	<b>GLOBAL SCALE</b>	<b>32</b>
5.2	<b>EUROPE</b>	<b>32</b>
5.3	<b>UNITED STATES</b>	<b>32</b>
5.4	<b>NON-UN/ECE REGIONS</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>USAGE</b> .....	<b>33</b>
6.1.1	<b>Europe</b> .....	<b>33</b>
6.1.2	<b>North America</b> .....	<b>33</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>RECORDED LEVELS IN THE ENVIRONMENT</b> .....	<b>36</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>BIOAVAILABILITY</b> .....	<b>37</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS</b> .....	<b>37</b>
	<i>9.1 BENEFITS OF ENDOSULFAN FOR THE WORLD AGRICULTURE / HORTICULTURE</i>	<b>37</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>REGULATIONS AND ACTION PLANS</b> .....	<b>42</b>
10.1	<b>ROTTERDAM CONVENTION</b>	<b>42</b>
10.2	<b>UNITED STATES / CANADA</b>	<b>42</b>
10.3	<b>EUROPEAN UNION</b>	<b>43</b>
10.4	<b>UNECE</b>	<b>43</b>
10.5	<b>OSPAR</b>	<b>44</b>
10.6	<b>NORTH SEA CONFERENCE</b>	<b>44</b>
10.7	<b>ARCTIC MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT PROGRAMME (AMAP)</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>MAXIMUM RESIDUE LEVELS / QUALITY STANDARDS</b> .....	<b>44</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>ALTERNATIVES / SUBSTITUTES</b> .....	<b>46</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Chemical identity of endosulfan.....	2
Table 2-1 Physical - chemical data of endosulfan isomers and of endosulfan sulfate .....	3
Table 3-1 Classification and labelling of endosulfan .....	3
Table 4-1 Arithmetic means and concentration ranges for endosulfan ( $\alpha$ - + $\beta$ - isomer) in Arctic air according to [38].....	12
Table 4-2 Some typical study results for acute fish toxicity of endosulfan.....	16
Table 4-3 Bioaccumulation in fish.....	20
Table 4-4: Summary of values on acute lethal toxicity , primary irritation and dermal sensitisation of technical endosulfan.....	21
Table 4-5 Sub-chronic oral toxicity studies in rodents .....	22
table 4-6 Chronic toxicity and chronic/carcinogenic toxicity studies on endosulfan .....	22
table 4-7 Reproductive toxicity of endosulfan from a two-generation reproduction study in rats .....	23
table 4-8 Results of studies on developmental toxicity of endosulfan in rats and rabbits .....	23
Table 4-9 Acute oral toxicity of endosulfan metabolites.....	24
Table 4-10 Hydrolysis of endosulfan; half-lives ( $DT_{50}$ ) in days (25 °C), .....	29
table 6-1 Endosulfan consumption in Europe (metric tons);.....	35
Table 7-1 Results of aggregated monitoring data for Endosulfan in fresh water .....	36
Table 11-1 Maximum permissible concentrations (MPC) for Endosulfan for surface water and soil, based on effects on birds and mammals.....	45

## INTRODUCTION

Endosulfan is a widely used agricultural insecticide. It was introduced into the market in the mid 1950's. Plant production products containing endosulfan are still registered in a number of countries worldwide. In the meantime there is an almost unmanageable number of data available, dealing with (eco)toxicity, environmental fate, residues in food and feedstuff, and environmental concentrations of that substance.

In 2002 the US EPA has finished an extensive re-evaluation exercise of endosulfan. The various documents provided for a re-registration eligibility decision (RED) are available on the internet at [i]. For more particulars it is referred to the Environmental Fate and Effects Division (EFED)'s part on environmental risk assessment [ii] and the Health Effects Division (HED)'s part on human health risk assessment [iii].

A comprehensive toxicological profile for endosulfan was recently published by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [iv].

Further to EPA's re-registration eligibility decision of November 2002, endosulfan is currently being reviewed in the European Union under Directive 91/414/EEC. The risk assessment under this legislation has been finalized. There exists a final deadline of mid 2005 to decide on whether endosulfan is included in Annex I of Directive 91/414/EC or not.

In May 2004 a final review of endosulfan was issued by the Australian National registration authority for agricultural and veterinary chemicals [v].

It is not the intention of this paper to conduct a further detailed risk assessment in addition to that already existing comprehensive reports. This document is rather designed to focus on those specific criteria outlined in the Executive Body's Decision 1998/2 on information to be submitted for adding substances to Annexes I, II or III to the Protocol on POPs. Some selected core studies from the generally broad spectrum of results to give the reader just an indication of the respective criterion. For more detailed information it is referred to the electronic links given in the footnotes or at other sites of the following sections.

In its decision 1998/2 the Executive Body of the LRTAP Convention set up elements of information to be provided on substances Parties may wish to propose for amendments of annexes I, II or III. The decision lays down that information should be provided on potential for long-range transboundary atmospheric transport of a candidate substances, its toxicity, persistence and bioaccumulation along with indicative values suggesting POP characteristics. Indicative values were provided as a guidance for decision on whether a substance has POP characteristics or not.

---

iv [http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/REDS/endosulfan\\_red.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/REDS/endosulfan_red.pdf)

ii [http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/reregistration/endosulfan/finalefed\\_riskassess.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/reregistration/endosulfan/finalefed_riskassess.pdf)

iii [http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/reregistration/endosulfan/endosulfan\\_revisedrisk.PDF](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/reregistration/endosulfan/endosulfan_revisedrisk.PDF)

i v <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp41-p.pdf>

v <http://www.nra.gov.au/chemrev/prsendo71.pdf>


However, the criteria given in Dec. 98/2 for POP characteristics are not very robust regarding specific parameters like study conditions or relevance for the environment conditions spanning wide ranges. This causes quite different interpretation of study results by various stakeholders. In order to provide for the reader the full spectrum of views this document intends to reflect the full spectrum of views - by environmental authorities as well as by industry (the latter by means of footnotes).

# **PART I**

## ***RISK PROFILE OF ENDOSULFAN***

# 1 CHEMICAL IDENTITY

**Table 1-1: Chemical identity of endosulfan.**

common name	endosulfan	
IUPAC	6,7,8,9,10,10-hexachloro-1,5,5a,6,9,9a-hexahydro-6,9-methano-2,4,3-benzodioxathiepin-3-oxide	
Chem. Abstracts	6,9-methano-2,4,3-benzodioxathiepin-6,7,8,9,10,10-hexachloro-1,5,5°,6,9,9-hexahydro-3-oxide	
structures	 <p>first twist chair form                      second twist chair form</p> <p><b>alpha-endosulfan, AE F052618</b> (asymmetrical, indistinguishable under ambient environmental conditions)</p> <p><b>beta-endosulfan, AE F052619</b> (symmetrical)</p>	
CAS registry numbers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>alpha (<math>\alpha</math>) Endosulfan</li> <li>beta (<math>\beta</math>) Endosulfan</li> <li>technical * Endosulfan</li> <li>Endosulfan sulfat:</li> </ul> <p>* stereochemically unspecified</p>	<p>959-98-8</p> <p>33213-65-9</p> <p>115-29-7</p> <p>1031-07-8</p>
formula	$C_9H_6Cl_6O_3S$	
molecular mass	406.95 g/mol	
trade name	Thiodan® , ....	

\* technical endosulfan is a 2:1 to 7:3 mixture of the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\beta$ -isomer.

Technical grade endosulfan is a diastereomeric mixture of two biologically active isomers ( $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ ) in approximately 2:1 to 7:3 ratio, along with impurities and degradation products. The technical product must contain at least 94 % endosulfan in accord with specifications of the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO Specification 89/TC/S) [1] with the content of the  $\alpha$ -isomer in the range of 64-67% and the  $\beta$ -isomer of 29-32%. The  $\alpha$ -isomer is asymmetric and exists as two twist chair forms while the  $\beta$ -form is symmetric. The  $\beta$ -isomer is easily converted to  $\alpha$ -endosulfan, but not vice versa [2] [3].

Several transformation products have been identified in the environment with endosulfan sulfate (CAS Number 1031-07-8) being the prevailing one. In addition endosulfan diol, endosulfan hydroxy carboxylic acid and endosulfan lactone (rf. figure 4-4) were identified being formed to various extent.

## 2 PHYSICAL - CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

The physical chemical properties of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -endosulfan, along with those for the primary metabolite endosulfan sulfate are tabulated in Table 2-1.

**Table 2-1 Physical - chemical data of endosulfan isomers and of endosulfan sulfate**

	$\alpha$ isomer	$\beta$ isomer	mixed isomers (*)	- sulfate
<b>Melting Point, °C</b>	106-109	207-213	70-124	181-201
<b>Solubility in water pH 5, at 25°C, mg/L</b>	0.33	0.32		0.22
<b>Vapour Pressure , Pa , at 25 °C</b>	1.9 E-03	9.2 E-05	1.7 E-03	2.3 E-05
<b>Henry's Law constant Pa m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> , at 20 °C</b>	0.7-12.9	0.04-2.12		
<b>log K<sub>ow</sub> at pH 5.1</b>	4.63 - 4.74	4.34 - 4.79		
<b>dissociation constant</b>	n.a. (no acidic protons)			

(\*) = technical material

The data were either provided by former AgrEvo or are quoted from [164]. The authors of that source discuss in more detail potential reasons for variability of results.

## 3 CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

According to the EU criteria for Classification, Packaging, Labelling and Notification of Dangerous Substances endosulfan is classified as a very toxic compound :

**Table 3-1 Classification and labelling of endosulfan**

<b>Hazard symbol</b>	T+ , N	very toxic, dangerous for the environment
<b>Risk Phrases</b>	R 21	(harmful in contact with skin)
	R 26	(very toxic by inhalation)
	R 28	(very toxic if swallowed)
	R 50/53	(very toxic to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term effects in the aquatic environment)

## 4 POP CHARACTERISTICS

### 4.1 Potential for Long-range Atmospheric Transport

Semi-volatile substances may travel over long distances in air either in particulate or gaseous form, but also adsorbed to solid particles. Once having entered the troposphere, residence time and travel distances are governed, beside

meteorological conditions by several removal processes with deposition and photo-transformation being the most important ones.

#### 4.1.1 Volatilization from surfaces

Atmospheric losses of agricultural pesticides during and after application is important to the occurrence of these substances at distant locations. Interactions at surfaces are a critical variable in determining the ultimate behaviour of these chemicals. Particular important to the environmental fate are aqueous solubility, vapour pressure, solid-phase partitioning and chemical persistence.

There is much information available from studies on volatile soil losses to basically support the presence of endosulfan at distant sites and as a global pollutant.

##### 4.1.1.1 Laboratory experiments

In wind tunnel experiments [4] at constant air flow of 1 m/s, a temperature of 21-22 °C, and at 50% relative air humidity approximately 25-30% of the applied endosulfan dissipated from soil to air over a period of 24 hours [5]. The  $\alpha$ -isomer was found to be the more volatile component: starting at a ratio of 66/34 for  $\alpha/\beta$ -isomers on the surfaces this number shifted to 56/44 after 24-hours, while in the air stream of the outlet a ratio of 85/15 was found. 64% of the applied endosulfan eroded from bean leaves (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) under the condition of this experimental setup [6], suggesting adsorption to soil being a more competitive process to volatilisation than adsorption or foliar penetration. From the results of these experiments it is concluded that further to spray drift during application to crops a major part of the endosulfan eventually vaporizes to the air after deposition on the foliar canopy.

Analogous studies on the main metabolite endosulfan sulfate revealed a much lower volatilisation losses, with about 5% released to the atmosphere from plant surfaces within 24 hours [7].

##### 4.1.1.2 Field studies\*

Efficiency of volatilisation is strongly affected by temperature, reflecting its effects on vapour pressure. Half-lives of 12 hours for  $\alpha$ -, and 36 hours for  $\beta$ -endosulfan were found for release from cotton foliage, following a mean maximum temperature in the following 48 hours of 40°C. At only 29°C maximum the half-lives increased to 24 and 60 hours, respectively. Cumulative losses to 24 and 48 hours were 82 and 89% at the higher temperature and 49 and 69% at the lower one [8].

---

\* Field studies are designed to determine residue concentration over time following application of a substance. To this end such studies do not allow for differentiation between fate processes like degradation and volatility. Since endosulfan was applied onto soil surfaces it is concluded that biodegradation was not of relevance in that kind of studies.

Approximately half of the amount of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -endosulfan applied to surface soils in Queensland and New South Wales, Australia, dissipated through volatilisation in 3-5 and 5-8 days, respectively [9]. Even heavy rainfalls in the first days after treatment of freshly tilled soil could not prevent a 34.5 % volatile flux of  $\alpha$ -endosulfan within 20 days [10] while for the more water soluble  $\beta$ -isomer this losses were determined to be 14.5% under that conditions.

Rapid dissipation of endosulfan and endosulfan sulfate were also reported from a field study in Andalusia, Spain [11]. After application at a rate of 840 g/ha a.i. to soil (July) a DT50 of 1.4 days and a corresponding DT90 of 8.9 days was determined for  $\alpha$ -endosulfan. In line with other studies the  $\beta$ -component proved being less volatile at a DT50 of 7.5 days and a DT90 of 36.6 days. Endosulfan sulfate reached a maximum residue of 7.5% of applied parent after two weeks. Calculated DT50 values were 75.2 days and DT90 of 249.7 days for that metabolite. It has to be noted however, that no differentiation between degraded and evaporated amounts can be accomplished from field study.

A similar soil dissipation study based on an application rate of 840 g/ha a.i. to bare soil plots (end of June) was performed in Greece in the year 2000 [12]. For the  $\alpha$ -isomer a DT50 of 2 days was found in that study, while for  $\beta$  endosulfan a DT50 of 14-16 days was determined. Depending on the evaluation method DT50 values ranged from 47 to 161 days for endosulfan sulfate. The maximum concentrations of endosulfan sulfate in soil remained less than 10% of the applied amount parent at any time during the course of the study, but was still present in soil at small amounts after 12 months.

## 4.1.2 Fate and Behaviour in the Troposphere

### 4.1.2.1 Air-Water Partitioning

The Henry's Law constant (H) is a critical property for describing air-water exchange. Employing a wetted wall column technique a value of  $H = 7.95 \text{ Pa m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$  was determined for  $\alpha$ -endosulfan at 25 °C [13]. In another study using the same methodology a value of  $0.071 \text{ Pa m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$  was determined for  $\alpha$ -endosulfan and  $0.039 \text{ Pa m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$  for  $\beta$ -endosulfan [14]. Estimates of H derived from the liquid-phase vapour pressure of  $\alpha$ -endosulfan and its solid-phase solubility, which was corrected by an experimentally determined factor for to the liquid phase of  $\Delta S_f = 26.2 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$  [15] resulted in a value of  $1.9 \text{ Pa m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$  [16]. This variety of results greatly affects estimates of atmospheric air-water gas exchange processes and thus deposition velocity.

### 4.1.2.2 Gas-Particle Partitioning

Gas/particle partitioning is an important process that affects the deposition, chemical reactions and long-range transport of semi-volatile compounds. Several model approaches exist to estimate the fraction of a chemical on aerosols. Employing the common Junge/Pankow model and a proposed value for particle surface area per unit of volume which represents a "background plus local source" scenario, fractions of 0.166 of  $\alpha$ -endosulfan and 0.228 of  $\beta$ -endosulfan are

assumed be adsorbed to aerosols at 0°C. At 20 °C those fractions decline to 0.018 for  $\alpha$ -endosulfan and to 0.024 for  $\beta$ -endosulfan [17].

The impact of adsorption processes on photo-transformation in the troposphere is still hardly understood.

#### 4.1.2.3 Degradation in Air

In the atmosphere transformation of organic compounds is restricted to abiotic transformation processes, i.e. photochemical transformation, oxidation or hydrolysis.

Hydrolytic breakdown in the atmosphere is not assumed to be of any relevance since cloud droplets are acidic all across Europe [18].

From the UV absorption spectrum of endosulfan it is concluded that direct photolysis can be neglected under tropospheric solar irradiation conditions. This has been confirmed in photo-transformation studies conducted in aqueous solution [19]. While both endosulfan isomers are fairly resistant to photo-transformation the metabolites endosulfan sulfate and endosulfan-diol are susceptible to photolysis [20]. Photo-isomerisation of the  $\beta$ -endosulfan to  $\alpha$ -endosulfan has also been reported from laboratory studies [21], but this cannot be considered being a breakdown process.

Unlike direct photolysis indirect photo-oxidative transformation processes, in particular reaction with OH-radicals may contribute to removal of endosulfan from the troposphere.

#### Direct measurements

Semi-volatile organic substances are hardly accessible to direct measurements of atmospheric breakdown processes. Experimental techniques require concentrations of the reactants in the gas-phase of the reaction chamber which cannot be attained at room temperature. Due to this restriction experiments allow for a maximum rate constant of  $k < 1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$  at room temperature, corresponding to a half life of  $> 38.5$  hours for an assumed concentration of  $[\text{OH}] = 5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . This drawback can be overcome to some extent by heating up the experimental device.

At an elevated temperature of the 348 K (75 °C) a rate constant of  $6 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  was determined for the reaction of  $\alpha$ -endosulfan and OH radicals in the gas-phase of a flashlight photolysis-resonance fluorescence device. From that rate constant an atmospheric half-life of 27 +/- 11 days was estimated by the authors, for 75 °C, based on an aerial concentration of  $[\text{OH}] = 5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  [22]. Taking into account the much lower tropospheric temperatures the environmental half life of endosulfan might therefore be longer{ \* }. The less volatile  $\beta$ -isomer was not accessible to this technique.

---

\* Objection from industry:: *As two basic molecular mechanisms (H-abstraction and addition to the double bond) with an opposing temperature gradient are involved in the overall reaction of endosulfan and OH-radicals, the rate constant and consequently the half life cannot be transformed to lower temperatures.*

Reply: Measurements were performed only at one single temperature. Hence, the

### Indirect measurements

On behalf of gas phase reactions inert solvent-systems (CFC 113) are discussed as a surrogate matrix for the atmosphere, in which to measure OH-reactivity of semi-volatile compounds {\*}. Rate constants of the test substance are thereby determined by relating the decline of the concentration of the test substance to that of a reference substance of known gas phase OH-reactivity. In such an experiment employing toluene as a reference half-lives of > 2.7 days were found for  $\alpha$ -endosulfan [23] and of > 15 days for  $\beta$ -endosulfan [24]. For endosulfan sulfate a half life of 2.7 days in the troposphere at minimum was determined in such a model system as well [25].

