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Decision VI/3–II/3

Adoption of the workplan

The Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, meeting in joint session,

Recalling article 11, paragraph 2 (f), of the Convention, stipulating that the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention shall undertake additional action that may be required to achieve the purposes of the Convention,

Recalling also article 14, paragraph 4 (f), of the Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment, stipulating that the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol shall take additional action, including action to be carried out jointly under the Protocol and the Convention, that may be required to achieve the purposes of the Protocol,

Recognizing that it is essential for Parties to the Convention and the Protocol to meet fully their legal obligations arising under these treaties,

Recognizing also that Parties to the Convention and the Protocol should take action to maximize the effectiveness of their application of the Convention and the Protocol, respectively, so that the best possible practical results are achieved,

Recognizing with appreciation the valuable work carried out under the workplan adopted at the fifth session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the first session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment (decision V/9–I/9), particularly:

(a) The steps taken by Parties and non-Parties to ensure their environmental impact assessment systems are consistent with the provisions of the Convention and the Protocol to report accordingly;

(b) The workshops and pilot projects for subregional cooperation and capacity-building on environmental impact assessment (EIA) and strategic environmental assessment (SEA) organized by the Governments of Armenia, Belarus, Estonia, Germany, Poland and Ukraine;

(c) The seminars for the exchange of good practices organized by the Governments of Austria, Belgium, Finland, Poland and Sweden; and by the European Commission, the International Association for Impact Assessment (IAIA) and the Chair of the Implementation Committee,

Also recognizing with appreciation the substantial funding raised by the secretariat to allow implementation of the workplan activities, in particular in countries of Eastern Europe and the Caucasus,

Acknowledging that several workplan activities for subregional cooperation and capacity-building were no longer requested or were postponed to the next intersessional period by the countries that had proposed them,

Noting with satisfaction that the activities in the workplan adopted at the fifth session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the first session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, excluding those that had been cancelled, were approximately 68 per cent completed, with
100 per cent of priority 1 activities completed and approximately 94 per cent of priority 2 activities and 48 per cent of priority 3 activities completed.\(^1\)

Also noting with satisfaction that the implementation of approximately 92 per cent of the remaining workplan activities were ongoing or being planned and would be completed in the next intersessional period,

Noting with concern the relatively limited funding available to support the implementation of the workplan activities in the countries of Central Asia,

Wishing to establish realistic intersessional workplans under the Convention and the Protocol by ensuring in advance the funding of the workplan activities,

1. **Decide** that activities for which no funding is identified should not be included in the workplan, but included on a waiting list until appropriate funding is made available;

2. **Adopt** the workplan and the waiting list of activities for the period up to the seventh session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the third session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, as set out in the table annexed to the present decision;

3. **Suggest** that lead countries that carry out the relevant activities might consult each other in order to benefit from each other’s experience and to avoid unnecessary overlap;

4. **Call on** the Parties, and also invite non-Parties, to arrange, host and participate actively in seminars, workshops and meetings to facilitate implementation of and compliance with the Convention and the Protocol;

5. **Invite** every relevant body or agency, whether national or international, governmental or non-governmental and, as appropriate, researchers, commercial firms, developers, consultants or other commercial entities to participate actively in the activities included in the workplan;

6. **Invite** the Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) Executive Secretary to continue supporting the work under the Convention and the Protocol by promoting the activities planned in the workplan, providing formal documentation for and ensuring publication of the outcomes of these activities in the three official languages of ECE, as appropriate. Publications subject to global outreach should be processed and translated by the United Nations conference services and made available in the six United Nations languages;

7. **Decide** that during the intersessional period the Working Group on Environmental Impact Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment should meet in spring 2015, spring 2016 and late 2016 and that the Implementation Committee should hold in total eight sessions, meeting two to three times a year — in spring, autumn and winter;

8. **Request** the secretariat to prepare the provisional agendas and other formal documentation for and reports on the meetings mentioned in paragraph 7 above and to issue these documents in the three official languages of ECE.

