Evaluation Form

UNECE seminar on risk assessment methodologies under the Convention on the Transboundary Effects
of Industrial Accidents

Geneva, 4 December 2018

Please indicate below your opinion of various aspects of the seminar and provide comments (overleaf) on desirable follow-up activities and means of future support from UNECE

# Organization and practical arrangements for the seminar

**Your comments and ideas for improvements for future seminars:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Availability of seminar documentation in English, French and Russian in due time before the seminar | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Usefulness of practical information for participants | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Online registration (information provided, clarity of registration process, deadline, etc.) | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Seminar venue, location and arrangement of the seminar room | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Time schedule, duration of the seminar and agenda items | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Support provided by UNECE secretariat before /during the seminar | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Quality of headphones / microphones/interpretation | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Usefulness of providing publications at the seminar | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

# 2. General comments on the seminar

**Your comments on the organization and practical arrangements of the seminar:**

# Your name or organization (optional):

# Overall Satisfaction with the seminar

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Relevance of subject to your area of expertise or work | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Knowledge and practical skills obtained for your future work  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Providing a forum for exchange of information with other participants and to establish contacts  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Usefulness and relevance of the good practices that were presented | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Presentations: relevance, quality, clarity, usefulness  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Panel/Discussions: efficiency, quality, clarity, usefulness | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Reviews/Conclusions/Outcomes/ Decisions taken at the seminar | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Structure of the programme | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| The extent to which the seminar goals were achieved: |
| Enhancing understanding about the role of effect and risk assessment on industrial accidents prevention, preparedness and response | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Showing the overall approach and concept of the effect/risk assessment analysis explaining the respective main elements and their relation (type of methodology – origin of assumptions – endpoint values – risk criteria etc.) | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Providing an overview to UNECE member States about the procedures and experience gained in applying effect/risk assessment methodologies | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Providing a forum for the exchange of ideas and approaches aiming at implementing them | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Providing inputs to the future work of the UNECE Industrial Accidents Convention | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Improving your understanding of the provisions of the UNECE Industrial Accidents Convention and how to effectively implement effect and risk assessment methodologies | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Raising awareness of the need to consider NATECH risks | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Raising awareness of the linkages to the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction and the Sustainable Development Goals | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**What were the main lessons and positive aspects that you learned during the seminar, in relation to the role of effect and risk assessment in the context of UNECE Industrial Accidents Convention? Were there important points missing?**

# 4. Desirable follow-up activities

**What do you believe should be the follow-up activities after this seminar, in relation to effect and risk assessment methodologies and with a need under the umbrella of the Convention?**
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