### QSAR calculations

Rate constants for gas-phase reactions of semi-volatile compounds may also be estimated from experimentally determined incremental values of more volatile organic compounds [26]. Based on the method of ATKINSON a reaction rate constant of  $1.8 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  was estimated for the reaction of endosulfan and OH-radicals, resulting in a corresponding atmospheric half life of 8.5 days [27]. However, the authors of this calculation estimated an uncertainty factor of 10 for that number. Employing a more recent version (v1.91) of the QSAR program model AOPWIN<sup>TM</sup> an overall value of  $k = 8.167 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  is estimated for the OH-reactivity of endosulfan. It is not clear if this result is derived from an experimentally determined "upper limit" value of  $< 10 \text{ e}^{-12}$  which is included in the experimental database of that program. Abstraction of aliphatic hydrogen atoms was calculated to be the most important reaction, accounting for a rate constant of  $5.365 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . A minor contribution of  $k = 2.802 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  was estimated for OH-addition to the olefinic bond. Assuming an annually and globally averaged OH radical concentration of  $[\text{OH}] = 5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  for the troposphere layer a half-life of 47.15 hours corresponding to 3.93 12-h days. was derived for an overall breakdown velocity caused by OH-radicals. It should be noted however, that disagreement between measured and calculated rate constants is most common for halogen-containing organic substances [28]. The Atkinson method is particularly not recommended for per-halogenated compounds [29], which applies for that moiety of the molecule bearing the double bond. Furthermore no steric hindrance from the adjacent chlorine atoms was taken into account for the addition reaction to the olefinic bond. {†}

---

authors of the study state that potentially opposing effects on the overall rate constant at lower temperature cannot be estimated from that study.

- \* Measurements in inert solvents are not generally accepted as a technique to mimic gaseous conditions, mainly due to following reasons: (i) different diffusion-controlled impacts in gas and liquid phase cannot be excluded, (ii) suspected "compression effects are suspected to the transition complex of the addition reaction to the double bond"

- † Objection from industry: According to industry it cannot be concluded from that data that atmospheric half-life of endosulfan exceeds two days.

Reply: Although each of the methods applied to determine/estimate this endpoint suffers from its own restriction it is nevertheless concluded on a weight of evidence approach that the average residence time of endosulfan in the atmosphere is more than two days.

### 4.1.3 Measured concentrations in non-target areas

Evidence for long range transport of endosulfan and its metabolite endosulfan sulfate is provided from a number of literature sources reporting concentrations in various environmental media from Arctic regions [30].

#### 4.1.3.1 Short- to Medium Range Distance

##### Endosulfan in the Atmosphere

Typically, seasonal variations are found for endosulfan concentrations in air, mirroring closely the application period for agricultural crops from May to September in subtropic and temperate geographic zones. {\*} For example, monthly averaged maximum levels were measured in Southern Ontario for July as high as 1,800 pg/m<sup>3</sup> [31] with a peak of 3.700 ng/m<sup>3</sup> and annual mean of 0.35 ng/m<sup>3</sup> (143 samples). The authors attributed the annual cycle to temperature-enhanced volatilisation.

Abundant regional concentration data are available for the Great Lakes Region from the joint US EPA / Environment Canada-monitoring project IADN (Integrated Atmospheric Deposition Network) [32] providing compelling evidence for at least medium-range airborne transport of endosulfan and endosulfan sulfate. From the data collected in this project magnitude and trends of atmospheric loadings of toxic contaminants to the Great Lakes become apparent. Data were recorded at several sites in Canada and in the US between 77–93 longitude(W) and 41-49 latitude(N).[†]. Seasonal measurements of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -endosulfan as well as endosulfan sulfate were conducted for the vapour phase (1993-1998), for the particle adsorbed fraction (1995-2000), and in precipitates (1990-1998). Depending on geographical site and in particular on season a wide range of concentrations were detected in vapour, precipitation and airborne particulate matter: Both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -endosulfan were regularly detected in the vapour phase of air samples. There is a pronounced annual cycle of concentration ranges with peaks in summer being up one or two orders of magnitude that in winter. For summertime median values had been measured around 10 to > 100 pg/m<sup>3</sup> (average: about 80 pg/m<sup>3</sup>; max. > 700 pg/m<sup>3</sup>) for the  $\alpha$ -isomer. For the  $\beta$ -isomer and for endosulfan sulfate concentrations were generally lower. Annually averaged values for  $\beta$ -endosulfan were around 15 pg/m<sup>3</sup> for the Canadian and 5.5 pg/m<sup>3</sup> for the values reported from the US stations. Notwithstanding median values between 10 and 100 were determined for  $\beta$ -endosulfan at several sites also

---

\* Objection from industry *These seasonal variations are in opposition to the findings of substances with a typical POP character (e.g. DDT, Dioxins etc.). POP compounds are usually observed at more constant level, only influenced by the temperature dependent partition between seawater (or great lakes water) and the air.*

Reply: Regarding potential long-term effects of persistent and bioaccumulative substances on vulnerable ecosystems it is anticipated to be of minor relevance if exposure is at a more or less constant level or if it is of a more fluctuating nature.

† The provision of monitoring data by manager of the IADN Database SC/ARQP Céline Audette is highly appreciated

in summertime. On average the concentrations measured for endosulfan sulfate were about 5.5 pg/m<sup>3</sup>. {\*}

### Endosulfan in Precipitation

Several studies have shown that endosulfan is removed from the atmosphere by rain and snow and deposited into ecosystems.

In a monitoring study carried out in Atlantic Canada between 1980 and 1989  $\alpha$ -endosulfan was reported occasionally at concentrations near the detection limit of 10 ng/L [33].

In precipitation of the Great Lakes region  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -endosulfan concentrations were regularly determined by IADN at various stations during the period of 1987 – 1997. Concentration levels of  $\alpha$ -endosulfan ranged from 0.13 – 1.95 ng/L, and those of  $\beta$ -endosulfan from 0.19 – 6.09 ng/L in lakes Superior and Erie. Higher values were reported from Lake Michigan ranging from 0.54 – 8.22 ng/L for  $\alpha$ - and from 1.06 – 12.13 ng/L for  $\beta$ -endosulfan [34]. Unlike for vapour phase concentrations it has been observed that the  $\beta$ -isomer was often higher in precipitation than the  $\alpha$ -isomer. This equal or greater observed wet deposition of  $\beta$ -endosulfan compared to  $\alpha$ -endosulfan might be explained by the relatively higher importance of particle vs. gas phase scavenging (rf. section 4.1.2)

Concentrations of the transformation product endosulfan sulfate measured in precipitation of the Great Lakes region were mostly in a range of 0.1 to 1 ng/L.

### Endosulfan on Airborne Particles

Within the IADN project endosulfan concentrations were also measured in airborne particulate (filter-retained) matter. Averaged concentration levels were approximately 7.5 pg/m<sup>3</sup> for  $\alpha$ -endosulfan and 2.9 pg/m<sup>3</sup> for  $\beta$ -endosulfan in the period of 1995 to 2000. Seasonal differences for particles were much less pronounced as compared with the gas phase data.

Endosulfan associated with airborne dust was measured also on a cotton farm in Australia during the growing season. Totalled endosulfan components ( $\alpha$ - +  $\beta$ - + -sulfate) of the airborne dust were in a span of 0.07 to 1.04  $\mu$ g/g [9]. {†}

---

\* Objection from industry: *It should be noted that the reported concentrations in the air are far below the inhalative toxicity levels to mammals. Thus, the single maximum peak reported above (3.7 ng/m<sup>3</sup>) is lower by at least 6 orders of magnitude than the acute inhalative toxicity level (rat LC50 inhalation = 12.6  $\mu$ g/l air).*

Reply: The monitoring data given here are not intended to be employed for purpose of a risk assessment on inhalative toxicity but as an evidence of the compound's atmospheric transport.

† Objection from industry: The transport via airborne particles is a general phenomenon, not depending on substance specific properties like vapour pressure and volatility. The extent of this transport is more influenced by the application pattern (e.g. application to crops with non-closed canopy) and the amount of substance applied.

Reply: Numerous studies clearly demonstrate that adsorption of hydrophobic organic compounds like endosulfan to particulate matter is largely controlled by vapour pressure and adsorptivity of the substance. On the other hand it is undisputed that an increasing portion of the applied substance reaching the soil

#### 4.1.3.2 Remote areas

Long range atmospheric transport of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -endosulfan to the Arctic was first noticed in 1986 – 1987 [41]. Since then endosulfan has been routinely found in the Canadian arctic air monitoring program, from 1993 to the present [38] [37]. Data are not only available from the atmosphere but also from snowpack, surface water bodies and biota.

##### Air

Endosulfan was reported as a widely distributed pesticide in the atmosphere of Northern polar regions [35]. Unlike for most other organochlorine pesticides average concentrations of endosulfan in the Arctic have not changed significantly during the last five years.[36]. Concentrations of endosulfan (isomers unspecified) from arctic air monitoring stations increased from early to mid-1993 and remained at that level through the end of 1997 at 0.0042- 0.0047 ng/m<sup>3</sup>. Temporal trends suggest that endosulfan concentrations may even be increasing in the arctic atmosphere [37]. Measurements taken in air at Alert, Nunavut, Canada had annual average concentrations of between 3 and 6 pg/m<sup>3</sup> between 1993 and 1997 and followed a seasonal trend that mirrored use pattern in source regions (ref. figure 4-1). Concentrations of endosulfan in air were found to be exceeded only by those of  $\Sigma$ HCH and HCB [38] (ref. figure 4-2 ). In comparison to monitored concentrations in the Great Lakes region, atmospheric levels in the Arctic were less dependent on temperature, although seasonal variations were apparent as well. For example  $\alpha$ -endosulfan concentrations ranged a factor of 3-5 over spring to fall periods. This infers a more blurred bimodal seasonal cycle with growing distance from areas of application.

Seasonal variation of concentrations was also reported from Sable Island (240 km east of Nova Scotia at 43°57'N , 60° 00'W). In summer aerial endosulfan concentration ( $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -isomer) were determined between 69 and 159 ng/m<sup>3</sup>, while for wintertime values dropped to 1.4-3.0 pg/m<sup>3</sup> (only  $\alpha$ -isomer) [39].


---

surface during application enhances probability of aerial translocation via wind-eroded soil particles.

- \* Objection from industry: This increase is only caused by a low starting point of the trend line at the beginning of the year 1993 (figure 5-1). From the beginning of 1994 to end of 1997 a constant level is shown, as also confirmed by the constant level shown in figure 5-2.


Reply: It is agreed that from that graph no temporal trend for an increase of annually averaged concentrations can be derived.

In general it has to be stated that, given the small number of concentration data which were determined close to analytical detection limit, statistically sound temporal or spatial trends can hardly be derived.



**figure 4-1 Trend (purple) and seasonal cycle (blue) of  $\alpha$ -endosulfan in air at Alert, Nunavut, Canada (82°30'N, 62°20'W); source [37]**

Similar data on  $\alpha$ -endosulfan have been reported from Resolute Bay (Cornwallis Island, 75 N latitude) where air concentrations of approximately 4 pg/m<sup>3</sup> have been measured [40] and from air samples taken on an iceberg that calved off the Ward Hunt Ice Shelf on the northern shore of Ellesmere Island (approx. 81°N, 100°W). Mean concentration of  $\alpha$ -endosulfan in summer 1986 and 1987 were 7.1 and 3.4 ng/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively[41]. Additional evidence for airborne long-range transport is provided by data from Newfoundland showing mean concentrations of 20 pg/m<sup>3</sup> in summer 1977 [42].



**figure 4-2 Relative concentrations of selected organochlorine compounds at Alert, Nunavut, Canada (82°N, 42°W), source: [43]**

Endosulfan concentrations in air were reported from Amerma (eastern Arctic part of Russia) and from Nunavut, Canada between 1–10 pg/m<sup>3</sup> [44] [45]. Endosulfan was detected in around 90% of all samples displaying a significant correlation with atmospheric temperature. Unlike for other organochlorines with seasonal enhancements being suggested to be due to (re)volatilisation from secondary sources, fresh applications were assumed to be responsible for endosulfan concentrations of 3.6 pg/m<sup>3</sup> in winter and 5.8 pg/m<sup>3</sup> in summer (mean values). Spatially, the annual concentrations at the various circumpolar sites did not show remarkable differences, indicating a degree of uniformity in contamination of the Arctic atmosphere.

**Table 4-1 Arithmetic means and concentration ranges for endosulfan ( $\alpha$ - +  $\beta$ -isomer) in Arctic air according to [38]**

Site	Time period	Endosulfan [pg/m <sup>3</sup> ]
Canadian Ice Is.	June 1994	3.4 (1,8-5.0)
Alert	1993	3.60 (0.02-9.42)
	1994	4.84 (0.07-16.2)
Tagish	1993	5.76 (0.08-15.3)
	1994	8.33 (0.08-88.6)
Dunai	1993	2.99 (0.05-7.18)

### Freshwater

Some recent monitoring data for endosulfan are contained in the Regional Report on Assessment of Persistent Toxic Substances for North America [46] showing that endosulfan and lindane were present in waters of isolated lakes in Ontario and New Brunswick. "Current use pesticides, endosulfan and lindane, were detectable in all lake trout with ranges from <0.1-0.8 ng/g ww and <0.1-0.6 ng/g ww, respectively" [...] "Endosulfan was higher in Labrador lakes and lindane in the west. These findings illustrate the wide dispersal of these chemicals from areas of use to isolated lakes and they agree with known use patterns."

Endosulfan (isomer unspecified) was measured also at Amituk Lake (75° 02' 57' N, 93° 45' 51' W) on Cornwallis Island, NV, Canada. The ranges were (in ng/L) 0,135 – 0.466 in 1992, 0.095 – 0.734 in 1993, and 0.217 – 0.605 in 1994 (quoted in [164]). Annual summertime peaks in endosulfan concentrations observed were attributed to fresh input from snow smelt via influent streams.

### Seawater

Endosulfan was measured repeatedly in Arctic seawater during the 1990s. Mean concentrations were similar to those of chlordane and ranged from 2-10 pg/L [47]. Seasonal trends displayed increasing concentrations during the open water season suggesting fresh input from gas exchange and runoff. This trend parallels seasonal trends observed in Arctic air and Amituk Lake.

A survey of several pesticides in air, ice, fog, sea water and surface micro-layer in the Bering and Chuckchi Seas in summer of 1993 [48] identified  $\alpha$ -endosulfan in air and subsurface seawater at levels around 2 pg/L. In melted ice less than 9 pg/L and for the sea water surface micro-layer less than 40 pg/L were detected. For fog condensates from several sites of that region concentration of <10 to <0.5 ng/L were reported.  $\beta$ -endosulfan was found in several atmospheric samples, e.g. from the Central Bering or Gulf of Anadyr at concentrations around 1 pg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Similar concentrations of endosulfan have been reported from seawater samples from surface layer (40-60 m) collected in the Bering and Vhukchi Sea, north of Spitzbergen and the Greenland Sea [49]. {\*}

\* Objection from industry Compared to the lowest toxicity level for aquatic species, i.e. chronic NOEC (fish full life cycle test, 260 days) = 56,000 pg/l (Dionne, 2002), the reported findings in seawater are significantly lower by at least 3 orders of

## Sediment

Laminated cores collected from Arctic Lake DV09 on Devon Island in May 1999 were analysed i.a. for endosulfan. Only  $\alpha$ -endosulfan was present in the sediment of that lake. The concentration was highest at the sediment surface, and rapidly decreased to below detection limits in core slices dated prior to 1988 [50].

## Snow and snowpack

Endosulfan concentrations of  $\alpha$ -endosulfan in snow samples collected in the Agassiz Ice Cap, Ellesmere Island, Canada in 1986 and 1987 were determined with a concentration range of 0.10 – 1.34 ng/m<sup>3</sup> [51]. The concentrations of  $\alpha$ -endosulfan in snowpack in Agassiz Ice Cap were 0.288 ng/L in 1989 and 0.046 ng/L in 1992 [52].

From measured snowpack concentrations and snowfall amounts winter deposition rates of 0.03  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2$  at minimum were estimated for the years 1986 and 1987 [53].

### 4.1.3.3 Biota

Blubber samples from male beluga *Delphinapterus leucas*, collected over 20 years at five time points in Cumberland Sound, Canada. Only endosulfan sulfate was detected. But unlike other organochlorines levels appear to have increased steadily (3.2 fold) over that 20 year time period from 1982 to 2002 (ref. figure 4-3). {\*}

$\alpha$ -endosulfan concentrations in blubber of minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) populations from distinct parts of the North Atlantic were sampled in 1998 [54]. The highest mean concentrations were found for whales in the North Sea/Shetland Islands (34 ng/g lipid for females and 43.0 ng/g for males), the Barents Sea (7.74 ng/g lipid for females and 9.99 ng/g for males) and Vestfjorden/Lofotes (4.51 ng/g lipid for females and 9.17 ng/g lipid for males). Lower concentrations of < 1 ng/g and 5 ng/g lipid were reported for whales from Jan Mayen and Greenland. The differences were attributed to distinctions based on genetics, fatty acid profiles, etc..

---

*magnitude*

Reply: It is not intended to perform a risk assessment for fish in the context of this paper.

- \* Objection from industry: It should be noted that “Beluga movements are extensive, seasonal and generally predictable. They come into coastal waters and estuaries in mid-summer, and winter offshore in pack ice and polynyas.” [55, page 43]. Therefore, it cannot be excluded that a potential contamination occurred on “hot spots”, i.e. likely the coastal waters, where contamination is assumed to be higher due to a closer distance to the emission sources. The contaminant level in the whales must therefore not be compared to the very low levels in the arctic sea water.

Reply: High-end predators are more or less all migrative species. Furthermore it is assumed that in none of that coastal areas which belugas touch upon during their migrations endosulfan is used.




figure 4-3 Temporal trends of age-adjusted concentrations of endosulfan in blubber of male beluga from Pangnirtung, Nunavut, Canada [55]

Endosulfan was also detected in adipose tissue and blood of polar bears from Svalbard. Mean values found for  $\alpha$ -endosulfan were 6.65  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  (min: 2.96, max: 22.83  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ ) and for  $\beta$ -endosulfan a mean of 3.60  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  was determined (min: 0, max: 18.51  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ ) [56].

#### 4.1.3.4 Mountainous Areas

The effect of "global distillation" which is called to account for transport of POPs to polar regions also works in a vertical manner, thereby causing accumulation of those substances in higher mountainous regions.

Among other POP substances Endosulfan was found in the atmosphere of European mountain areas (Central Pyrennes and High Tatras). Like HCH it was found in higher concentrations in the warm periods (4-10  $\text{pg}/\text{m}^3$ ) in the gas and particulate phase, reflecting their seasonal pattern of use [57].