---

\(^1\) Activities included in the budget adopted by the Convention and the Protocol for the period up to the sixth session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the first session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol contained in annex I of decision V/10-I/10 were assigned priorities 1 and 2. Other workplan activities that were financed to the extent possible through earmarked contributions by Parties or through project funding are referred to as priority 3 activities.
### Annex I

**Workplan for the implementation of the Convention and its Protocol for the period up to the seventh session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the third session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity objectives</th>
<th>Method of work (sub-activities)</th>
<th>Organizational arrangements</th>
<th>Expected outcome</th>
<th>Time schedule</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compliance with and implementation of the Convention and the Protocol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance the implementation of and compliance with the Convention and the Protocol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Consideration by the Implementation Committee of compliance submissions.</td>
<td>Undertaken by the Implementation Committee, with the support of the secretariat.</td>
<td>Recommendations on compliance submissions.</td>
<td>2014–2017, presented to MOP7 and MOP/MOP3</td>
<td>Most items included in Implementation Committee and secretariat costs. Exceptions are identified below.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Report on the Committee’s activities to MOP7 and MOP/MOP3.</td>
<td>Undertaken by the Implementation Committee, with the support of the secretariat.</td>
<td>Reports of the Committee meetings and a synthesis report to MOP7 and MOP/MOP3.</td>
<td>2014–2017, presented to MOP7 and MOP/MOP3</td>
<td>May require funding for translation of submissions ($10,000 is budgeted).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If necessary, review of the Committee’s structure and functions and operating rules.</td>
<td>Undertaken by the Implementation Committee, with the support of the secretariat.</td>
<td>Possible revision of the Committee’s structure and functions and operating rules.</td>
<td>2014–2017, presented to MOP7 and MOP/MOP3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity objectives</td>
<td>Method of work (sub-activities)</td>
<td>Organizational arrangements</td>
<td>Expected outcome</td>
<td>Time schedule</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Examination of the outcome of the fourth review of implementation of the Convention and the first review of implementation of the Protocol.</td>
<td>Undertaken by the Implementation Committee, with the support of the secretariat.</td>
<td>Summary of compliance issues from the fourth review of implementation of the Convention and the first review of implementation of the Protocol.</td>
<td>By the end of 2014</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Modification of the questionnaires for the report on implementation of the Convention and, as appropriate, the Protocol, in 2013–2015.</td>
<td>Undertaken by the Implementation Committee, with the support of the secretariat and, where appropriate, of WHO.</td>
<td>Modified questionnaires</td>
<td>Presentation of draft modified questionnaires to the Working Group in 2015</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Distribution of the questionnaire to the Parties to the Convention and to the Protocol for them to complete and return.</td>
<td>Carried out by the secretariat</td>
<td>Completed questionnaires.</td>
<td>Issue of questionnaires, one by end of October 2015 and the other by end of December 2015</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity objectives</td>
<td>Method of work (sub-activities)</td>
<td>Organizational arrangements</td>
<td>Expected outcome</td>
<td>Time schedule</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Preparation of draft reviews of implementation of the Convention and the Protocol.</td>
<td>Carried out by the secretariat</td>
<td>Draft fifth review of implementation of the Convention and draft second review of implementation of the Protocol for consideration by the Implementation Committee, the Working Group on EIA and SEA, MOP7 and MOP/MOP3.</td>
<td>Presentation of the draft reviews to the Committee and the Working Group in autumn 2016 and to MOP7 and MOP/MOP3.</td>
<td>Requires external consultants and translation of national reports ($25,000 is budgeted).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Reviews of legislation, procedures and practice, and technical assistance in drafting legislation, to strengthen Parties’ implementation of and compliance with the Convention and the Protocol. Prompted by the Implementation Committee or requested by Parties themselves, as follows:</td>
<td>Undertaken by external consultant(s), with the support of the secretariat and, where appropriate, WHO. Supervised by members of the Committee (if further to a Committee initiative).</td>
<td>Recommendations on strengthening capacity, including amendments to legislation, procedures and institutional arrangements.</td>
<td>As decided by the Implementation Committee and the Party concerned</td>
<td>Costs: approximately $25,000 per review, plus in-kind contributions from Parties providing experts and from target country for interpretation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Country-specific reviews, including a period in-country examining national legislation and based on earlier reviews further to decision IV/2. Parties experiencing similar problems might be assisted jointly:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Technical advice to Armenia on improving legislation to implement the Protocol and advice in drafting necessary amendments and legislation;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014–2015</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*a* Requires external consultants and translation of national reports ($25,000 is budgeted).

