

## Evaluation of Espoo MOP 5 and MOP/MOP 1

	Total						As percentage of those expressing an opinion					
	poor	mediocre	average	good	excellent	N/A	poor	mediocre	average	good	excellent	N/A
<b>Meeting</b>												
Preparations for meeting	0	0	0	11	10	0	0%	0%	0%	52%	48%	0%
Duration of meeting	0	0	4	10	7	0	0%	0%	19%	48%	33%	0%
Outstanding issues - quality of discussions	0	0	5	13	3	0	0%	0%	24%	62%	14%	0%
Outstanding issues - efficiency of meeting	0	0	3	11	7	0	0%	0%	14%	52%	33%	0%
Resulting workplan - technical scope	0	0	1	12	7	1	0%	0%	5%	57%	33%	5%
Resulting workplan - regional scope	0	0	2	15	3	1	0%	0%	10%	71%	14%	5%
Seminar on 20 years of law and practice	0	0	8	7	6	0	0%	0%	38%	33%	29%	0%
Panel discussion on nuclear energy	0	0	3	13	5	0	0%	0%	14%	62%	24%	0%
<b>Documents</b>												
Presentation of formal documents, clarity	0	1	3	9	8	0	0%	5%	14%	43%	38%	0%
Timeliness of documents	0	1	2	12	6	0	0%	5%	10%	57%	29%	0%
Relevance of documents	0	0	1	13	7	0	0%	0%	5%	62%	33%	0%
Usefulness of informal notes on agenda	0	0	0	9	12	0	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	0%
<b>General</b>												
Support of UNECE secretariat	0	0	1	6	14	0	0%	0%	5%	29%	67%	0%
Level of participation in meeting	0	0	2	12	7	0	0%	0%	10%	57%	33%	0%
Overall presentation of meeting	0	0	0	12	9	0	0%	0%	0%	57%	43%	0%
<b>Practical arrangements</b>												
Registration	0	0	0	6	15	0	0%	0%	0%	29%	71%	0%
Quality of interpretation	0	0	0	10	10	1	0%	0%	0%	48%	48%	5%
Meals/coffees	2	1	3	10	5	0	10%	5%	14%	48%	24%	0%