Among other POP substances endosulfan was found in snowpack samples collected at different altitudes of mountains in western Canadian [58]. The levels of contaminants in snow and in snowpack augmented with the altitude. The concentration range of  $\alpha$ -endosulfan was 0.06 – 0.5  $\text{ng}/\text{L}$  in the sampling altitude interval of 700 – 3,100 m.

Aerial transport also caused contamination of snow (Sequoia National Park) and water (Lake Tahoe basin) of the Sierra Nevada mountains in California, a region adjacent to the Central Valley which is among the heaviest pesticide use areas in the USA. Levels of  $\alpha$ -endosulfan found in the rain were in a range of < 0.0035  $\text{ng}/\text{L}$  to 6.5  $\text{ng}/\text{L}$  while  $\beta$ -endosulfan was determined at concentrations of < 0.012  $\text{ng}/\text{L}$  up to 1.4  $\text{ng}/\text{L}$  [59].

For mountain lakes in the Alps (Grossenköllesee, 47°13'N, 11°1'E), Pyrenees (Estany Redò, 42°38'N, 0°46'E) and Caledonian Mountains (Øvre Neådalsvatn (Norway), 62°47'N, 9°0'E) via atmospheric deposition of endosulfan was estimated between 0.2 – 340  $\text{ng m}^2 \text{month}^{-1}$  [60]. Unlike for other POPs which showed a more uniform geographical distribution, those lakes in the South were much more exposed to endosulfan impact, reflecting the impact of agricultural

activities in southern Europe. In the northern lake only the more recalcitrant endosulfan sulfate was determined. {\*}

## 4.2 Ecotoxicity

Endosulfan is a very toxic chemical for nearly all kind of organisms. Metabolism occurs rapidly, but the oxidised metabolite endosulfan sulfate shows an acute toxicity similar to that of the parent compound. Endosulfan-diol is found less toxic to fish by about three orders of magnitude.

Most of the data reported in this chapter are from the recently drafted assessment report on endosulfan, prepared in context of the peer review programme for inclusion in Annex I of Council Directive 91/414/EEC [172].

Numerous test results on effects of endosulfan and endosulfan sulfate on fish and aqueous invertebrates have been collected from open literature and stored in the ECOTOX database of the US EPA [61]. Toxicity endpoints were consistent within species assemblages. The pattern of study results clearly establishes a high toxicity of endosulfan and its formulated end-products to aqueous organisms, in particular to aqueous vertebrates.

### 4.2.1 Fish

#### 4.2.1.1 Acute Toxicity

An extensive number of studies on acute toxicity of endosulfan to fish have been performed by industry and research institutes. An excerpt of results is presented in Table 4-2. The tests include static, semi-static and flow-through systems as well as a range of different species. From 41 acute toxicity studies on fish, submitted by the notifier of endosulfan for purposes of evaluation under the EU peer review program [172] a geometric mean for LC<sub>50</sub> values was determined to be 2.0 µg/L. The median estimate for protection of 95% of all fish species resulted in a LC<sub>50</sub> of 0.14 µg/L (log distribution) and in a LC<sub>50</sub> value of 0.13 µg/L (normal distribution). There are indications from the majority of studies results conducted on single isomers that the α-isomer more toxic than the β-endosulfan [62] [63], but the spectrum of results is not all consistent.

The lowest reported LC<sub>50</sub> for fish were 0.09 µg/L for *Leistomus xanthurus* and 0.1 µg/L for *Morone saxatilis*.

---

\* Interventions by industry: (i) This is indeed the characteristic difference between real POPs and endosulfan. Endosulfan as a pesticide still in use shows a monitoring pattern that is closely correlated to its agricultural use pattern as it also applies to other used pesticides. (ii) Releases to the environment over a period of more than five decades did not result in elevated concentrations in Arctic regions.  
Reply: (i) No indication about temporal concentration pattern for POPs in remote areas was found. This issue needs further clarification. (ii) monitoring data on endosulfan are sparse and samples have not been taken in a systematic manner over prolonged time to demonstrate a concentration profile over time.

**Table 4-2 Some typical study results for acute fish toxicity of endosulfan**

study type	species	LC <sub>50</sub>	Reference
static	rainbow trout	1.6 µg/L	[64]
static (12 °C)	rainbow trout	0.9 µg/L	[65]
static	rainbow trout	1.6 µg/L	[66]
dynamic	rainbow trout	0.3 µg/L	
static	fathead minnow	0.8 µg/L	
dynamic	fathead minnows	1 µg/L	
semi-static	( <i>Channa punctata</i> )	4.5-7.5 µg/L	[67]
static	bluegill sunfish	1.8-3.3 µg/L	[68]

#### 4.2.1.2 Chronic Toxicity

Generally, for chronic toxicity to fish NOECs of 0.05 – 0.4 µg/L were observed [172]. E.g. from a 28-day early-life-stage test on sheepshead minnow, *Cyprinodon variegates*, a NOEC of 0.4 µg/L was reported [69]. In a 21-day juvenile growth-test an even lower NOEC of 0.05 µg/L was derived for rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, based on a LC<sub>50</sub> of 0.28 µg/L [70]. A full life cycle exposure test (260 days) to Fathead Minnow resulted in the lowest chronic NOEC to fish of 0.056 µg/l [71].

Several physiological, ethological and morphological effects of endosulfan have been reported in literature at concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 5 µg/L [72], [73], [74], [75], [76].

#### 4.2.2 Aquatic Invertebrates

##### 4.2.2.1 Acute Toxicity

Aquatic invertebrates appear commonly to be acutely susceptible to concentrations in the order of 100 µg/L, although considerable variation is evident, spanning several orders of magnitude. As an indication an EC<sub>50</sub> of 150 µg/L over 48 hours on *Daphnia magna* is suggested [172], representing a 90-percentile of compiled data on this species.

Short-term LC<sub>50</sub> for a variety of species of shrimp vary between 0.04 and 17 µg/L. The lowest 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub> reported for a single crustacean species was reported at 0.04 µg/L for *Penaeus duorarum* [77]. *Crangon septemspinosa* had an LC<sub>50</sub> value of 0.2 µg/L, whereas the LC<sub>50</sub>s for all other crustaceans in this study were greater than 0.4 µg/L.

##### 4.2.2.2 Effects on Reproduction

In a 21-day static test with *Daphnia magna* LC<sub>50</sub> values of 130 and 170 µg/L were obtained. Corresponding NOEC/LOEC based on mean offspring per day were 20

and 32, and 32 and 48 µg/L, respectively [78]. A LC<sub>50</sub> of 300 µg/L was observed in another 21 day study on *Daphnia magna* at a NOEC of 63 µg/L. Impairment of growth rates, embryonic development and reproduction rate were observed at 200 µg/L [79].

#### 4.2.3 Algae

Much less data are available on algae as compared with invertebrates and fish. From 72 hour-tests on the green algae *Scenedesmus subspicatus* a NOEC of 560 µg/L is suggested [80].

#### 4.2.4 Sediment dwelling organisms

In soil sorption studies a coefficient of K<sub>d</sub> = 78.9 was determined for α-endosulfan and of K<sub>d</sub> = 65.1 for endosulfan sulfate [146]. Hence it is concluded that feeding behaviour of the organisms (sediment particles) plays an important role in susceptibility to endosulfan contaminated sediments.

For copepods and polychaetes NOEC values of < 50 to 200 µg/kg are reported in literature [81]. From a 96 hour test on midges (*Chironomus tentans*) a LC<sub>50</sub> of 20 µg/kg was determined and a NOEC of < 6 µg/kg was derived. This study was performed according to a standardized test guideline and under GLP, so it was chosen as a key study [172]. Toxicity of technical endosulfan to the polychaete worm *Neanthes arenaceodentata* was reported at LC<sub>50</sub> of 195 µg/L (for 96 hours), 158 µg/L (10 days) and 106 µg/L (28 days), respectively [82].

#### 4.2.5 Metabolites

The main degradate endosulfan sulfate proved to be very toxic to aquatic organisms, too. For golden ide (*Leuciscus idus melanotus*), goldfish (*Carassius auratus*), and for guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) 10 µg/L < LC<sub>50</sub> < 100 µg/L were reported in literature [83]. Tubifid worms (*Tubifex tubifex*) showed to be less susceptible with 10 < LC<sub>50</sub> < 1,000 µg/L. Other endpoints but mortality (photosynthesis, biomass) revealed effects of endosulfan sulfate on green algae *Chlorella vulgaris* at concentrations in a range of 0.5 to 10 µg/L.

According to Bayer Crop Science endosulfan sulfate is of similar toxicity as the parent whilst other main metabolites showed to be considerably less toxic (< 1 000).

#### 4.2.6 Endocrine disruption

The picture remains fairly unclear for endocrine effects: Probably, a full evaluation can only be performed has to await accepted test procedures for determining endocrine disruption effects.

Recent literature has indicated the potential for endosulfan to cause some endocrine disruptive effect in both terrestrial and aquatic species: Effects observed were impaired development in amphibians, reduced cortisol secretion in fish, impaired development of the genital tract in birds and hormone levels, testicular atrophy and reduced sperm production in mammals resulting from endosulfan exposure.

The Commission of the European Union has recently published a priority list of endocrine disrupting substances, regarding endosulfan as a so-called Group II substance, i.e. a High Production Volume Chemical with a potential for endocrine disruption [84]. On the other hand a recent assessment of the toxicological data of endosulfan by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority found that the endocrine disrupting potential is not a significant risk to human health under the existing management control and health standards <sup>85</sup>

For example endosulfan is reported to interfere with reproduction in newt at concentration as low as 5 ppb [86].

Estrogenic effects indicated by endosulfan as well as endosulfan sulfate were reported on human estrogen-sensitive cells [87].

For that reasons endosulfan has been included in the OSPAR List of Potential Endocrine Disrupters, being reported in scientific literature to induce changes to the endocrine system of varying severity in the course of *in vitro* tests [88].

In other estrogenicity *in vitro* tests neither relevant binding to estrogen or progesterone receptors ( $10^5 - 10^6$  times less than  $17\beta$ -estradiol) nor vitellogenin production was detected for endosulfan [89]. Screening assay tests involving receptor binding and transcriptional activation *in vitro*, and effects in estrogen responsive tissue *in vivo*, returned consistent negative results, indicating that endosulfan lacks meaningful estrogenic activity [90]. Unlike for p-nonyl-phenol or methoxychlor, exposures with endosulfan failed to induce measurable levels of either hepatic VTG mRNA or serum VTG at the chemical concentrations tested [91]. Endocrine effects were also absent in standard toxicity studies. No evidence for estrogenic effects of endosulfan was therefore concluded for this endpoint in an IPCS report [107]. Equally, experts under the EU peer review program on pesticides concluded that, on the weight of evidence, endosulfan is not an endocrine disruptor [pers. communication].

The U.S. EPA's Health Effects Division (HED) in the Office of Pesticide Programs emphasizes that binding to the estrogen receptor is only one potential mode of action for endocrine disruptors. Substances that act as endocrine disruptors may perturb the endocrine system in a variety of ways including but not limited to interfering with the synthesis, secretion, or transport of hormones in the organism. From effects observed in chronic oral toxicity studies in rats and a multi-generation reproduction study the HED further concluded [92] that the potential of endosulfan to act as an endocrine disruptor cannot be discounted, adding "*Organisms treated with endosulfan exhibit some toxic effects that historically have been associated with endocrine disrupting chemicals, e.g., developmental and reproductive effects*". This position is not shared by an industry task force on endosulfan [93].

The picture remains fairly unclear for endocrine effects: Probably, a full evaluation can only be performed has to await accepted test procedures for determining endocrine disruption effects.

#### 4.2.7 Other effects

Endosulfan is reported from literature to interfere with reproduction in newt at concentration as low as 5 ppb [94] due to interruption of the pheromonal system. By treating female red-spotted newts (*Notophthalmus viridescens*) the

impairment of the pheromonal system directly led to disrupted mate choice and lowered mating success..

## 4.3 Bioaccumulation

### 4.3.1 Octanol-Water Partition Coefficient

Employing QSAR calculations a n-octanol/water partition coefficient of  $\log K_{ow} = 3.5$  is indicated [95].

Employing the HPLC method yielded in a  $\log K_{ow} = 4.65$  for  $\alpha$ -endosulfan and a  $\log K_{ow} = 4.34$  for  $\beta$ -endosulfan under neutral conditions [96]

Test using the shake flask method also resulted in considerably higher values of  $K_{ow} = 55,500$  for  $\alpha$ -endosulfan ( $\log K_{ow} > 4.7$ ) and  $K_{ow} = 61,400$  ( $\log K_{ow} = 4.8$ ) for  $\beta$ -endosulfan at pH 5.1 and 22 °C [97]. Measured data are deemed more reliable over estimates. Hence, a high potential for bioaccumulation in fatty tissue can be expected from the measured  $K_{ow}$  values.

The  $K_{ow}$  of endosulfan sulfate has also been determined by means of HPLC technique [96], resulting in  $\log K_{ow} = 3.77$ . Notably QSAR calculations of  $K_{ow}$  for this metabolite yielded  $\log K_{ow} = 3.64$ . This value is very close to the measured one but below that estimated for the parent itself by QSAR. It is concluded that endosulfan sulfate is therefore less hydrophobic and less bioaccumulative as compared to endosulfan.

### 4.3.2 Bio-concentration Factors

Reported values for measured BCF of endosulfan in various aqueous organisms cover a wide range. In some species like oysters and bivalves BCF values as low as  $< 100$  were reported [98], while on the other end studies on freshwater as well as marine fish suggest bio-concentration factors from 2,400X up to 11,000X in whole fish [99], [100], [101], [102], [103].

#### 4.3.2.1 Fish

The BCF value of  $>11,000$  in yellow tetra fish, *Hyphessobrycon bifasciatus*, is based on extrapolated data because steady state wasn't reached within the 21-day term of the study. A concurring fast elimination of endosulfan from fish (half life of 1.8 days) concluded from the same study is inconsistent with that finding since this should cause equilibrium to be attained within a shorter time.

Nevertheless, a BCF of 6,000 can be derived from the reported concentration in fish on the 21<sup>th</sup> day [102]. No reduced rates for concentration increase in fish could be observed by this time. A reduced elimination rate from fish of 2.9 – 5.6 days was reported by the same authors for zebra fish *Brachydanio rerio*, at a corresponding BCF of  $2640 \pm 441$ .

From the whole set of data submitted by industry the EU Rapporteur Member State on endosulfan [172] suggests a BCF of 5,000 in fish, along with a clearance

half life of 2 days. {\*} Rates of depuration under field conditions will depend on the levels of endosulfan in the water column and the length of time those levels are maintained. Studies have revealed tissue residues to be composed both of endosulfan and endosulfan sulfate.

**Table 4-3 Bioaccumulation in fish**

Test species	conc. in water , $\mu\text{g/L}$	BCF	T/2 <sub>depuration</sub> days	Reference
striped mullet	0.035 ( $\alpha + \beta$ )	2429-2755	< 2	[99]
zebra fish	0.3 ( $\alpha$ )	2,000	2.9	[1027]
	0.3 ( $\beta$ )	1,400	5.1	
	sulfate, formed during study <i>in vivo</i>		5.9	
yellow tetra fish	0.3 ( $\alpha + \beta$ )	11,583	1.8	[102]
	0.2 ( $\alpha$ )	10,994	2.0	
	0.1 ( $\beta$ )	9,908	1.74	

#### 4.3.2.2 Plankton

Freshwater green algae (*Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*) were found to sequester endosulfan from a surrounding water containing 100  $\mu\text{g/l}$  of the substance at an average BCF of 2,682 after 16 hours. For *Daphnia magna* in a 100  $\mu\text{g/L}$  endosulfan water-only exposure a BCF of 3,278 was reported under such conditions [104]. Endosulfan was rapidly accumulated and concentrated from water by neonates of both species. *Daphnia magna* feeding on contaminated algae in clean water did not accumulate endosulfan suggesting that uptake from the water column is the dominant route for bioconcentration of endosulfan by zooplankton.

#### 4.3.2.3 Biomagnification

Apart from the above mentioned study further data on biomagnification of endosulfan are scarce and remain inconclusive. Some authors noted that unlike

---

\* Intervention form industry: The BCF suggestion has now been revised by the EU RMS on the basis of an outdoor microcosm study that simulated realistic exposure of endosulfan to fish and aquatic invertebrates by a multiple entry of endosulfan at different dose levels and different entry routes. For total radioactivity (consisting of parent endosulfan and aquatic metabolites) a BCF of approx. 1000 and for endosulfan sulfate a BCF of 2900-4600 was derived.  
Reply: It is of no relevance in the context of this paper to determine a BCF for "total radioactivity"

for PCBs and p,p'-DDE concentration of less persistent compounds like HCH and endosulfan found in Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) from Bear Island (located between Norway and Spitzbergen) were more correlated with the lipid content than with the stable isotope  $d^{15}N$ , an indicator for trophic position and thus of biomagnification [105].

#### 4.4 Mammalian Toxicity

In laboratory animals, endosulfan produces neurotoxicity effects, which are believed to result from over-stimulation of the central nervous system. It can also cause haematological effects and nephrotoxicity. The  $\alpha$ -isomer was generally found more toxic than the  $\beta$ -isomer [106].

Investigation of chronic human toxicity exert endosulfan to be neither a carcinogen nor a reproductive toxin nor a teratogen in mammals. There are several results *in vitro* and *in vivo* showing no mutagenic effects, hence mutagenic effects in humans are unlikely.

Toxicological properties of endosulfan are well documented and reviewed. Details of key studies on endosulfan effects are discussed in a JMPR Report published in 1998, available at [107]. In addition, an extensive toxicological profile was issued by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) by September 2000 [108]. A thorough review of the health effects of endosulfan was also published by IPCS which is available on the internet [109].

Excessive and improper application and handling of endosulfan have been linked to congenital physical disorders, mental retardations and deaths in farm workers and villagers in developing countries in Africa, southern Asia and Latin America [110]. Endosulfan was found among the most frequently reported intoxication incidents, adding unintentionally further evidence to its high toxicity for humans.

##### 4.4.1 Acute toxicity

Endosulfan is highly toxic following acute oral exposure and moderately toxic following acute inhalation exposure.