*b* Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity objectives</th>
<th>Method of work (sub-activities)</th>
<th>Organizational arrangements</th>
<th>Expected outcome</th>
<th>Time schedule</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Technical advice and support to Kyrgyzstan on improving legislation as well as the institutional framework to implement the Convention;</td>
<td>Undertaken by external consultant and the national expert group on EIA.</td>
<td>Draft law on EIA.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Funding (approximately $25,000) from Switzerland.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Technical advice to Azerbaijan on improving legislation to implement the Convention;</td>
<td>Undertaken by external consultant.</td>
<td>Draft implementing regulations.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Subregional overview based on legislative reviews on EIA and SEA combined with information gathered when developing general guidance on enhancing consistency between the Convention and environmental assessment within the framework of State ecological expertise.</td>
<td>Lead countries: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Republic of Moldova and Ukraine. Undertaken by external consultant(s), with the support of the secretariat.</td>
<td>Subregional overview of SEA and EIA systems prepared and disseminated.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Pre-accession legislative assistance:</td>
<td>Undertaken by external consultant(s), with the support of the secretariat.</td>
<td>Recommendations to the country on strengthening capacity, including amendments to legislation, procedures and institutional arrangements.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Technical advice to Azerbaijan on improving legislation to implement the Protocol and advice in drafting necessary amendments;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity objectives</td>
<td>Method of work (sub-activities)</td>
<td>Organizational arrangements</td>
<td>Expected outcome</td>
<td>Time schedule</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Technical assistance to Belarus in drafting legislation to implement the Protocol (building on the existing legislative review);</td>
<td></td>
<td>2014–2015</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Technical assistance to Georgia in drafting legislation to implement the Convention and the Protocol (building on the existing legislative review);</td>
<td></td>
<td>2014–2015</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Technical advice to Kazakhstan on improving legislation to implement the Protocol and advice in drafting necessary amendments;</td>
<td></td>
<td>2015–2017</td>
<td>EU funding for Kazakhstan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Technical advice to the Russian Federation;</td>
<td>To be specified by the Russian Federation.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Funding (approximately $28,000) from Sweden (carried forward).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Collection of findings and opinions from the Committee regarding the Convention and the Protocol, posted on website.</td>
<td>Carried out by the secretariat.</td>
<td>Online collection of the Committee’s findings and opinions.</td>
<td>Annual updates</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Alignment of the authentic language versions of the Protocol and the two amendments to the Convention: (a) Identification and review of inconsistencies; (b) Preparation of proposals for addressing the inconsistencies (through correction or amendment procedures).</td>
<td>Secretariat to organize a review by the United Nations editors, the ad hoc task force and the Working Group to review inconsistencies identified and to prepare proposals.</td>
<td>Proposals for aligning the text of the Protocol.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity objectives</td>
<td>Method of work (sub-activities)</td>
<td>Organizational arrangements</td>
<td>Expected outcome</td>
<td>Time schedule</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Preparation of guidance on the implementation of the Convention (drawing on opinions of the Implementation Committee).</td>
<td>Undertaken by external consultant(s), with the support of the secretariat and, as needed, the Implementation Committee and the Working Group on EIA and SEA.</td>
<td>Guidance document.</td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Funding for a consultant ($10,000) from EIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Further development and update of the Guidelines on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context for Central Asian Countries.</td>
<td>Lead country: Kyrgyzstan, Regional workshops to discuss and update the Guidelines.</td>
<td>Guidelines on EIA for Central Asian Countries.</td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Funding from Switzerland in 2015 ($25,000) and 2016 ($10,000) for external and national consultants and organization of up to two workshops.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Preparation of a video to promote the benefits of the Convention and the Protocol in Eastern Europe and the Caucasus</td>
<td>Secretariat to prepare a draft script, Bureau/the Working Group to review it and external contractor to produce the video clip.</td>
<td>1–3 minute video clip</td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subregional cooperation and capacity-building to strengthen contacts between the Parties and others, including States outside the ECE region**

- Improved and developed application of the Convention and its elements for all subregions:
  - (a) Possible evaluation of relevant guidance;
  - (b) Possible guidance on subregional issues such as public participation and the role of NGOs;
  - (c) Common understanding of elements of

- Participants cover their own travel and accommodation costs to the extent possible, while host countries cover organizational and venue costs in kind (approximately $5,000–$20,000 per workshop).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity objectives</th>
<th>Method of work (sub-activities)</th>
<th>Organizational arrangements</th>
<th>Expected outcome</th>
<th>Time schedule</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protocol within the subregions.</td>
<td><strong>South-Eastern Europe subregion</strong>&lt;br&gt;1. Workshop(s) on implementation of the Convention, Protocol and Bucharest Agreement in the subregion: workshop to prepare for the first Meeting of the Parties to the Bucharest Agreement.</td>
<td>Lead country: Romania</td>
<td>Workshop reports and possible reports on specific issues.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Donor/in-kind.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion of cooperation in all subregions. Increased professional skills of officials and increased awareness of the public, including NGOs, as well as of officials at all relevant administrative levels in relation to SEA and transboundary EIA and to the application of the Convention and its Protocol.</td>
<td><strong>Mediterranean subregion, including Adriatic Sea</strong>&lt;br&gt;2. Carried forward workshop for the Mediterranean.</td>
<td>Lead country: Morocco, with support from other interested countries.</td>
<td>Workshop report.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Funding largely available ($20,000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased coordination between environmental treaties in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia.</td>
<td><strong>Baltic Sea subregion</strong>&lt;br&gt;3. Holding of three meetings on:&lt;br&gt;(a) SEA for programmes of measures under the EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive (art. 13) and the experience of large-scale transboundary projects;</td>
<td>Lead countries: Germany, Latvia and Lithuania.</td>
<td>Workshop reports and possible reports on specific issues.</td>
<td>(a) 2014 in Germany&lt;br&gt;(b) 2015 in Lithuania&lt;br&gt;(c) 2016 in Latvia</td>
<td>In kind.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity objectives</td>
<td>Method of work (sub-activities)</td>
<td>Organizational arrangements</td>
<td>Expected outcome</td>
<td>Time schedule</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) (i) Assessment and reduction of transboundary impacts on transboundary watercourses and international lakes in the context of the Espoo Convention, the Protocol on SEA and the Helsinki Convention; (ii) Effective public participation in SEA/EIA in the context of the Espoo Convention, the Protocol on SEA and the Aarhus Convention; (c) (topics to be identified by the lead country).</td>
<td>Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia</td>
<td>4. Subregional coordination and experience-sharing events to share successes, challenges, solutions and experiences as well as the results of capacity-building activities on SEA and EIA, followed by the dissemination of seminar results in all countries of Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia, including to the public and NGOs. The events can focus one or several challenges in SEA or EIA implementation (e.g., public participation; monitoring;</td>
<td>Lead countries: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Republic of Moldova, Ukraine</td>
<td>Dissemination of seminar results: All countries of Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia.</td>
<td>Require donor and/or in-kind contributions by beneficiary countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity objectives</td>
<td>Method of work (sub-activities)</td>
<td>Organizational arrangements</td>
<td>Expected outcome</td>
<td>Time schedule</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interministerial cooperation/consultations) or on a sector (energy, mining, etc.) Countries to propose themes/topics for the events:</td>
<td>Czech Republic.</td>
<td>Autumn 2014</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Georgia.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ukraine.</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Joint capacity-building activities with the Aarhus Convention for Armenia, Azerbaijan and Georgia on public participation in decision-making, including to strengthen the capacities of national Aarhus Centres and the regional Aarhus Centres Network in the EIA and SEA processes. Use the Good Practice Recommendations on Public Participation on SEA and the general guidance on enhancing systemic consistency.</td>
<td>Lead countries: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, involving Aarhus Centres in these countries, with support from OSCE and inputs from Aarhus Convention and Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo Convention) secretariats.</td>
<td>Capacity-building and training materials.</td>
<td>2015–2016 (tbc)</td>
<td>Funding from the Environment and Security Initiative.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity objectives</td>
<td>Method of work (sub-activities)</td>
<td>Organizational arrangements</td>
<td>Expected outcome</td>
<td>Time schedule</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(a) Development and compilation of capacity-building materials; (b) Regional and national training workshops.</em></td>
<td>1. Workshops or half-day seminars within meetings of the Working Group on:</td>
<td>From each workshop or seminar, a brief, clear document presenting advice on the most important problems identified for the theme in question.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exchange of good practices</strong></td>
<td><em>(a) Post-project analysis;</em> Lead countries: Belarus, in cooperation with Ukraine.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>In kind and some funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN) to cover costs for speakers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared knowledge and experience in appropriate legislation for implementation of the Convention and the Protocol, leading to better national legislation and application.</td>
<td><em>(b) Global application of the Convention and the Protocol: focus on non-ECE countries and IFIs.</em> Lead organization: EIB.</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>In kind. (Travel costs for non-ECE countries should be covered from the budget.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity objectives</td>
<td>Method of work (sub-activities)</td>
<td>Organizational arrangements</td>
<td>Expected outcome</td>
<td>Time schedule</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Development of good practice recommendations on the application of the Convention to nuclear energy-related activities.</td>
<td>Undertaken by external consultant(s), in line with agreed terms of reference, under the supervision of an editorial group including Austria, Belarus, Finland, France, Germany, the Netherlands, Poland, Ukraine, the European Commission and European ECO Forum, and with support from the secretariat.</td>
<td>Good practice recommendations for adoption by MOP7 and MOP/MOP3.</td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td>In kind.</td>
<td>Requires consultant(s) for drafting ($10,000–$20,000). Co-funding from Austria ($5,000) and the EU (EaP GREEN).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Promoting ratification and application of the Protocol on SEA | Lead: secretariat in cooperation with the concerned countries. | Ratifications and other outcomes specified below. | 2014–2017 | | }