**Table 4-4: Summary of values on acute lethal toxicity , primary irritation and dermal sensitisation of technical endosulfan**

species/sex	result	reference
<b>oral route</b>		
rat, ♂	LD <sub>50</sub> = 48 kg/kg	[111]
rat, ♀	LD <sub>50</sub> = 10 mg/kg	[112]
rat, ♂ rat, ♀	LD <sub>50</sub> = 100-160 mg/kg LD <sub>50</sub> = 22.7 mg/kg	[113]
<b>dermal route</b>		
rat, ♂, rat, ♀	LD <sub>50</sub> > 4000 mg/kg LD <sub>50</sub> = 500 mg/kg	[114]
<b>inhalation</b>		

rat, ♂, rat, ♀	LC <sub>50</sub> = 0.0345 mg/L LC <sub>50</sub> = 0.0126 mg/L	[115]
-------------------	--	-------

Endosulfan, administered by any route, has been shown to be more toxic to female than to male rats. It is furthermore not a dermal irritant nor a sensitizer [116].

#### 4.4.2 Sub chronic Toxicity

Results of key studies are given in table 4-6 :

**Table 4-5 Sub-chronic oral toxicity studies in rodents**

study	NOAEL (mg/kg bw/day)	LOAEL (mg/kg bw/day)	main adverse effects	reference
Rat, oral, 90-d-study	3.85 (♂)	23.41 (♂)	haematological changes	[117]
Mice, oral 90-d-study	2.3 (♂, ♀)	7.4 (♂, ♀)	lethality, neurological effects	[118]

#### 4.4.3 Chronic Toxicity and Carcenogenicity

The results of studies on these endpoints are summarized in table 4-6.

**table 4-6 Chronic toxicity and chronic/carcinogenic toxicity studies on endosulfan**

chronic toxicity						
NOEL		LOEL		Study	Effects	Ref.
ppm	mg/kg bw/day	ppm	mg/kg bw/day			
10	0.65 (♂) 0.57 (♀)	30	1.75 (♂,♀)	Beagle dogs 1-year, oral	muscular contractions, reduction in body weight	[119]
combined chronic/carcinogenic toxicity						
15	0.6 (♂) 0.7 (♀)	75	2.9 (♂) 3.8 (♀)	rats 104 weeks, oral	low body gain weigh and kidney alterations in both sexes	[120]
6	0.84 (♂) 0.97 (♀)	18	2.51 (♂) 2.86 (♀)	mice 2 years oral	decreased body weight, decreased weight of organs	[121]

Employing an uncertainty factor of 100 a reference dose RfD = 0.006mg/kg bw/day was derived for chronic dietary exposure of endosulfan [170] based on the most sensitive species [120].

No carcinogenic effects were located in chronic feeding studies on rats, mice and dogs. This result applied also for combined chronic/carcinogenic studies.

#### 4.4.4 Reproductive toxicity

The reproductive toxicity of endosulfan was evaluated in a two-generation study in rats. LOAEL for parental systemic toxicity was established at the highest dose tested. Effects were based on increased relative liver and kidney weights (rf. table 4-7). No reproductive effects were observed.

**table 4-7 Reproductive toxicity of endosulfan from a two-generation reproduction study in rats**

NOEL		LOEL		Effects	Ref.
ppm	mg/kg bw/day	ppm	mg/kg bw/day		
parental: 15	1.2	75	6.2	decreased body weight	[122]
reproduct: 75	6.2				

The outcome of two developmental studies in rat and rabbit are summarized in table 4-8. No teratogenic effects were identified in these studies.

**table 4-8 Results of studies on developmental toxicity of endosulfan in rats and rabbits**

NOEL	LOAEL	species	Effects	Ref.
mg/kg bw/day				
maternal 2.0	maternal 6.0	rats	<u>maternal</u> : tonic convulsions, decreased body weight gains	[123]
developm. 2.0	developm. 6.0		<u>developm.</u> : slight increase in the incidence of fragmental thoracic vertebral centra	
maternal 0.7	maternal 1.8	rabbits	<u>maternal</u> : decreased body weight, hyperactivity, rapid breathing	[124]
developm. 1.8 lowest dose tested				

#### 4.4.5 Genotoxicity

Genotoxicity studies in animals following oral exposure to endosulfan have yielded both positive and negative results. However positive data are flawed because the formulations tested in some of the studies contained well documented chemotoxic chemicals. In summary ATSD concluded that endosulfan was not

shown to be genotoxic in rats [106]. Statistically significant increase in chromosomal aberrations was observed in mouse spermatocytes [125]; but no statistical increase in frequency of micronuclei in bone marrow erythrocytes of mice [126].

Induction of chromosomal aberrations by endosulfan was observed for *Drosophila melanogaster* [127].

Negative results were reported in *in vitro* studies on bacteria (DNA damage), yeast and mammalian cells (UDS). Equally negative results were observed in *in vivo* studies with somatic rat cells for parent endosulfan and for endosulfan-diol. However, these studies suffered from poor experimental design and documentation [172].

From an overall view the results from *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies are mixed but indicate effects in some cases on several endpoints, e.g. mutagenicity, clastogenicity and effects on cell cycle kinetics. {\*}

#### 4.4.6 Metabolites

Acute oral and dermal toxicity to mammals were conducted on several metabolites of endosulfan. The results are summarized in Table 4-9 below:

**Table 4-9 Acute oral toxicity of endosulfan metabolites**

substance	test species	route of administr.	LD <sub>50</sub> mg/kg b.w.	reference
endosulfane-sulfate	rats, ♀	oral	76	[128]
	rats, ♀ rats, ♂	oral oral	>25 to < 50 568	[129]
	rats, ♀ rats, ♂	dermal dermal	380 2740	[130]
	Beagle dogs, ♂	oral	15	[131]
endosulfane-lactone	rats, ♀	oral	115	[132]
	rats, ♀ rats, ♂	oral	290 105	[133]
1-Hydroxy endosulfan ether	rats, ♀	oral	1750	[134]

From the feeding studies it has been shown that endosulfan-sulfate is as equally toxic to mammals as the parent compound. Other metabolites not included in the table, e.g., endosulfan-diol are of low toxicity.

\* comment from industry: The RMS draw the following conclusion in chapter B.6.10.1 (Summary of mammalian toxicology) of the monograph: “The overall weight of evidence from *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies is that endosulfan does not induce gene mutation. Nevertheless, although it appears to be non-clastogenic, more studies are required in order to give a definitive conclusion.”

## 4.5 Degradation / Persistence

In the environment endosulfan is subject to biotic and abiotic transformation processes. Endosulfan is oxidized in plants and in soils to form primarily endosulfan sulfate and endosulfan-diol [135]. Formation of endosulfan sulfate is mediated essentially by micro-organisms while hydrolysis to endosulfan-diol can be chemical, especially under alkaline conditions and/or microbial. Microbial mineralisation is generally slow.

The  $\beta$ -isomer of endosulfan is more persistent while the  $\alpha$ -isomer is more volatile and dissipative. Identified routes of degradation in soil and water are illustrated in figure 4-4 .

### 4.5.1 Soil

Both endosulfan isomers are strongly adsorbed to soil as indicated by  $K_{oc}$  values around 10,000 [136]. To this end it can be concluded that the different transformation rates are not caused by diverging adsorption strengths. Endosulfan sulfate is adsorbed to a similar extent as the parent compound, while affinity to organic surfaces is less pronounced for the endosulfan-diol, reported at  $K_{oc}$  values around 1,000 [137].

Photolytic degradation of endosulfan on soil surfaces is irrelevant. Half-lives have been reported to be greater than 200 days under simulated [138] and natural light conditions [139].

Numerous data are available from soil degradation studies carried out in various soils and under various climatic conditions. Much of them are compiled in the draft EU draft report on endosulfan [172]. For purposes of this document the results of only some key studies are reported.

Degradation in soil resulted in several metabolites with endosulfan sulfate being the most frequent one. Remarkably, none of the identified degradation products were formed from cracking of the norbornene structure or any dechlorination. {\*} Given a comparable toxicity of the sulfate metabolite a number of authors make use of the term "endosulfan(sum)" which includes the combined residues of both isomers of the parent and endosulfan sulfate.

---

\* Intervention by industry: "This can be explained by experimental deficiencies of the old studies. The incubation time was prolonged beyond 4 months, which were mentioned in the corresponding guidelines a longest interval the microbial activity of the soil samples maintains. In order maintain the activity throughout a total year small amounts of field fresh soil from the original sampling location was repeatedly added to the incubation flasks. Norbornene-<sup>14</sup>C-labelled endosulfan sulfate known as the major soil metabolite and being slower degraded than the parent substance was used as test substance. Up to 35 % of <sup>14</sup>C-labelled CO<sub>2</sub> indicated the complete degradation of the norbornene structure at a significant extent."

#### 4.5.1.1 Aerobic Conditions

##### Laboratory studies

In five different soil types DT<sub>50</sub> values of 12 to 39 days (arithmetic mean: 27.5 days) at corresponding DT<sub>90</sub> values from 29 to 128 days were determined for the α-isomer under aerobic conditions. The β-isomer proved consistently to be more recalcitrant to enzymatic decomposition. Its DT<sub>50</sub> values were spanning from 108 - 264 days (arithmetic mean: 157 days) and DT<sub>90</sub> values from 357 - 877 days. Encompassing both isomers and the metabolite endosulfan sulfate (“total endosulfan”) values of 288 to 2,241 days resulted for DT<sub>50</sub> [140]. {\*}

In two tropical soils from Brazil DT<sub>50</sub> for dissipation of endosulfan(sum) was determined to > 161 and 385 days respectively [141].

A recent lab degradation study with repeatedly refreshing of the soil samples resulted in a half life of 123-147 days for endosulfan sulfate in 3 of 4 different soil with one outlier of 391 days in a Spanish soil [142] {†}

The authors of US EPA’s RED conclude: *Half-lives in acidic to neutral soils range from one to two months for α-endosulfan and from three to nine months for β-endosulfan under aerobic condition. The estimated half-lives for the combined toxic residues (endosulfan plus endosulfan sulfate) ranged from roughly 9 months to 6 years [143]. {‡}*

Another aerobic metabolism study of endosulfan under laboratory conditions involving increased microbial biomass (amended with 0.5% alfalfa) and elevated temperature (28 °C) reveals a similar pattern of DT<sub>50</sub> values for the isomers and the primary metabolite, highlighting the more pronounced stability of endosulfan sulfate [144]:

DT50 (days)

---

\* intervention by industry This study can only be used to discover the route of degradation of endosulfan in the soil, but not to derive the rate of degradation for parent substance and its metabolites, as it suffered from a significant decrease of the degradation capacity of the soil microbes as indicated by the decrease of the microbial biomass at the end of the study (1 year). The soil microbes in the small lab samples got exhausted after approximately 4 months of incubation without contact to the natural environment with continuous nutrition. In order to overcome this shortage the soil samples in a long-term study have to be refreshed accordingly or the half lives have to be determined directly in the field. Both versions are available. The lab degradation study with repeatedly refreshing of the soil samples resulted in a half life of 123-147 days for endosulfan sulfate in 3 of 4 different soil (Schoeder, 2002) after re-evaluation. In a previous version 117-137 days were reported. One outlier (391 days in a Spanish soil, 412 days in the previous version) could be invalidated by a determination of the half life in a field study (75 days) on that plot that served as source the outlier soil in the lab study.

Reply: Field studies are by nature dissipation studies which do not allow for mass balance

† communicated by industry

‡ intervention by industry: study : study result on degradation of endosulfan sulfate have not yet been available for that assessment.

$\alpha$ -endosulfan	19 –33
$\beta$ -endosulfan	42-58
$\alpha$ - + $\beta$ -endosulfan	29-37
endosulfan sulfate	100-150
total endosulfan	75-110

An aerobic laboratory soil degradation study on the major soil metabolite endosulfan sulfate revealed DT<sub>50</sub> values for that primary metabolite of 117-137 days in three out of four soils. A DT<sub>50</sub> of 412 days was determined for the fourth soil [145]. Corresponding DT<sub>90</sub> values were found in a range of 390 to 1369 days.

### Field studies


Field studies on semivolatile compounds like endosulfan suffer severely from volatility losses of the test compound during the course of the study. Such dissipation studies are designed to determine concentration decline on a local scale – but their findings should not be used for purpose of estimating degradation in soil.

#### **4.5.1.2 Anaerobic Conditions**

Anaerobic conditions considerably may enhance half-lives in soils. DT<sub>50</sub> values of endosulfan measured in laboratory experiments were 137 days under non-flooded, un-amended conditions, 125 days under non-flooded straw-amended conditions, 430 days under flooded un-amended conditions and as long as 501 days under flooded straw-amended conditions [146]. Endosulfan sulfate showed recalcitrant to further degradation under both water regimes irrespective of organic amendment.

Metabolism of endosulfan in soils under anaerobic conditions is considerably delayed and differences between isomers less pronounced [147]. . While DT<sub>50</sub> values in a laboratory study involving two soil types were determined at 20-40 days under aerobic conditions, anaerobic conditions extended this period to 136-154 days (calculated by regression). Partial re-formation of endosulfan from reduction of endosulfan sulfate was assumed by the authors.

figure 4-4 Routes of degradation of endosulfan in soil and water (source: Aventis Crop Science, 2002)



#### 4.5.2 Water

For both isomers, hydrolysis at pH values greater than 7 is an important degradation process whereas at pH values below 7 endosulfan is found increasingly persistent under abiotic conditions. Even though the majority of surface water bodies within the UNECE have pH values around 7, there are quite a number of acidic creeks, small rivers and lakes in boreal but also in mountainous European regions [148]. Yet it is this type of habitats being ecologically valuable.

Rain water in Central Europe could, as a consequence of atmospheric carbon dioxide content and naturally occurring trace substances, have an acidity (pH value) of something between 4.6 and 5.6 pH. In fact, the pH value for rain water in Germany lies on average between 4.0 and 4.6 pH [149]. Hydrolysis in the

atmosphere is thus an irrelevant breakdown process for endosulfan in the atmosphere and in the headwater of rivers with low buffer capacity.

Hydrolytic breakdown of endosulfan is enhanced at increasing pH resulting in DT<sub>50</sub> of 10-20 days at pH 7 and around 0.2 days at pH 9 (at 25 °C) with β-endosulfan degrading somewhat faster than the α-isomer. In alkaline sea water hydrolysis is therefore deemed to be the main degradation process. Endosulfan-diol was found to be the major hydrolysis product.

Photochemical transformation does not contribute to environmental breakdown in water since endosulfan does not absorb solar radiation of the troposphere (wavelengths > 290 nm). No data for an enhanced photo-transformation in natural water bodies caused by photo-sensitizers (e.g. humic acids) could be made available.

**Table 4-10 Hydrolysis of endosulfan; half-lives (DT<sub>50</sub>) in days (25 °C), according to [172]**

	pH 5	pH 7	pH 9
α- endosulfan	> 200	19	0.25
β- endosulfan	> 200	10.7	0.18

{\*}

### 4.5.3 Sediments

Endosulfan entering natural water bodies in gaseous or dissolved phase is assumed to rapidly adsorb to suspended matter. Sedimentation of particles or direct partitioning to sediment causes rapid removal from the water body. More than 75% of the endosulfan in the River Rhine was found to be associated with particulate matter [150]. All sediment studies have been performed at pH greater 7. Hence hydrolysis may have been an important degradation process beside microbial degradation.

#### 4.5.3.1 Aerobic Conditions

The fate of endosulfan in aerobic water/sediment systems were reported from two studies, encompassing two systems each: In all cases endosulfan was observed to be readily transferred to the sediments. A study involving river water (pH of 7.3)

---

\* Water is an environmental compartment which is of great variety. Although a large majority of freshwater systems has a pH values around 7 there are water bodies of low buffer capacity, particularly in Northern areas, which are acidic, i.e. pH values of 6 or below. On the other side alkalinity of marine waters is depending on salinity. Furthermore, degradation of organic substances in pore water of soils and sediments is impacted by the particular redox potential. Finally, it is of utmost importance if water is considered as an abiotic matrix or a "natural" water body involving microbial activity, suspended organic matter and sediments, as described by water/sediment test systems. Each out of the test parameters may strongly affect DT<sub>50</sub> of an organic substances" in water".

and a gravel pit (pH of 7.8) showed a short residence time of dissolved endosulfan in the water phase, caused by preferential sorption to bottom sediment ( $K_{oc}$  values of 10,600 and 13,600 mL/g were determined for  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -endosulfan).  $DT_{50}$  values of 12 and 10 days respectively were estimated for the parent endosulfan in the entire systems (supernatant water plus sediment), whereas 21 days and 18 days were estimated for “total endosulfan”, encompassing endosulfan plus endosulfan sulfate, plus endosulfan carboxylic acid [151].

Similar results were obtained from another freshwater/sediment study involving two sediments: A sandy sediment of a carbon content of 0.1% and a clay loam\* sediment of 3.2% organic carbon [152]. Endosulfan readily dissipated from the water phase at a  $DT_{50}$  of 0.7 days in the clay loam and of 1.6 days in the sand sediment system.  $DT_{50}$  for the total system were determined at 3.6 days for the clay loam and 35.1 days for the sand. Notably, the supernatant waters had a pH of 7.8 and 7.9 and kept in a narrow range around pH 8 in the loamy system, but declined to approximately 7 in the sand system.. This difference is expected to be relevant for hydrolysis and may contribute beside differing biomass (61.6 vs. 2.3 mgC/100g) to the differing  $DT_{50}$  values in both systems. Beside endosulfan sulfate and endosulfan-diol being early formed metabolites endosulfan hydroxy carboxylic acid was formed as major metabolites in both systems. Formation of  $^{14}CO_2$  from the radiolabelled chlorinated moiety of the molecule was insignificant with 0.7 and 1.1% over the study period (120 days), respectively.

#### 4.5.3.2 Anaerobic Conditions

Under “oxygen-limited conditions” half lives > 200 days were estimated for  $\alpha$ -endosulfan and >> 200 days for endosulfan sulfate [153]. Notably, most sediments have a small aerobic top layer while in deeper layers anaerobic conditions are prevailing.

#### 4.5.3.3 Sea Water:

Sea water is alkaline. Hence swift hydrolysis of endosulfan is expected in this medium: In a seawater/sediment study a half life of 8.3 days was determined for the  $\beta$ -isomer and a half-life of 22 days for the  $\alpha$ -isomer [154].

---

\* according to USDA classification scheme

## **PART II**

### ***SUMMARY REPORT ON ENDOSULFAN***

## RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT

Endosulfan is released to the environment almost exclusively as a result of its use as an insecticide. There are no known natural sources of the compound. On a local scale environmental releases to the air or waste water may also occur from manufacturing of formulation operations. Its deliberate wide dispersive application on large areas, along with its physico-chemical properties makes it prone to spread over large distances as a consequence of post-application evaporation.