**Promoting ratification and application of the Protocol on SEA**

Ratification, full legal implementation and practical application of the Protocol.
Increased professional skills of officials, and increased awareness of the public, including NGOs, as well as of officials at all relevant administrative levels in relation to SEA and to the application of the Protocol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of work (sub-activities)</th>
<th>Organizational arrangements</th>
<th>Expected outcome</th>
<th>Time schedule</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Preparation of fact-sheets on application of SEA, e.g., on industrial reconversion and investment plans or sustainable agricultural practices.</td>
<td>Lead: All Parties, including Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Republic of Moldova and Ukraine, as well as the secretariat in cooperation with UNEP. (The Party preparing the fact-sheet will be responsible for its layout.)</td>
<td>Fact-sheets</td>
<td>2014–2016</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN) for translation and publication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity objectives</td>
<td>Method of work (sub-activities)</td>
<td>Organizational arrangements</td>
<td>Expected outcome</td>
<td>Time schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased exchange of information and experience in the application of the Protocol.</td>
<td>2. Preparation of two-page informal pamphlets on key issues in SEA practice (e.g., on …).</td>
<td>Lead organization: IAIA, with the support of WHO, SEA and health experts and the secretariat.</td>
<td>Informal pamphlets on key issues</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Workshops, including training, on the application of the Protocol for countries in the ECE region and other Parties to the Protocol, in particular countries of South-Eastern and Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia:</td>
<td>Lead countries: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Republic of Moldova and Ukraine.</td>
<td>Workshop and training reports.</td>
<td>Countries to specify the timing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) One national training event, one local training events;</td>
<td>Armenia.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Two national training events, two local training events;</td>
<td>Azerbaijan.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2014–2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) One national training events;</td>
<td>Belarus.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d) One national training event;</td>
<td>Georgia.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2014–2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(e) Two national training events, two local training events;</td>
<td>Republic of Moldova.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2014–2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(f) One national training event, one local training event;</td>
<td>Kazakhstan.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2015–2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity objectives</td>
<td>Method of work (sub-activities)</td>
<td>Organizational arrangements</td>
<td>Expected outcome</td>
<td>Time schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) One national training event, two local training events.</td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td></td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. National guidance documents on SEA.</td>
<td>Lead countries: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Republic of Moldova and Ukraine.</td>
<td>One published guidance document per country.</td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td>Funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN); and from the EU for Kazakhstan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Pilot SEAs in selected countries, in selected sectors.</td>
<td>Lead countries: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Republic of Moldova, Tajikistan and Ukraine, in collaboration with UNEP and WHO, where relevant.</td>
<td>Project reports.</td>
<td>(a) Armenia; (b) Azerbaijan; (c) Georgia; (d) Republic of Moldova; (e) Ukraine; (f) Kazakhstan.</td>
<td>(a)–(e) funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN). (f) EU funding for Kazakhstan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ECE = United Nations Economic Commission for Europe; EIA = environmental impact assessment; EIB = European Investment Bank; EU = European Union; IAIA = International Association for Impact Assessment; MOP7 = the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention at its seventh session; MOP/MOP3 = the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol at its third session; NGOs = non-governmental organizations; OSCE = Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe; SEA = strategic environmental assessment; UNEP = United Nations Environment Programme; WHO = World Health Organization.

"The financing of the activities foreseen in the budget of the Convention and its Protocol for the period up to the seventh session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the third session of the Meeting of the Parties to Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, as set out in annex I to decision VI/4–II/4, will be contingent on sufficient funds being made available by Parties through their voluntary contributions to the Convention trust fund.

"Greening Economies in the European Union’s Eastern Partnership" (EaP-GREEN), a European Union-funded regional multi-stakeholder project. Funding subject to applicable procedures under the project.

### Annex II

List of activities awaiting funding for the implementation of the Convention and its Protocol for the period up to the seventh session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the third session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity objectives</th>
<th>Method of work (sub-activities)</th>
<th>Organizational arrangements</th>
<th>Expected outcome</th>
<th>Time schedule</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Compliance with and implementation of the Convention and the Protocol | 1. Reviews of legislation, procedures and practice and technical assistance in drafting legislation to strengthen Parties’ implementation of and compliance with the Convention and the Protocol. Prompted by the Implementation Committee or requested by Parties themselves, as follows:  
(a) Country-specific reviews, including a period in-country examining national legislation and based on earlier reviews further to decision IV/2. Parties experiencing similar problems might be assisted jointly;  
(b) Technical advice further to possible Committee initiatives (as decided by the Implementation Committee). | Undertaken by external consultant(s), with the support of the secretariat. | Recommendations to the country on strengthening capacity, including amendments to legislation, procedures and institutional arrangements. | | 2016–2017 | Funding for up to two reviews is budgeted. |
<p>| | 2. Pre-accession legislative assistance: technical advice to Uzbekistan to review its national legislation for implementation of the Protocol and to propose amendments. | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity objectives</th>
<th>Method of work (sub-activities)</th>
<th>Organizational arrangements</th>
<th>Expected outcome</th>
<th>Time schedule</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subregional cooperation and capacity-building to strengthen contacts between the Parties and others, including States outside the ECE region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For all subregions: (a) Possible evaluation of relevant guidance; (b) Possible guidance on subregional issues such as public participation and the role of NGOs; (c) Common understanding of elements of application and possible multilateral agreements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South-Eastern Europe subregion</strong></td>
<td>1. Workshop(s) on implementation of the Convention, the Protocol and the Bucharest Agreement in the subregion. (a) Workshop; (b) First Meeting of the Parties to the Bucharest Agreement.</td>
<td>Lead countries: Croatia, and Slovenia.</td>
<td>Workshop reports and possible reports on specific issues.</td>
<td>(a) 2015</td>
<td>(a) No funding confirmed yet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mediterranean subregion, including Adriatic Sea</strong></td>
<td>2. Carried forward workshop on practical application of the Convention on the basis of the results in the previous workshops.</td>
<td>Lead country: Italy, to be confirmed.</td>
<td>Workshop reports and possible reports on specific issues.</td>
<td>2014–2015</td>
<td>In kind.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participants cover their own travel and accommodation costs to the extent possible, while host countries cover organizational and venue costs in kind (approximately $5,000–$20,000 per workshop).