## 5 PRODUCTION

Endosulfan is synthesized involving the following steps: Diels-Alder addition of hexachloro-cyclo-pentadiene and cis-butene-1,4-diol in xylene. Subsequently the adduct is hydrolysed to form the cis-diol or di-alcohol. Reaction of this cis-diol with thionyl chloride forms the final product.

### 5.1 Global scale

Worldwide production of endosulfan was estimated at 10,000 metric tonnes in 1984 [155]. More recent data on production volumes could not be made available. However, current global production is likely to be significantly higher as use remains widespread.

### 5.2 Europe

Within the European Union there is only one producer, manufacturing endosulfan at one single production site. It is located in Frankfurt-Griesheim (Germany). According to the operating company endosulfan discharges from this plant to water or air meet the legal requirements in Germany and are below the limit of analytical quantification, i.e. less than 0,05 µg/L (information provided by Aventis CropScience, 2002). No relevant emission to the environment is therefore expected from this potential source.

The manufactured volume of endosulfan at this site currently amounts for approximately 4,000 tpa (information from Bayer Crop Science, 2003). A huge majority of this volume is exported for use in tropical and subtropical regions such as Latin America, Caribbean and southeast Asia [156].

### 5.3 United States

In 1974 the annual production of endosulfan in the United States was at 1,360 tpa. The major manufacturer was FMC Corporation. However, endosulfan has not been produced in the U.S. since 1982 ([157] as of 9/2000).

### 5.4 Non-UN/ECE regions

Besides Germany manufacturing of endosulfan has been reported for Israel, India and South Korea [158]. Recently, a production start has been reported for China.

## 6 USAGE

The vast majority of endosulfan is used as active ingredient of plant protection products. That means it is deliberately applied over large areas.

Formulations of endosulfan include emulsifiable concentrate, wettable powder, ultra-low volume (ULV) liquid, and smoke tablets. It is compatible with many other active ingredients and may be found in formulations with other active ingredients like dimethoate, malathion, methomyl, monocrotophos, pirimicarb, triazophos, fenoprop, parathion, petroleum oils, and oxine-copper. It is not compatible with alkaline materials due to hydrolytic breakdown.

Endosulfan controls a wide range of sucking and chewing insect pests, notably aphids, thrips, beetles, foliar feeding larvae, mites, borers, cutworms, bollworms, bugs, whiteflies, leafhoppers. It is used on non-food crops, such as cotton, tobacco, in forest and on ornamentals but also on food crops, such as vegetables, fruits, corn, cereals, oilseeds, potatoes, tea, coffee, cacao, and soy bean [159]. It has also historically been used to control termites and tsetse fly [160].

Non-agricultural use of endosulfan within the European Union endosulfan were only reported by Belgium as an industrial and domestic wood preservative at a consumption of 187 kg per annum until the early 1990s [161]. Use of endosulfan for wood preservation was reported for the U.S. in 1999 [162] but this use obviously was discontinued.

### 6.1.1 Europe

Endosulfan containing products hold authorisation in seven member states of the Community. But use of endosulfan within the EU has seen a steadily decrease for the last years. Almost 90 % of 490 tpa consumed in 1999, were used in Mediterranean parts of the EU.

For purposes of the OSPAR-DYNAMEC process plant protection industry has provided current information on the annual endosulfan consumption volumes in European countries. Figures for the various countries are summarized in table 6-1. According to that information Spain was the main consumer of endosulfan within the European Union, accounting for almost half of the total volume, followed by Italy (about 20%) Greece and France (about 15% each). The tabulated volumes reveal diverging trends for European geographical regions: Whilst endosulfan consumption was seen to continuously decrease in the Northern parts just a slight decline is observed for the Southern countries.

### 6.1.2 North America

In the U.S. endosulfan is currently limited to agricultural and commercial uses. Voluntary cancellations for all home and residential applications have been submitted to US EPA [170]. Total average annual use of endosulfan is estimated at approximately 626 metric tonnes of active ingredient according to EPA and registrant estimates with the most important crops in terms of sales being cotton (14.2%), cantaloupe (13.2%), tomatoes (12.2%), and potatoes (8.15%) in 2001. Crops with the highest average percent drop treated are squash (40%), eggplant (41%), cantaloupe (31%), sweet potato (31%), broccoli (26%), pears (20%) and

pumpkins (20%) [163]. As much as 6% is believed to be applied by horticultural nurseries in greenhouses.

The US uses an average annual amount of 626 tons (average 1990 to 1999), currently at less than 400 tpa.

Endosulfan usage is also common practise in some Canadian provinces. Ontanrio used 25 metric tons in 1993 on fruit and vegetable crops alone and Quebec reported application of 55 metric tons per annum [164].

**table 6-1 Endosulfan consumption in Europe (metric tons);**  
data provided by Aventis Crop Science (according to [161]).

COUNTRY	[tons per annum]					
	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
Belgium *	0	0	0	0	0	18,1
Denmark †	1	0	0	0	0	0
Finland ‡	3,5	0,8	0,7	1,3	0,9	0
France (north) §	272.6	391.9	382.8	44.7	26	28
Germany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ireland	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.4	0
Luxembourg	0	0	0	3.8	0	0
Netherlands	0	0	0	0	0	0
Norway **	1.7	1.8	0.8	0	0	0
Sweden	2	1.6	0	0	0	0
Switzerland ††	57	3.9	10.9	9.9	9.8	7.6
United Kingdom	6.8	3	0	3	2.4	1
Austria	1.3	3.4	0	4.7	3	1.5
Northern Europe	294.4	406.2	394.7	67.8	42.6	56.2
Portugal	6.6	5.6	5.5	0.5	0	3
Spain	235	275.5	242.9	257	314	221
France (south)	60	60	61.5	47	29.4	42.8
Italy	146.4	175	140.2	113.2	91.2	90.6
Greece	94.2	105.7	116.2	105.2	50.9	73.8
Southern Europe	542.2	621.8	566.3	522.9	485.5	478,4
Total Europe	836.6	1028	961	590.7	528.1	469.3

\* ) The figure for Belgium in 1999/2000 (sales statistics) was taken from the Report to the Fifth North Sea Conference. It is not known whether earlier sales were 0 or not reported.

† ) According to Danish Environmental Protection Agency, the authorisation was withdrawn in 1994 and there were no more sales of Endosulfan thereafter.

‡ ) Consumption data for Finland were corrected according to information provided by the Finnish Environment Institute. Consumption in 2000 increased to 108 kg again.

§ ) For all of France, the reduced sales in 1999/2000 are reported to be 200 t/year in the Report to the Fifth North Sea Conference.

\*\* ) Figures for Norway were corrected according to information provided after PDS 2001.

†† ) Switzerland reported 50 kg/year to the Rhine watershed downstream of lakes inside Switzerland for 1999/2000 according to the Report to the Fifth North Sea Conference.

## 7 RECORDED LEVELS IN THE ENVIRONMENT

Apart from regions of application concentration of endosulfan in the environment are generally low. A survey of 80 surface water sites in the United Kingdom involving 160 samples during the winter time revealed only two samples with positive, i.e. > 10 ng/L [165].

Within the Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC, the European Commission has set up a legal framework and a clear methodological basis for the prioritisation of substances potentially hazardous for the aquatic environment. A combined monitoring-based and modelling-based priority setting (COMMPS) was applied in the selection process of the proposed priority substances. In this context, monitoring data from many European rivers were collected, weighted and aggregated. The outcome is tabulated in Table 7-1 {\*}

**Table 7-1 Results of aggregated monitoring data for Endosulfan in fresh water according to the COMMPS procedure [161]**

	90 per- centile	median	arithm. mean	s. d..	sample stat.	entries used	entries > DL
water	[µg/L]				[ - ]		
α-isomer	0,0582	0,0073	0,0169	0,0242	27	191	93
β-isomer	0,0194	0,0053	0,0088	0,0073	25	180	82
SO <sub>4</sub>	0,0194	0,0069	0,0094	0,0033	37	246	126
Sediment	[µg/kg]				[ - ]		
alpha-	81,63	3,30	37,80	123,26	20	75	45

(DL: determination limit)

Endosulfan is commonly used in cropping cotton in Australia. According to a recent environmental assessment the national registration authority states that particularly during application season: “ .. significant contamination of waterways occurs downstream of cotton areas, sometimes attended by fish kills. Total endosulfan levels exceed, frequently by one and occasionally by two orders of magnitude, the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council guideline of 0.001 µg/L total endosulfan [...] for protection of aquatic life. [...] Aerial transport is the main mode of riverine contamination during dry seasons, with the more volatile isomer α-endosulfan dominating detections ” [158].

Endosulfan was among those organochlorine chemicals which were present in highest concentrations worldwide in samples from tree bark lipids [166]. Unlike

\* Intervention by industry: "A crucial examination of the COMMPS selection and aggregation process revealed that out of ca. 10,000 entries for each α- and β-endosulfan approx. 98 % were disregarded. Only the 2 % remaining entries ranging at the upper end of the residue level distribution served as the basis to derive the levels in the following table. Bayer severely questions this procedure as being a statistically incorrect method to derive a kind of PEC in European surface waters"

for more volatile compounds no significant correlation with geographical latitude was found. The authors concluded that these compounds are not as effectively distilled and tend to remain near the original region of use [167].

## 8 BIOAVAILABILITY

Endosulfan can be absorbed following inhalation of contaminated air and ingestion of contaminated food and water. Absorption of endosulfan in the gastro-intestinal tract in mammals has been shown to be rapid and very efficient, with > 90% in rats. Depending on applied doses dermal absorption rates up to 50% were observed for rats (1 mg/kg). No information is available on the absorption of endosulfan following ingestion or dermal contact with contaminated soils. Following oral administration either via single dose or dietary administration endosulfan and its metabolites were excreted by test animals within few days.

Incidents have been reported on illicit fish catching by some East African fishermen causing the death of consumers [168].

## 9 SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS

It is undoubted that industrial enterprises manufacturing chemical substances and marketing the products are in possess of information on economic factors which are not available offhand to authorities. In section 9.1, room is given to Bayer Crop Science to provide this information. The company is a manufacturer of endosulfan and holder of authorisation of various products containing endosulfan as an active ingredient in a number of EU countries:

### 9.1 Benefits of Endosulfan for the World Agriculture / Horticulture

*" Endosulfan has been registered and commercialized for more than 45 years in more than 60 countries around the world including those with high standard regulatory processes.. Used in many crops like cotton, soybeans, fruits and vegetables, endosulfan is an insecticide and acaricide which exhibits excellent efficacy against a number of difficult-to-control pests which can cause substantial loss of crops. Although the product is on the market for such a long time endosulfan is still an important tool for pest control in those crops and can not be replaced for the time being. Specially the unique mode of action and the respect of many beneficials make endosulfan a key tool in **Integrated Pest Management and Resistance Management Programs**.*

*The National Registration Authorities from Australia published the following perspective in their registration review of Endosulfan (1998) concerning a potential ban of endosulfan: „A simple ban of endosulfan could lead to other problems. This is because endosulfan has relatively low toxicity to many species of beneficial insects, mites and spiders (that is, ones which prey upon or parasitise damaging insect pests). Other chemicals, necessarily substituted for*

endosulfan, would kill beneficial insects leading to population explosions of damaging pests which in turn would require more frequent sprays of harsher chemicals than if endosulfan had been used in the first place. In addition, because endosulfan is from a different chemical class than almost all other available insecticides, its use is very important for slowing the development of insecticide resistance to the other chemicals. Loss of endosulfan would, therefore, also lead to more insecticide use due to increasing resistance among insect pests. The net result is greater overall danger to agricultural workers and to the environment. “

As endosulfan can not be replaced simply by other existing registered products it takes time to find suitable solutions, probably mixtures of two active ingredients. Besides the efficacy studies, residue studies, toxicological studies and country registration needs to be performed. Although new insecticides have been introduced over the last decades, endosulfan is still appreciated by growers and recommended by extension services for the following reasons :

- Broad spectrum insecticidal/ acaricidal efficacy on difficult to control target pests.
- Tool for resistance management due to unique mode of action.
- Tool for IPM due to high selectivity on pollinators and respect of many beneficials.
- Excellent crop tolerance
- Cost effectiveness.

#### **Broad spectrum insecticidal/ acaricidal activity**

Contrary to many new insecticides the biological efficacy of endosulfan allows to control a large spectrum of different pests :

Lepidoptera :	(e.g. Helicoverpa spp., Spodoptera spp., Earias, Mamestra , Pieris .)
Coleoptera :	(e.g. Hypothenemus, Leptinotarsa., Anthonomus,, Meligethes )
Heteroptera :	(e.g. Nezara , Piezodorus, Myridae)
Homoptera :	(e.g. Aphis spp, Bemisia spp)
Mites (Acarina) :	(e.g. Eriophyidae)

All these pests are damaging particularly cotton, soybeans, fruits, vegetables and plantation crops, which remain key markets for endosulfan. None of the modern insecticides cover the comprehensive pest spectrum like endosulfan. For the replacement of endosulfan it might be necessary to use several active ingredients in alternation or mixtures, as long as resistance management (see below) is not an issue.

Further restrictions of its use or even losing this "unique mode of action" would definitely negatively impact the other product's benefits.

Furthermore endosulfan is the only tool available for certain target pests, especially in view of the minor use crop situation (increasingly important since many organophosphates are being cancelled for those uses; e.g. mites in blueberries, cyclamen mites in strawberries, pineapple fruit mites, green stink bugs in macadamia nuts, cow pea curculio control, lygus bug in alfalfa seed, only product that works on certain mites in ornamentals).

#### **Resistance management**

*Despite the intensive use of endosulfan for more than 45 years all around the world only a few cases of temporary insect resistance have been reported. There are no reports about any significant product failures due to resistance problems. Endosulfan has a unique mode of action different to organophosphates, carbamates, synthetic pyrethroids, neonicotinoids, oxadiazine carboxylate, spinosad and all other classes of insecticides currently available or in development on the market. Furthermore there is no cross resistance in between endosulfan and synthetic pyrethroids, organophosphate, neonicotinoids or other chemical group used in crop protection. The reason for the very limited cases of resistance is connected with the multiple sites of action of endosulfan within the GABA receptor system as well as specific interaction with the detoxication system of pests .*

*For these reasons Endosulfan is an important tool in **Insecticide Resistance Management** programs preventing or overcoming resistance against other chemical classes of insecticides. Endosulfan is the ideal product for difficult to control species like e.g. *Helicoverpa armigera* and white fly on cotton and vegetables in which respectively insect resistance against other insecticides has occurred (in Europe endosulfan is used mainly in southern countries like Spain, Greece, Italy Portugal and France, in areas with established pest problems where resistance to insecticides is becoming an increasingly important issue). Theoretically most of the pest can be controlled by other product groups as well like organophosphates and pyrethroids, but the loss of endosulfan would increase the use of these products with the consequence of increasing resistance.*

*Furthermore it can be expected that due to restricted use of organophosphates in future endosulfan will become even more important within the resistance strategies.*

#### **Resistance management examples:**

**USA:** cotton in Arizona

*Based on the comments from the Arizona Extension Service and the National Cotton Council that were submitted to EPA in response to the RED, it has been shown that endosulfan has been ranked for the last four years examined in Arizona (1998-2001) either as the number 2 or number 3 most used insecticide in terms of application acres. Endosulfan is used there primarily to control plant bugs (*Lygus hesperus*) and white flies (*Bemisia tabaci*). Endosulfan is the only useful insecticide that controls effectively *Lygus* and *Bemisia* at the higher recommended rate (1.5 kg/ha) simultaneously. The other available *Lygus* insecticides cannot control whitefly or the recommended IGRs are effective against *Bemisia* only. Therefore, endosulfan is a very important tool to have under these circumstances. Endosulfan is also needed as an important rotational synergist at lower rates (0.75 kg/ha) for pyrethroids that are otherwise mixed mainly with organophosphates."*

*Another case of strong support by farmers is the need for the product in Florida for the control of whiteflies in beans and vegetables, mainly used as a resistance management tool.*

**China:** *In Xinjiang area of China, endosulfan has gained a very good reputation as a "soft" insecticide adapted very well to the cotton IPM program for both sucking & chewing insect pests. So, it is unlikely to be replaced by other products*

*in next 5 years despite generic pressure and competition from other products*

*Endosulfan is well known as one of best insecticides with unique action and benefit to resistance management of some important insect pests, including cotton bollworm, cotton aphids, tea small green leafhopper and apple aphids and etc. Cotton and tea are two largest markets of endosulfan in China.*

**India:** *Endosulfan is recommended for use in a number of crops in India including cotton, rice, pulses, plantation crops, fruit crops and vegetables for control of various pests. It is highly recommended in IPM programs and also in Helicoverpa resistance management programs especially in cotton crop.*

*Endosulfan is one of the very economic solutions for managing various pests in a number of crops. It is still one of the widely used molecules by farmers in India. It is recommended in the package of practices of almost all Agriculture Universities in India for control of various pests in different crops especially in Integrated Pest Management Programs due to its selectivity and lower toxicity to various beneficial insects.*

**Pakistan:** *Despite more than 30 year's of use of endosulfan, no resistance has been found in the key target pests - Heliothis and whitefly (IRAC). It is an excellent resistance management tool and is the only product which can provide one shot control of Heliothis and whitefly.*

**Malaysia:** *In Sabah, Malaysia endosulfan is important for controlling the cocoa pod borer (CPB) in cocoa. Resistance to other insecticides increased in cocoa, which could be solved with endosulfan and pyrethroids. This strategy has been widely acknowledged and adopted by the both the planters and the Malaysian Cocoa Board (MCB) since then.*

**South Africa:** *Resistance management, i.e. keeping the pyrethroids in a specific window to manage increasing levels of pyrethroid resistance to Heliothis. Endosulfan is active against pyrethroid and organophosphate resistant pests.*

**Japan:** *Most insect pests (Thrips palmi, Spodoptera exigue, Helicoverpa armigera, White fly, etc.) already have resistance against many insecticides at the first invading time. In many cases, endosulfan shows good efficacy against such insect pests. Endosulfan never shows resurgence of spider mites and broad mite in spite of many insecticides facing the problem.*

*There is no appearance of resistant insects against Endosulfan, moreover endosulfan never shows cross-resistance against pyrethroid or organophosphate compound resistance.*

*Endosulfan presents negative-cross resistance with other chemical groups:*  
*Negative cross resistance occurs when a group of insects already resistant to a particular type of insecticides (i.e. pyrethroids), but still susceptible to endosulfan. This situation has been confirmed in the case of endosulfan versus populations of H. armigera resistant to pyrethroids in Colombia.*

### **Selectivity on pollinators and beneficials**

*Endosulfan provides high selectivity in favor of beneficial insects and pollinating insects. This allows predators and parasites of important pests to play an economic role in pest control and honey bees and bumble-bees to continue to be a vital part in agriculture/ horticulture through their activities as pollinators, e.g.*

in France Endosulfan is authorized for use during the flowering period.

To ensure optimal use of efficacy and selectivity of endosulfan the product should be sprayed during the early growth stage of the crop (beginning of the spraying campaign). In this case both endosulfan together with beneficials will keep the pest below economic threshold levels, e.g. official recommendations of spray schedules in cotton Australia, Latin America, Asia Pacific and Africa .

*Prevention of outbreaks of secondary and potential pests: Due to the physiological selectivity of endosulfan to beneficials, its utilization for the control of key pests, Endosulfan has a low risk of population increase of other phytophagous insects, that might become pests if their natural enemies are eliminated as seen in Colombia.*

*A specific detoxification system identified in beneficial insects: Previous studies performed in Germany (Dr. Manfred Kern et al., between 1988 and 1991) have demonstrated that in several species of beneficial insects endosulfan is actively detoxified by means of the Glutathion-S-Transferase System (GSTs), which conjugates the molecule of endosulfan to the three-peptide glutathion, thus making the molecule unable to bind its target, the GABA receptor. More interestingly, the GST system has shown a lower or none activity in most of the pests target of Endosulfan.*

**Examples for safety of Endosulfan for beneficials and pollinators:**

**Brazil:** Endosulfan is very important to control *Hypothenemus hampei*, is the only product on the market to control with high efficacy this pest. Due to the fumigant effect endosulfan kills the pest inside the grain (unique mode of action). In addition the product highly selective to beneficial insects (mainly hymenopteros) that control *Leucoptera coffeella* (most important pest in coffee).

In cotton endosulfan in Brazil is the standard for IPM program in Brazil (different mode of action) with a very broad spectrum; most important pest are: *Aphis gossypii*, *Polyphagotarso nemus latus*, *labama argilacea*, *Heliothis virescens*, *Pectinophora gossypiella*, *Anthonomus grandis* and others). Endosulfan is very important in the early stage to control *Anthonomus grandis* and is selective to beneficial insects.

**Soybeans (Brazil):** Endosulfan is mainly used to control caterpillars and stinkbugs. Has a perfect balance between pests and beneficial insects.

**Japan:** Since it has selectivity on beneficial and honeybee, it is very useful pesticide on applying IPM or beneficial.

**Thailand:** Endosulfan has been well perceived by authority of its being soft to beneficials like for example honey bee. Therefore, it is suitable for IPM in many field crops.

**Multicrop product due to excellent crop tolerance**

Since its introduction, endosulfan has shown exceptionally good plant compatibility. Reports about phytotoxic effects are extremely scarce and mostly due to uncontrolled tank mixtures with products which were not recommended for this purpose. In comparison to organophosphates, endosulfan has short Preharvest Intervals in many crops, which offers a safety margin for consumers

notably for vegetables.

### **Cost effectiveness**

*Depending on the pest and crop the dose rates for Endosulfan EC 350g/l or Endosulfan CS 330g/l (Microencapsulated) differ from 1 to 3 liter product/ha. That means product cost for one treatment at farmer level are in the range of 6 - 18 Euro/ ha which is by far less compared to product cost for other insecticides with similar spectrum of activity. For example, in many West African countries (low pest pressure but many different pests ) farmers are using 1 Liter Endosulfan EC or CS per ha (cost of 6 €/ha) for defense of cotton against pests during early season ( Heliothis armigera, Spodoptera, Earias, jassids, aphids, occasionally broad mites). Alternative products like Profenophos do not cover all pests. Damage of the crop or alternatively use of several products would be necessary but can not be afforded by the farmer.*

*Therefore a ban of endosulfan especially in cotton would lead to other problems:*

- *combinations and mixtures of other insecticides would be necessary to combat the pests*
- *depending on the alternatives chosen a reduction of beneficials is possible leading to population explosions of damaging pests or to a higher use of other insecticides*
- *the risk of development of resistance would increase. "*

[statement of Bayer Crop Science, July 2004]

## **10 REGULATIONS AND ACTION PLANS**

Endosulfan is subject to a number of regulations and action plans in almost all UNECE countries.

### **10.1 Rotterdam Convention**

Endosulfan is a candidate chemicals scheduled for review by the Interim Chemical Review Committee of the Rotterdam Convention on the Prior Informed Consent Procedure for Certain Hazardous Chemicals and Pesticides in International Trade. Notification and supporting information was provided by Norway and Jordan [169].

### **10.2 United States / Canada**

Endosulfan has recently been subjected to an extensive and peer reassessment by the US EPA. Electronic copies of the Re-registration Eligibility Decision (RED) for endosulfan and all supporting documents are available on the Internet [170]. The Agency concludes *that endosulfan is likely to result in acute and chronic risk to both terrestrial and aquatic organisms. This assessment is supported by monitoring data showing widespread contamination of surface water and incident data showing that endosulfan's current use represents a serious risk of non target mortality for aquatic species.* The Agency further identified risk mitigation measures that it believes are necessary to address the human health risks

associated with the current uses of endosulfan and measures to reduce the potential for exposure to aquatic organisms and reduce the overall environmental loading of endosulfan. However, the Agency felt unable to fully evaluate the ecological risks associated with the atmospheric transport of endosulfan.

The Canadian Pest Management Regulatory Agency recently announced that a re-evaluation of products containing endosulfan is being undertaken for purposes of determining whether the risk management measures taken in the U.S. would provide adequate safeguards regarding the use of those products under Canadian conditions [171].

### **10.3 European Union**

Under the EC Plant Protection Products Directive 91/414/EEC the risks of all existing active substance in plant protection products are currently evaluated under a peer review programme at the Community level. Directive 91/414 provides for the establishment of a positive list (Annex I) of active substances which have been shown to be without unacceptable risk to people or the environment. A complete dossier on endosulfan is expected to be available in May 2003 but a draft version is already available, dated December 1999 [172]. In case of not being included in Annex I to the Directive, use of any plant protection products containing endosulfan will cease in all EU member states. Up to then diverging national legislation continues to apply. This means that agricultural use of endosulfan is still authorized in 11 EU member states, while it is banned in others.

Under the EC Biocidal Products Directive 98/8/EC [173] active ingredients in biocidal products will be assessed within the next years. Endosulfan was identified but not notified for this exercise. This is, that endosulfan containing biocidal products can be marketed in the EU at present but industry will not support this use category beyond a phasing out period.

Under the 2000/60/EEC Water Framework Directive the European Commission has identified a list of 33 priority substances out of which 11 have been selected as priority hazardous substances that are of particular concern for the aquatic environment [174]. These substances shall be subject to cessation or phase-out of discharges, emissions and losses into surface, transitional and coastal waters within 20 years of the adoption of measures. Endosulfan is currently reviewed for a recommendation to the Commission on deciding upon inclusion on this list of priority hazardous substances.

### **10.4 UNECE**

Endosulfan has been included in Annex II of the Draft Protocol on Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers to the Århus Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters [175]. That means, owners or operators of facilities have to report on annual amounts of a pollutant released to various environmental compartments, off-site transfers, and on annually manufactured volume, process or use, given certain thresholds are exceeded.

## 10.5 OSPAR

Endosulfan has been included in the OSPAR List of Chemicals for Priority Action (update 2002).

At its meeting in Amsterdam, 24-28 June 2002 the following executive summary was adopted by OSPAR countries: "*Endosulphan and its metabolite endosulphan sulphate are highly persistent substances in soil and sediment. Endosulphan is highly bioaccumulative at constant exposure and very toxic to all organisms. Endosulphan and endosulphan sulphate are potentially endocrine disrupting chemicals. OSPAR identified endosulphan in 2000 as requiring priority action, and it was therefore included in the OSPAR List of Chemicals for Priority Action.*"

## 10.6 North Sea Conference

Endosulfan is on the list of priority substances agreed by the Third North Sea Conference (Annex 1A to the Hague Declaration). A Progress Report to the Fifth North Sea Conference indicates that the reduction target of 50 % of endosulfan discharges/releases to water during 1985 to 1999/2000 has been met by 7 out of 9 countries of riverine discharging to the North Sea. Significant reductions have been achieved by France and UK, while use has ceased in Germany, Denmark, The Netherlands, Norway, and Sweden. The reduction target of 50% has not been achieved in Belgium (18.1 tons per year) and Switzerland (0.05 tons per year).

## 10.7 Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP)

In the AMAP Progress Report to the Arctic Council Ministerial Meeting in 2002 it is stated: "*There is evidence that POPs substances other than those included in the LRTAP Protocol and Stockholm Convention may be at or approaching levels in the Arctic that could justify regional and global action. For example [...] some current-use pesticides such as endosulfan have been monitored in Arctic air and biota*" [176].

## 11 MAXIMUM RESIDUE LEVELS / QUALITY STANDARDS

Due to the broad spectrum of crops treated with endosulfan, a great number of individual maximum residue levels (MRL) for crops exist within the European Union's consumer protection legislation. MRL for maximum levels in and on fruits and vegetables range from 0.05, e.g. berries, cherries, figs, bananas, olives, etc. to 0.1 in nuts, and to 0.5 mg/kg in various citrus crops. A compilation of specific MRLs is posted on the web at [177]. Similar MRL have been stipulated in other countries.

Residues of endosulfan are among the most frequently found. For example, in a recent EU coordinated monitoring program on twenty pesticides, residues of endosulfan were found most often (16.9%) [178].

German quality standard for aquatic environment (set to 0,005 µg/L) were found

to be exceeded at more than 25% of the sampling sites during 1996 to 1998. On the other hand the EU drinking water limit common for all pesticide active ingredients (set at 0.1 µg/L, irrespective of their (eco)toxicity) was not exceeded in any drinking water sample [179].

For the Directorate for Environmental Protection and the Directorate for Chemicals of The Netherlands the RIVM calculated maximum permissible concentrations for surface water and soil based on direct effects for birds and mammals [180].

**Table 11-1 Maximum permissible concentrations (MPC) for Endosulfan for surface water and soil, based on effects on birds and mammals**

MPC freshwater [µg/l]	MPC saltwater [µg/l]	MPC aquatic combined [µg/l]	MPC soil [mg/kg]
0,013	0,0004	0,0004	0,05
MPC bird [mg/kg food]	MPC mammal [mg/kg food]	MPC bird/mammal combined [mg/kg]	
8,1	0,68	0,68	-

The Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC) has developed guidelines that specify acceptable levels for pesticides in fresh waters and marine waters. For total endosulfan ( $\alpha$ - +  $\beta$ -endosulfan + endosulfan-sulfate) a level of 0.01 µg/L has been stipulated [181]. For the most recent results of the Central and North West Regions Water Quality Program (cropping season 1996/97) this level was exceeded by 63% of the surface water samples.

Maximum residue levels have been set up by FAO/WHO for food products, being in a range of 0.1 to 2.0 mg/kg [159].

Restriction on the use of endosulfan products were imposed by the Australian Registration Authority for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals, caused by exceedings of endosulfan residue limits in beef [182].

Based on recommendations of the WHO [183] an acceptable daily intake ADI<sup>♣</sup> of 0.006 mg/kg/day was stipulated.

The US EPA Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) lists an oral reference dose RfD of 0.0006 mg/kg/day for endosulfan and endosulfan sulfate [184]. No reference concentration (RfC) for chronic inhalation exposure to endosulfan was reported.

♣ **Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI):** The amount of a chemical a person can be exposed to on a daily basis over an extended period of time (usually a lifetime) without suffering deleterious effects.

## 12 ALTERNATIVES / SUBSTITUTES

Unlike for other chlorinated hydrocarbon insecticides, which have already been phased out in many countries endosulfan is still widely used.

Existing bans in countries which formerly used endosulfan products demonstrate that substitutes are available to take the place of endosulfan. Hence, there appear to be substitutes for a majority of applications, as illustrated by the decline of endosulfan. Notwithstanding, there are reportedly certain uses where there are no immediately available alternatives (Aventis Crop Science, quoted from [156]) Due to the wide variety of crops and pests for which endosulfan is still applied, detailed suggestions of alternatives, including integrated pest management practises for each single crop is beyond the scope of this document. However the agronomic implications and in particular the likely need for viable alternative chemicals will need careful consideration to avoid escalating use of alternatives. Among existing substitutes are organophosphorous pesticides like methamidiphos, phosphomidon and dimethoate, organo-thiophosphates like Oxydemethon-methyl, or pyrethroids, e.g. cyfluthrin, beta cyfluthrin.

It should be noted however that some experts are of the opinion that endosulfan remains vital to insecticide resistance management strategies, offering a control option from a distinct chemical class (rf. section 9.1.) {\*

---

\* Supplement from industry: "The reason is the unique mode of action in the pest insects (reacts with the GABA receptor and thus blocking the chlorine channels in the cell membrane), that is different from that of other mentioned substitutes."

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- 1 FAO Specifications for Plant Protection Products– Endosulfan  
[AGP: CP/228](#) (1989)
- 2 Schmidt, W.F., C.J. Hapeman, C.P. Rice, S. Bilboulia, J. Fettinger, Structural and Asymmetry in the Isomeric Conversion of  $\alpha$ -to  $\beta$ -Endosulfan. *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 45:1023-1026 (1997)
- 3 Schmidt W.F., S. Bilboulia, C.P. Rice, J.C. Fettinger L.L. McConnell, C.J. Hapeman  
Thermodynamic, Spectroscopic, and Computational Evidence for the Irreversible Conversion of  $\beta$ - to  $\alpha$ -Endosulfan.  
*J. Agric. Food Chem.* 49(11):5372-5376 (2001)
- 4 Ruedel, H.  
Volatilization of pesticides from soil and plant surfaces.  
*Chemosphere* 35 /1/2) 143-152, (1997)
- 5 Ruedel, H.  
Testing of volatility of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -endosulfan (formulated as the product Thiodan 35):  
Volatilisation from soil.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A56571, unpublished results, (1992)
- 6 Ruedel, H.  
Testing of volatility of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -endosulfan (formulated as the product Thiodan 35):  
Volatilisation from plant surfaces.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A49663, unpublished results, (1992)
- 7 Ruedel, H.  
Testing of volatility of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -endosulfan-sulfate (formulated as the product Thiodan 35):  
Volatilisation from plant surfaces.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A57248, unpublished results, (1992)
- 8 Ahmad, N., V. Edge, P. Rohas  
Aerial Transport of Endosulfan  
Proc. Annual Program Workshop, Minimising the Impact of Pesticides on the Riverine Environment, Sydney, 22-23 August 1995. Land and Water Resources Research and Development Corporation.  
quoted in <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp41-p.pdf>
- 9 Leys, J.F., F.J. Larney, J.F. Müller, M.R. Raupach, M.R. McTainsh, G.H. Lynch  
Anthropogenic dust and endosulfan emissions on cotton farm in northern New South Wales, Australia.  
*Sci. Tot. Environ.* 220, 55-70 (1998)
- 10 Rice, C.P., C.B. Nochetto, P. Zara  
Volatilization of Trifluralin, Atrazine, Metolachlor, Chlorpyrifos,  $\alpha$ -Endosulfan, and  $\beta$ -Endosulfan from freshly tilled soil  
*J. Agric. Food Chem.* 50, 4009-4017 (2002)
- 11 Hardy, I.A.J.  
Endosulfan: Field Soil Dissipation Study in Spain  
Aventis CropScience Study 26644 (2001)

- 12 Balluff, M.  
Field Soil Dissipation of AE F002671 (Endosulfan) following a single application to bare (preemergence) cotton plots at 1 location in Greece  
Aventis Crop Science Study 20003033/GR1-FS (2001)
- 13 Rice, C.P., S.M. Chernyak, C.J. Hjapeman, S. Boilboulian  
Air-water distribution of the endosulfan isomers.  
J. Environ. Qual. 26, 1101-1106 (1997)
- 14 Altschuh J., R. Brüggemann, H. Santl, G. Eichinger, O.G. Piringer  
Henry's law constants for diverse set of organic chemicals: Experimental determination and comparison of estimation methods  
Chemosphere 29, 1871-1887 (199)
- 15 Donnelly, J.R. et al.  
Purity and heat of fusion data for environmental standards as determined by differential scanning calorimetry.  
Thermochimica Acta 167, 155-187 (1990)
- 16 Cotham, W.E., T.F. Bidleman  
Estimating the atmospheric deposition of organochlorine contaminants to the Arctic Ocean.  
Chemosphere 2, 165-188 (1991)
- 17 Bidleman, T.F., T. Harner  
Sorption to aerosols. in: Boethling, R.S., D. Mackay (eds.) Handbook of Property Estimation Methods for Chemicals. Environmental and Health Services, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, 233-260 (2000)
- 18 Marín, T., Pérez-Amaral, A. Rúa, E. Hernández  
The evolution of the pH in Europe (1986-1997) using panel data.  
Chemosphere 45, 329-337 (2001)
- 19 Stumpf, K., C. Schink  
Photodegradation of alpha-Endosulfan (HOE052618) and beta-Endosulfan (Hoe 052619) in Water.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A37588, unpublished results, (1988)
- 20 WHO, GENEVA companion volume to Environmental Health Criteria 40: Endosulfan.  
Published by the WHO for the IPCS (a collaborative programme of UNEP, ILO and WHO)  
<http://www.inchem.org/documents/hsg/hsg/hsg017.htm>
- 21 National Research Council of Canada (NRCC)  
Endosulfan: Its Effects on Environmental Quality.  
Subcommittee on Pesticides and Related Compounds, Report No.3  
NRCC Public. 14098, (1975)
- 22 Zetsch, C.  
Photochemisch-oxidativer Abbau von alpha-Endosulfan in der Gasphase.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A48146, unpublished results (1992)

- 23** Kloepffer, W.  
Determination of the KOH rate constant of alpha-endosulfan according to the Freon 113 method.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A49537, unpublished report (1992)
- 24** Kloepffer, W.  
Determination of the KOH rate constant of beta-endosulfan according to the Freon 113 method.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A49538, unpublished report (1992)
- 25** Kloepffer, W.  
Determination of the KOH rate constant of endosulfan sulfate according to the Freon 113 method.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A49536, unpublished report (1992)
- 26** Atkinson, R.  
A structure-activity relationship for the estimation of rate constants for the gas-phase reactions of OH radicals with organic compounds.  
Intern. Journal of Chem. Kinetics 19, 799-828, (1987)
- 27** Palm, W.-U., Zetzsch, C.  
Estimation of the rate constants for the reaction of alpha- and beta-endosulfan with OH radicals by the incremental procedure of Atkinson.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A48681, unpublished results (1991)
- 28** Franklin, J., R. Atkinson, P.H. Howard, J.J. Orlando, C. Seigneur, T.J. Wallington, C. Zetzsch "Quantitative Determination of Persistence in Air" in : "Evaluation of persistence and long-range transport of organic chemicals in the environment", ed. by G. Klecka, B. Boethling, J. Franklin, D. Graham, L. Grady, P. Howard, K. Kannan, R. Larson, D. Mackay, D. Muir, K. van de Meent, SETAC (2000)
- 29** Sabljic, A., Peijnenburg W.  
Modeling lifetime and degradability of organic compounds in air, soil, and water systems. (IUPAC Technical Report)  
Pure Appl. Chem. 73 (8), 1331-1348 (2001)
- 30** Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP)  
<http://www.amap.no/assess/AP2002POPs.pdf>
- 31** Hoff, R.M., Muir, D.C.G, Grift, N.P.  
Annual cycle of chlorinated biphenyls and organohalogen pesticides in air in Southern Ontario.  
Environm. Sci. Technology 26 (2), 266-275, (1992)
- 32** US EPAs and Environment Canada's common monitoring project IADN (Integrated Atmospheric Deposition Network).  
<http://www.epa.gov/grtlakes/iadn/index.html>
- 33** Brun, G.L., G.D. Howell, H.J. O'Neil,  
Spatial and temporal patterns of organic contaminants in wet precipitation in

- Atlantic Canada.  
Environ. Sci. Technol. 25, 1249-1261 (1991)
- 34** Galarneau, E., C.V. Audette, A. Bandemehr, I. Basu, T.F. Bidleman, K.A. Brice, D.A. Burniston, C.H. Chan, F. Froude, R.A. Hites, M.L. Hulting, M. Neilson, D. Orr, M.F. Simcik, W.M.J Strachan, R.M. Hoff  
Atmospheric Deposition of Toxic Substances to the Great Lakes.  
IADN Results to 1996, IADN (Integrated Atmospheric Deposition Network)  
published by Environment Canada and U.S. EPA (2000)
- 35** POPs contaminate Arctic Polar Bears.  
Pesticide News (42) p. 18, (1998)
- 36** Meakin, S.  
What's New with POPs Research in the Arctic  
Northern Perspectives 26 (1), 6-7 (2000)
- 37** Hung, H., C.J. Halsall, P. Blanchard, H. Li, P. Fellin, G. Stern, B. Rosenberg  
Temporal trends of organochlorine pesticides in the Canadian Arctic atmosphere.  
Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 862-868, (2002)
- 38** Halsall, C.J., R. Bailey, G.A. Stern, L.A. Barrie, P. Fellin, D.C.G. Muir, B. Rosenberg, F.Ya. Rovinsky, E.Ya. Kononov, B. Pastukhov  
Multi-year observations of organohalogen pesticides in the Arctic atmosphere.  
Environmental Pollution 102, 51-62, (1998)
- 39** Bidleman, D.F., Cotham, W.E., Addison, R.F., Zinck, M.E.  
Organic contaminants in the Northwest Atlantic atmosphere at Sable Island, Nova Scotia 1988-89.  
Chemosphere 24, 1389-1412, (1992)
- 40** Bidleman, T.F., R.L. Falconer, M.D. Walla  
Toxaphene and other organochlorine compounds in air and water at Resolute Bay, N.W.T. Canada.  
Sci. Tot. Environ. 160/161, 55-63, (1995)
- 41** Patton, G.W., D.A. Hinckley, M.D. Walla, T.F. Bidleman  
Airborne organochlorines in the Canadian High Arctic.  
Tellus, 41B, 243-255 (1989)
- 42** Bidleman, T.F., E.J. Christensen, W.N. Billings  
Atmospheric transport of organochlorines in the North Atlantic gyre.  
J. of Marine Research (39), 443-464, (1981)
- 43** Blanchard P., H. Hung, C. Halsall, T. Bidleman, G. Stern, P. Fellin, D. Muir, L. Barrie, L. Jantunen, P. Helm, A. Konoplev, B. Rosenberg, S. Friesen, H. Li, R. Bailey (9), E. Brorström-Lundén, and S. Juntto,  
"Chapter B.1 POPs in the atmosphere". In: Canadian Arctic contaminants assessment report II: sources, occurrence, trends and pathway in the physical environment. Bidleman T., R. Macdonald, and J. Stow (Eds.).  
Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Ottawa, 77-91, (2003)

- 44 De Wit, C.A., A.T. Fisk, K.E. Hobbs, D.C.G. Muir  
Levels, trends and effects of Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) in the Arctic environment.  
2<sup>nd</sup> AMAP International Symposium on Environmental Pollution in the Arctic, Rovaniemi 1-3 October 2002
- 45 Konoplev, A., P. Fellin, H. Li, P. Blanchard, H. Hung, D. Samsonov, G. Stern  
Monitoring of POPs in Arctic Ambient Air: Initial results from Anderna (Russia) and Preliminary Assessment.  
2<sup>nd</sup> AMAP International Symposium on Environmental Pollution in the Arctic, Rovaniemi 1-3 October 2002
- 46 UNEP Chemicals  
Regionally Based Assessment of Persistent Toxic Substances – North America  
Regional report, December 2002  
<http://www.chem.unep.ch/pts/regreports/North%20America%20full%20report.pdf>
- 47 Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)  
The Canadian Arctic Contaminants Assessment Report II (CACAR II), (2002)
- 48 Chernyak S.M., C.P. Rice, L.L. McConnell  
Evidence of currently-used pesticides in air, ice, fog, seawater and surface microlayer in the Bering and Chukchi Seas.  
Marine Pollution Bulletin 22 (5), 410-419, (1996)
- 49 Jantunen, T.F. Bidleman  
Organochlorine Pesticides and Enantiomers of Chiral Pesticides in the Arctic Ocean Water.  
Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 35 218-228 (1998)
- 50 Stern, G.A., E. Braekevelt, P.A. Helm, T.F. Bidleman, P.M. Outridge, W.L. Lockhart, R. McNeeley, B. Rosenberg, M.G. Ikonomou, G.T. Tomy, P. Wilkinson  
Modern and historical fluxes of halogenated organic contaminants to a lake in the Canadian Arctic, as determined from annually laminated sediment cores  
Env. Sci. Technol. ....
- 51 Gregor, D.J., W. Gummer  
Evidence of atmospheric transport and deposition of organochlorine pesticides and PCB in Canadian Arctic snow.  
Environ. Sci. Technol. 23 (5), 561-565 (1989)
- 52 Franz, T.P., D.J. Gregor, S.J. Eisenreich  
Snow deposition of atmospheric organic chemicals  
in: Baker, J.E. editor. Atmospheric deposition of contaminants to the Great Lakes and coastal waters. Pensacola, FL: Society for Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 73-107 (1997)
- 53 Barrie, L.A., D. Gregor, B. Hargrave, R. Lake, D. Muir, R. Shearer, B. Tracey, T. Bidleman  
Arctic contaminants: sources, occurrence and pathways.  
Sci. Tot. Environ. 122, 1-74 (1992)

- 54** Hobbs, K.E., D.C.G. Muir, E.W. Born, R. Dietz, T. Haug, T. Metcalfe, C. Metcalfe, N. Øien  
Levels and patterns of persistent organochlorines in minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) stocks from the North Atlantic and European Arctic  
Environmental Pollution 121 (2), 239-252, (2003).
- 55** Stern G.A, Ikonomou M.G.  
Temporal trends of organochlorine contaminants in south eastern Baffin (Pangnirtung) beluga: 1982-2002.  
In: Synopsis of Research Conducted under the 2001-2003 Northern Contaminants Program. Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development, Ottawa, 2003, 358-361
- 56** Gabrielsen G.W.  
Halogenated organic contaminants and metabolites in blood and adipose tissues of polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) from Svalbard  
dat submitted for AMAP report 2004
- 57** Drooge van, B.;L., J.O. Grimalt  
Atmospheric semivolatile organochlorine compounds in European High-Mountain areas (Central Pyrenees and High Tatra)  
Environ. Sci. Technol. 38 (13) 3525-3532
- 58** Blais, J.M., D.W. Schindler, D.C.G. Muir, L.E. Kimpe, D.B. Donals, B. Rosenberg  
Accumulation of Persistent Organochlorine Compounds in mountains of Western Canada.  
Nature 395 (8), 585-588, (1998)
- 59** McConnell, L.L., J.S. Lenoir, S.Datta, J.N. Seiber  
Wet deposition of current-use pesticides in the Sierra Nevada mountain range, California.  
Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 17(10), 1908-1916, (1998)
- 60** Carrera G., P., Fernandez, J.O. Grimalt, M. Ventura, L. Camarero,, J. Catalan, U. Nickus, H. Thies, R. Psenner  
Atmospheric deposition of organochlorine compounds to remote high mountain lakes of Europe.  
Environ. Sc. Technol. 36(12), 2581-2588 (2002)
- 61** US Environmental Protection Agency  
ECOTOX data base  
<http://www.epa.gov/ecotox/>
- 62** Devi, A.P., Rao, D.M.R., Tilak, K.S., Murty, A.S.  
Relative toxicity of the technical grade material, isomers, and formulations of endosulfan to the fish *Channa punctatus*.  
Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 27, 239-243, (1981)
- 63** Rao, D.M.R.  
Studies on the relative toxicity and metabolism of endosulfan on the Indian Major Carp *Catla catla* with special reference to some biochemical changes induced by

- the pesticide.  
Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 33, 220-229, (1989)
- 64** Knauf, W.  
Effect of Hoe 02671 (emulsifiable concentrate) on *Salmo gairdneri* (rainbow trout).  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A14969, unpublished results (1977)
- 65** Fischer, R.  
The Effect of Hoe 02671 (endosulfan, active ingredient 95.9%) on *Salmo gairdneri* (rainbow trout) in a static test.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A26006, (1983)
- 66** Nebeker, A.V., J.K. McCrady, R. Mshar, C.K. McAuliffe  
Relative sensitivity fo *Daphnia magna*, rainbow trout and fathead minnows to Endosulfan  
Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2, 69-72, (1983)
- 67** Haider, S., Imbaray, R.M.  
Relative Toxicity of Technical Material and Commercial Formulation of Malathion and Endosulfan to a Fresh Water Fish, *Channa punctata*.  
Ecotoxic. Environ. Safety 11 347-351, (1986)
- 68** Fischer, R.  
The effect of Hoe02671 OI EC33 B305 (Endosulfan, Emulsifiable Concentrate 352 g/L) to *Lepomis macrochirus* (Bluegill Sunfish) in a Static Test.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A29508, unpublished results (1984)
- 69** Hansen, D.J., G.M. Cripe  
Interlaboratory Comparison of the Early Life Stage Toxicity Test Using Sheepshead Minnows (*Cyprinodon variegatus*).  
Aquatic Toxicol. and Risk Assessment ASTM, Mayes/Barron., 354-375, (1991)
- 70** Knacker,, T., E. Zietz, H. Schallnass, T. Diehl  
A Study of the Prolonged Toxicity to Fish (*Onkorrhynchus mykiss*) of Endosulfan – substance technical (Hoe 002671 00 ZD98 0005) according to the OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals.  
AgrEvo, Doc. No. A46835, unpublished results, (1991)
- 71** Dionne, E.  
Endosulfan, the chronic toxicity to the Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) during full cycle exposure  
Spring Laboratories Inc. USA, (2002)  
unpublished Bayer Crop Science Doc. No. B004189
- 72** Chakravorty, S., Lal, B., Singh, T.P.  
Effects of endosulfan (thiodan) on vitellogenesis and its modulation by different hormones in the vitellogenic catfish *Clarias batrachus*.  
Toxicology 75, 191-198, (1992)
- 73** Gill, T.S., Pande, J., Tewart, H.  
Effects of endosulfan on the blood and organ chemistry of freshwater fish *Barbus*

- conchoni* Hamilton.  
Ecotoxicol. Environ Safety 21, 80-91, (1991)
- 74** Sinha, N., Lal, B., Singh, T.P.  
Effect of endosulfan on thyroid physiology in the freshwater catfish *Clarias batrachus*.  
Toxicology 67, 187-197, (1991)
- 75** Jauhar, I, Kulshrestha, S.K.  
Histopathological effects induced by sublethal doses of Sevin and Thiodan on the gills of *Channa striatus* Bl.  
Acta hydrochim. hydrobiol. 13(3), 395-400, (1985)
- 76** Joshi, A.G., Amminikutty, J, Rege, M.S.  
Chronic toxicity study of some pesticides estimating MATC for two fresh water fish.  
J. Environ. Biol. 2(1), 43-57, (1981)
- 77** Schimmel, S.C., J.M: Patrick, A.J. Wilson  
Acute toxicity to and bioconcentration of endosulfan by estuarine animals.  
In: Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Evaluation, edited by F L Mayer and J L Hamelink.  
ASTM Special Technical Publication, STP 634, 241-252, (1977)
- 78** Nebeker, AV  
Evaluation of *Daphnia magna* Renewal Life-Cycle Test Method with Silver and Endosulfan.  
Water Res. 16, 739-744, (1982)
- 79** Heusel, R.  
Endosulfan – substance, technical (Hoe 002671 00 ZD98 0005)  
Effect to *Daphnia magna* (waterflea) in a 21-day Reproduction Test (method OECD).  
AgrEvo A46561, unpublished results , (1991)
- 80** Fischer, R.  
The Effect of Endosulfan to *Scenedesmus subspicatus* (green algae) in a Growth Inhibition Test (Method OECD).  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A31389, unpublished results, (1985)
- 81** Chandler G.D., G.I. Scott  
Effects of sediment-bound endosulfan on survival, reproduction and larval settlement of meiobenthic polychaetes and copepods.  
Environm. Toxicol. Chem.10, 375-382, (1991)
- 82** Pesch, C.E., G.L. Hoffman  
Interlaboratory comparison of a 28-day toxicity test with the polychaete *Neanthes arenaceodentata*.  
In: Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Assessment, (6th), edited by W.E. Bishop, R.D. Cardwell and B.B. Heidolph, ASTM STP 802, 482-493, (1983)
- 83** Knauf, W., E.F. Schulze  
New findings on the Toxicity of Endosulfan and its Metabolites to Aquatic

- Organisms.  
Mede. Fac. Landbouwwet Rijksuniv. Gent 38(3), 717-732, (1973)
- 84** European Commission , DG ENV  
Towards the establishment of a priority list of substances for further evaluation of their role in endocrine disruption.  
Final Report M0355008/1786Q/10/11/00. BKH Consulting Engineers in association with TNO Nutrition and Food Research, Annex 13 (2000)
- 85** The reconsideration of approval of the active constituent endosulfan, registrations of products and their associated labels  
Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (2003)  
[http://www.apvma.gov.au/chemrev/endosulfan\\_draft\\_final\\_TPintro.pdf](http://www.apvma.gov.au/chemrev/endosulfan_draft_final_TPintro.pdf)
- 86** Park D., Hempleman, S.C., Propper, C.R.  
Endosulfan exposure disrupts pheromonal systems in the Red-Spotted Newt: A mechanism for subtle effects of environmental chemicals.  
Environ. Health Perspectives 109, 669-673, (2001)
- 87** Soto A.M., Chung, K.L., Sonnenschein, C.  
The Pesticides Endosulfan, Toxaphene, and Dieldrin Have Estrogenic Effects on Human Estrogen-Sensitive Cells.  
Environ. Health Perspectives 102, 380-383, (1994)
- 88** OSPAR List of Potential Endocrine Disruptors - Part B  
[http://www.ospar.org/eng/html/sap/Strategy\\_hazardous\\_substances.htm#Annex\\_3](http://www.ospar.org/eng/html/sap/Strategy_hazardous_substances.htm#Annex_3)
- 89** Andersen, H.R., A.-M. Andersen, S.F. Arnold, et al.  
Comparison of short-term estrogenicity tests for identification of hormone-disrupting chemicals.  
Environm. Health Persp. 107 (suppl.1), 89-108, (1999)
- 90** Shelby, M.D., R.R. Newbold, D.B. Tully, K. Chae, V.L. Davis  
Assessing environmental chemicals for estrogenicity using a combination of in vitro and in vivo assays.  
Environmental Health Perspectives, 104(12), 1296-1300, (1996)
- 91** Hemmer, M. J., B. L. Hemmer, C. J. Bowman, K. J. Kroll, L. C. Folmar, D. Marcovich, M. D. Hoglund, N. D. Denslow  
Effects of p-Nonylphenol, Methoxychlor and Endosulfan on Vitellogenin Induction and Expression in the Sheepshead Minnow, *Cyprinodon variegatus*.  
Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 20(2):336-343, (2001)
- 92** US Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances (2000)  
[http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/endosulfan/endocrine\\_response.PDF](http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/endosulfan/endocrine_response.PDF)
- 93** Endosulfan Task Force Response to ETF 022802 , 28 February 2002  
<http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/endosulfan/022802ETFrespHED011802.pdf>
- 94** Park D., Hempleman, S.C., Propper, C.R.  
Endosulfan exposure disrupts pheromonal systems in the Red-Spotted Newt: A

- mechanism for subtle effects of environmental chemicals.  
Environ. Health Perspectives 109, 669-673, (2001)
- 95** US EPA  
KOWWIN v 1.66  
US Environmental Protection Agency (2000)
- 96** Muehlberger, B, G. Lemke  
Endosulfan and metabolites, partition coefficient 1-octanol/water (HPLC-method), endosulfan hydroxy carboxylic acid, sodium salt; endosulfan hydroxy ether; endosulfan lactone; endosulfan sulfate; endosulfan ether; beta-endosulfan, alpha-endosulfan  
Bayer CropScience, Doc. No.. C042001, unpublished report (2004)
- 97** Sarafin, R., J. Asshauer  
Hoe 052618 and Hoe 052619 (alpha- and beta-Endosulfan) Partition Coefficient Octanol/Water.  
AgrEvo Doc. No. A36576, (1987)
- 98** Rajendran, N., V.K. Venugopalan  
Bioconcentration of Endosulfan in different body tissues of estuarine organisms under sublethal exposure.  
Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 46(1), 151-158, (1991)
- 99** Schimmel, S.C., A.M. Patrick, A.J. Wilson  
Acute toxicity to and bioconcentration of endosulfan in estuarine animals.  
In: Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Evaluation, edited by F.L. Mayer, J.L. Hamelink,  
1<sup>st</sup> Symp. ASTM STP 634, Philadelphia (PA), 241-252, (1977)
- 100** Hansen, D.J., G.M. Cripe  
Interlaboratory comparison of the Early Life-Stage Test using sheephead minnows (*Cyprinodon variegatus*).  
In: Aquatic Toxicity and Risk Assessment, edited by M.A. Mayes, M.G. Barron  
14th vol., American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) STP 1124,  
Philadelphia (PA) 14, 354-375 (1991)
- 101** Toledo, M.C.F., C.M. Jonsson  
Bioaccumulation and elimination of endosulfan in zebra fish (*Brachydanio rerio*).  
Pest. Sci. 36(3) 207-211, (1992)
- 102** Jonsson, C.M., M.C.F. Toledo  
Bioaccumulation and elimination of endosulfan in the fish Yellow Tetra (*Hyphessobrycon bifasciatus*).  
Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 50(4), 572-577, (1993)
- 103** De la Cruz, A.A., J.D. Yarbrough  
The role of aquatic weeds in maintaining surface water quality.  
Proj.No. A-134-MS, U.S.D.I, Water Resour. Res. Inst., Mississippi State Univ.  
(1982), quoted from AQUIRE Database of U.S. EPA
- 104** DeLorenzo, M.E., L.A. Taylor, S.A. Lund, P.L. Pennington, E.D. Strozier, M.H. Fulton

---

Toxicity and Bioconcentration Potential of the Agricultural Pesticide Endosulfan in Phytoplankton and Zooplankton.

Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 42, 173-181, (2002)

- 105** Evenset, A., T. Skotvold, E. Wartena, G. Christensen, H. Wolkers, M. Schlabach  
Organochlorine contaminants in freshwater and marine food chains from Bear Island.  
Presented at AMAP workshop on “Persistent organic pollutants in the Arctic: Human health and environmental concern”, Rovaniemi, Finland 18-20.01.2000
- 106** ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Register)  
Toxicological Profile for Endosulfan, September 2000  
available at: <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp41.pdf>
- 107** IPCS (The International Programme on Chemical Safety)  
<http://www.inchem.org/documents/jmpr/jmpmono/v89pr08.htm>
- 108** Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)  
Toxicological Profile for Endosulfan (PB/2000/108023), September 2000  
<http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp41.html>
- 109** WHO Environmental Health Criteria Series: No. 40 Endosulfan  
ISBN 92-4-154 180 6 (1984)  
<http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc40.htm>
- 110** End of the Road for Endosulfan.  
Environmental Justice Foundation (2002)  
[http://www.ejfoundation.org/pdfs/end\\_of\\_the\\_road.pdf](http://www.ejfoundation.org/pdfs/end_of_the_road.pdf)
- 111** Scholz, W.  
Acute Oral Toxicity of Thiodan Technical in the Male Sherman-Rat.  
Hoechst Pharma, Germany, Report No. A16757 (1971)
- 112** Scholz, W.  
Acute Oral Toxicity of Thiodan Technical in the Female Sherman-Rat.  
Hoechst Pharma, Germany, Report No. A16758 (1971)
- 113** Diehl, K.-H., K.-H. Leist  
Hoe 002671 – active ingredient technical (Code: Hoe 002671 0I ZD96 0002)  
Testing for acute oral toxicity in the male and female Wistar rat.  
Hoechst Pharma, Germany, Report No. A39680 (1988)
- 114** Diehl, K.-H., K.-H. Leist  
Endosulfan – Active Ingredient Technical (Code: Hoe 002671 0I ZD96 0002)  
Testing for acute dermal toxicity in the male and female Wistar rat.  
Hoechst Pharma, Germany, Report No. A39397 (1988)
- 115** Hollander, H., W. Weigand  
Hoe 002671 - Active Ingredient Technical (Code: Hoe 002671 0I ZD96 0003)  
Testing for Acute Aerosol Inhalation Toxicity in the Male and Female Wistar Rats – 4 hours – LC50.  
Hoechst Pharma, Germany, Report No. A32087 (1983)

- 116** Jung, W.  
Hoe 002671 - Active Ingredient Technical (Code: Hoe 002671 0I ZD96 0003) Test for Sensitizing Properties in Female Pirbright-White Guinea Pigs According to the Method of BUEHLER.  
Hoechst Pharma, Germany, Report No. A27248 (1983)
- 117** Barnard, A.V., D.R. Jones, L.A.J. Powell  
Endosulfan - Active Ingredient Technical (Code: Hoe 002671 0I ZD96 0003) 13-week Toxicity Study in Rats Followed by a 4-Week Withdrawal Period.  
Huntington Research Center, U.K., Report No. A30700 (1985)
- 118** Barnard, A.V., J.S. Atkinson, R. Heywood, et al.  
Endosulfan - Active Ingredient Technical (Code: Hoe 002671 0I ZD96 0003) 13-week Toxicity Study in Mice.  
Huntington Research Center, U.K., Report No. A29663 (1984)
- 119** Brunk, R.  
Endosulfan – substance technical- testing for toxicity by repeated oral administration (1-year feeding study) to Beagle dogs.  
Hoechst L. Toxikologie, Report No. A40441 (1989)
- 120** Ruckman, S.A., L.A. Waterson, D. Crook  
Endosulfan, active ingredient technical – combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study (104 week feeding in rats).  
Huntington Research Center, U.K. Report No. A40440 (1989)
- 121** Hack, R., E. Ebert, K.-H. Leist  
Chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity studies with the insecticide Endosulfan in rats and mice.  
Fd. Chem. Toxic. 33(11) 941-950, (1995)
- 122** Brunk, R.  
Endosulfan – substance technical- testing for toxicity by repeated oral administration (1-year feeding study) to Beagle dogs.  
Hoechst L. Toxikologie, Report No. A40441 (1989)
- 123** Albrecht, M., Ch. Baeder  
Hoe 002671 – substance technical (Code: Hoe 002671 00 ZD98 0005) Testing for embryotoxicity in the Wistar rat after oral administration.  
Hoechst AG, Pharma Developm. Germany, Report No. A51695 (1993)
- 124** Dickie, S.M., K.M. McKenzie, G.N. Rao  
Teratology Study with FMC 5462 in Rabbits.  
Raltech Sci. Serv., U.S., Report No. A23192 (1981)
- 125** Usha Rani, M.V., Reddy P.P  
Cytogenetic effects of endosulfan in mice.  
IRCS J. Med. Sci. 14 1125-1126, (1986)
- 126** Usha Rani M.V., Reddy, O.S., Reddy, P.P  
Mutagenicity studies involving aldrin, endosulfan, dimethoate, phosphamidon, carbaryl and ceresin.

- Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 25, 277-282, (1980)
- 127** Velazquez, A., A. Creus, N. Xamena  
Mutagenicity of the insecticide endosulfan in *Drosophila melanogaster*.  
Mut. Res. 136, 115-118, (1984)
- 128** Hollander; Kramer  
ENDOSULFAN Sulphate. Acute Oral Toxicity in Female SPF-Wistar Rats  
(Vehicle: Starch Suspension).  
Hoechst AG Doc. No. A06966, unpublished report (1975)
- 129** Ehling, G., K.-H. Leist  
Hoe 051327; substance, pure - Testing for acute oral toxicity in the male and  
female Wistar rat.  
Hoechst Ag Doc. No. A46286, unpublished report (1991)
- 130** Ehling, G., K.-H. Leist  
Hoe 051327; substance, pure - Testing for acute dermal toxicity in the male and  
female Wistar rat.  
Hoechst Ag Doc. No. A46130, unpublished report (1991)
- 131** Hollander; Kramer  
ENDOSULFAN Sulphate. Acute Oral Toxicity in Male Beagle Dogs (Vehicle:  
Starch Suspension).  
Hoechst AG Doc. No. A06965, unpublished report (1975)
- 132** Kramer, Weigand  
Endosulfan-lactone (Vehicle Sesam oil). Acute Oral Toxicity to the Male and  
female SPF-Wistar-K-Rat.  
Hoechst AG Doc. No. A18276, unpublished report (1971)
- 133** Hollander; Kramer  
ENDOSULFAN Lactone. Acute Oral Toxicity in Female SPF-Wistar Rats  
(Vehicle: Starch Suspension).  
Hoechst AG Doc. No. A07171, unpublished report (1975)
- 134** Hollander; Kramer  
1-Hydroxy ENDOSULFAN Ether. Acute Oral Toxicity in Female SPF-Wistar  
Rats (Vehicle: Starch Suspension).  
Hoechst AG Doc. No. A06967, unpublished report (1975)
- 135** Goebel H., S. Gorbach, W. Knauf, R.H. Rimpau, H. Huettgenbach  
Properties, effects residues and analysis of the insecticide endosulfan.  
Residue Rev. 83, 1-165, (1982)
- 136** Goerlitz, G., U. Eyrich  
Endosulfan adsorption/desorption on the system soil/water.  
Part I: alpha-endosulfan, beta-endosulfan  
AgrEvo Doc. A37591, unpublished report, (1987)
- 137** Goerlitz, G., U. Eyrich  
Endosulfan adsorption/desorption on the system soil/water.  
Part II: Metabolites Endosulfan-sulfate, Endosulfan-diol

- AgrEvo Doc. A39353, unpublished report, (1987)
- 138** Gildemeister, H., H.-J. Jordan  
Photolytic degradation of the insecticide endosulfan on soil covered thin layer plates under simulated sunlight.  
Agrevo Doc. No. A25805, unpublished report, (1983)
- 139** Ruzo, L.O., P.A. McGovern, K. Shepler  
Soil surface photolysis of (14C)Endosulfan in natural sunlight.  
Hoechst AG, Doc. No. A41608, unpublished report, (1988)
- 140** Stumpf, K., P. Dambach, O. Lenz  
Metabolism of 14C-labelled Endosulfan in five soils.  
Hoechst AG Doc. No. A53618, unpublished report, (1989)
- 141** Laabs, V., W. Amelung, G.Fent, W.Zech, R.Kubiak  
Fate of <sup>14</sup>C-labelled soybean and corn pesticides in tropical soils of Brazil under laboratory conditions  
J. Agric. Food Cehm. 50, 4619-4627 (2002)
- 142** Schnoeder F.  
[14C]AE F051327: Soil metabolism and degradation (of endosulfan sulfate)  
Covance Laboratories, Germany on behalf of Aventis CropScience, Doc. No. C019647 and Addendum C020629 (2002)
- 143** US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)  
EPA 738-R-02-013, November 2002  
[http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/reregistration/endosulfan/finalefed\\_riskassess.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/reregistration/endosulfan/finalefed_riskassess.pdf)
- 144** Stumpf.K., H. Gildemeister, P. Dambach  
Hoe002671-14C, Aerobic Metabolism of Endosulfan in Soil and the Influence of Increased Microbial Biomass at 28 °C.  
Hoechst AG Doc. No. A39429, unpublished report (1988)
- 145** Buerkle, L.  
Degradation of the Major Soil Metabolite of Endosulfan – Route and Rate of Degradation of Endosulfan Sulfate.  
Aventis Crop Science Report OE02/089
- 146** Sethunathan, N., M. Megharaj, Z. Chen, N. Singh, R.S. Kookana, R Naidu  
Persistence of endosulfan and endosulfan sulfate in soil as affected by moisture regime and organic matter addition.  
Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 68, 725-731, (2002)
- 147** Gildemeister, H., K. Stumpf, U. Scheinkoenig  
Anaerobic metabolism of endosulfan in a sandy loam and a silt loam soil.  
Hoechst AG Doc. No.. A37589, unpublished report, (1988)
- 148** Henriksen et al.1997: Results of national lake surveys in 1995 in Finland, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Russian Kola, Russian Karelia, Scotland and Wales.  
NIVA Report SNO 3645-97, 43 pp.

- 149 <http://www.umweltbundesamt.de/uba-info-daten-e/daten-e/acid-rain.htm>
- 150 Greve, P.A., S.L. Wit  
Endosulfan in the Rhine river.  
Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation 43, 2338-2348, (1971)
- 151 Stumpf, K.  
Comments regarding the Dutch Hazard Assessment of Endosulfan Concerning the Bioavailability in Water/Sediment Systems.  
HOE Doc. A44231, unpublished (1990)
- 152 Jonas, W.  
Degradation of [<sup>14</sup>C] Endosulfan in two aerobic water/sediment systems.  
Aventis Crop Science study number NA 019404 (2002)
- 153 Guerin, T.F.  
Abiological loss of endosulfan and related chlorinated organic compounds from aqueous systems in the presence and absence of oxygen.  
Environmental Pollution 115, 219-230, (2001)
- 154 Cotham, W.E., T.F. Bidleman  
Degradation of Malathion, Endosulfan, and Fenvalerate in Seawater and Seawater/Sediment Systems.  
J. Agric. Food Chem. 37, 824-828, (1989)
- 155 WHO Endosulfan. Environmental Health Criteria 40  
ISBN 92 4 154180 6  
Geneva, Switzerland, WHO, 1-61, (1984)
- 156 RPA  
Socio-Economic Impacts of the Identification of Priority Hazardous Substances under the Water Framework Directive  
Report prepared for EU Directorate-General Environment, December 2000  
[http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/enveco/chemicals/haz\\_sub\\_report.pdf](http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/enveco/chemicals/haz_sub_report.pdf)
- 157 Toxicological Profile for Endosulfan  
chapter 4: production, import, use and disposal  
<http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp41-c4.pdf>
- 158 Australian National Registration Authority for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals  
NRA Review of Endosulfan, (1998)  
[www.nra.gov.au/chemrev/prsendo71.pdf](http://www.nra.gov.au/chemrev/prsendo71.pdf)
- 159 FAO Pesticide Management: FAO PESTICIDES for Plant Production Products (1993)  
<http://www.fao.org/ag/agp/agpp/pesticid/jmpr/Download/93/endosulf.pdf>
- 160 EXTOXNET publication date: 9/93  
<http://pmep.cce.cornell.edu/profiles/extoxnet/dienochlor-glyphosate/endosulfan-ext.html>

- 161** Non-agricultural use of pesticides by OSPAR contracting Parties  
OSPAR Commission, London ISBN 0-946955-84-0,  
quoted in Final Draft OSPAR Background Document on Hazardous Substances  
Identified for Priority Action – Endosulfan.  
Doc. No. HSC 02/4/5 (2002)
- 162** Hazardous Substances Data Bank  
National Library of Medicine. National Toxicology Program. Bethesda, MD  
(1999)
- 163** US Environmental Protection Agency  
[http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/REDs/factsheets/endosulfan\\_fs.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/REDs/factsheets/endosulfan_fs.htm)
- 164** Ngabè, B., T.F. Bidleman  
Endosulfan in the Atmosphere, Review and Evaluation.  
Report for Center of Coastal Environmental Health and Biomolecular Research,  
National Ocean Service, national Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,  
Charleston, SC 29412, U.S..A. (2001)
- 165** UK Marine SACs Project  
Analysis of potentially dangerous substances in UK water. Report to Department  
of Environment, April 1989; Reference PECD 7/7/306, (1989).
- 166** Simonich, S.L., R.A. Hites  
Relationship between socio-economic indicators and concentrations of  
Organochlorine Pesticides in Tree Bark.  
Environ. Sci. Technol., 31, 999-1003, (1997)
- 167** Simonich, S.L., R.A. Hites  
Global Distribution of Persistent Organochlorine Compounds.  
Science, 269, 1851-1854, (1995)
- 168** <http://library.kcc.hawaii.edu/praise/news/eh19.html>
- 169** [http://www.pic.int/ines/icrc5/n\)10/English/ICRC5-10.pdf](http://www.pic.int/ines/icrc5/n)10/English/ICRC5-10.pdf)
- 170** U.S. EPA  
Re-registration Eligibility Decision for Endosulfan, November 2001  
[http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/REDs/endosulfan\\_red.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/REDs/endosulfan_red.pdf)
- 171** Canadian Pest Management Regulatory Agency  
REV2002-04  
<http://dsp-psd.communication.gc.ca/Collection/H113-5-2002-4E.pdf>
- 172** European Commission  
Peer Review Programme – Endosulfan.  
Vol. 3 Annex B Pesticide Safety Directorate/ECCO Team  
unpublished draft (1998)
- 173** Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council (EC) No 98/8 of 16  
February 1998 on the placing of biocidal products on the market.  
Official Journal of the European Communities No.L 123, 24/04/1998, 01-63  
<http://ecb.jrc.it/biocides>

- 174** Decision No 2455/2001/EC of the European Parliament and the Council, of 20 November 2001  
Official Journal L 331, 15.12.2001 1-5  
amending Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a framework for the Community action in the field of water policy  
Official Journal (OJ L 327) 22 December 2000, p. 01-73
- 175** UNECE  
Draft Protocol on Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers to the Aarhus Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters  
5th Ministerial Conference - Environment for Europe,  
Kiev, Ukraine, 21-23 May 2003  
<http://www.unece.org/env/documents/2003/pp/mp.pp.2003.1.e.pdf>
- 176** AMAP Progress Report to the Arctic Council Ministerial Meeting, Inanri, Finland, 9-10 October 2002  
[http://www.arctic-council.org/files/inari2002sao/amap\\_report.pdf](http://www.arctic-council.org/files/inari2002sao/amap_report.pdf)
- 177** [http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/ph\\_ps/pest/09-99.pdf](http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/ph_ps/pest/09-99.pdf)
- 178** Annual EU-wide Pesticide Residues Monitoring Reports  
[http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/inspections/fnaoi/reports/annual\\_eu/summary39701\\_en.pdf](http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/inspections/fnaoi/reports/annual_eu/summary39701_en.pdf)
- 179** Umweltbundesamt  
Daten zur Umwelt – Der Zustand der Umwelt in Deutschland, Ausgabe 2000  
E. Schmidt Verlag, Berlin; ISBN 3-503-05973-3 , (2001):
- 180** van de Plassche, E.J.  
Towards integrated environmental quality objectives for several compounds with a potential for secondary poisoning. – National Institute of Public Health and environmental Protection.  
(RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands. Report No. 679101 012, (1994):
- 181** Water quality guidelines for ecosystem protection from the Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality. Draft (ANZECC & ARMCANZ, 1999  
[http://www.fisheries.nsw.gov.au/conservation/habitats/water\\_quality\\_pesticides.htm](http://www.fisheries.nsw.gov.au/conservation/habitats/water_quality_pesticides.htm)
- 182** National Registration Agency for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals  
Managing Endosulfan Residues in Crops, Animal feed and Livestock.  
November 2000  
<http://www.nra.gov.au/chemrev/endcatle.pdf>
- 183** Lu, F. C.  
A review of the acceptable daily intakes of pesticides assessed by the World Health Organization.  
Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 21: 351-364,. (1995)

---

**184** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), Washington, DC, (2000)