Participants cover their own travel and accommodation costs to the extent possible, while host countries cover organizational and venue costs in kind (approximately $5,000–$20,000 per workshop).

Participants cover their own travel and accommodation costs to the extent possible, while host countries cover organizational and venue costs in kind (approximately $5,000–$20,000 per workshop).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity objectives</th>
<th>Method of work (sub-activities)</th>
<th>Organizational arrangements</th>
<th>Expected outcome</th>
<th>Time schedule</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exchange of good practices</strong></td>
<td>Workshops or half-day seminars within meetings of the Working Group on:</td>
<td></td>
<td>From each workshop or seminar, a brief, clear document presenting advice on the most important identified problems for the theme in question.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Costs (e.g., costs for speakers, provision and translation of materials) to be covered in kind by lead countries, to the extent possible (approximately $10,000 per seminar).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A topic related to SEA (e.g., based on IAIA “FasTips”).</td>
<td>Lead organization: IAIA.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>In kind and some funding from the EU (EaP-GREEN) to cover costs for speakers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pilot SEAs in selected countries, in selected sectors.</td>
<td>Requested by Tajikistan.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td>Requires funding ($80,000–$100,000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity objectives</td>
<td>Method of work (sub-activities)</td>
<td>Organizational arrangements</td>
<td>Expected outcome</td>
<td>Time schedule</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased professional skills of officials and increased awareness of the public, including NGOs, as well as of officials at all relevant administrative levels in relation to SEA and to the application of the Protocol.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased exchange of information and experience in the application of the Protocol.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ECE = United Nations Economic Commission for Europe; EIA = environmental impact assessment; EU = European Union; NGOs = non-governmental organizations; IAIA = International Association for Impact Assessment; SEA = strategic environmental assessment.

a The financing of the activities foreseen in the budget of the Convention and its Protocol for the period up to the seventh session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the third session of the Meeting of the Parties to Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, as set out in annex I to decision VI/4-II/4, will be contingent on sufficient funds being made available by Parties through their voluntary contributions to the Convention trust fund.

b “Greening Economies in the European Union’s Eastern Partnership” (EaP-GREEN), a European Union-funded regional multi-stakeholder project. Funding subject to applicable procedures under the project.
Decision VI/4–II/4

Budget, financial arrangements and financial assistance

The Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, meeting in joint session,

Recalling decision V/10–I/10 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention, and the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment, on the budget, financial arrangements and financial assistance for the period up to the sixth session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the second session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol,

Recognizing the wish of the Parties for a high degree of transparency and accountability,

Welcoming the biannual financial reports prepared by the secretariat since the fifth session of the Meeting of Parties to the Convention, with biannual reporting best addressing the schedule of the meetings of the Working Group on Environmental Impact Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment, the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, as well as national budgeting cycles,

Recognizing with appreciation the contributions made in cash and in kind to the budget in the period between the fifth and sixth sessions of the Meetings of the Parties to the Convention, and between the first and second sessions of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol,

Seeking to facilitate the willingness of donor countries to make further contributions, as well as assisting financial and project management,

Believing that the Parties should be informed in a timely manner of the status of and developments in the financing of activities under the Convention and the Protocol,

Also believing that the financing of activities under the Convention and the Protocol should be distributed among as many Parties and non-Parties as possible,

Aware of the importance of wide participation by the Parties in activities under the Convention and the Protocol in order to ensure progress,

Aware also of the need to facilitate the participation of certain countries with economies in transition that may otherwise not be able to take part,

Recalling the amendment to the Convention (decision II/14 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention), which allows United Nations Member States not members of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) to accede to the Convention, and recalling article 23, paragraph 3, of the Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment, which allows United Nations Member States not members of ECE to accede to the Protocol,

1. Establish a scheme of financial contributions whereby Parties and Signatories to the Convention and to the Protocol would contribute each year an amount that they may choose to pledge on a voluntary basis, and also invite international financial institutions, as well as other stakeholders, to contribute;
2. Agree that, in line with paragraph 19, work will continue during the intersessional period to ensure that the financial arrangements and the financial strategy foster the effective implementation of the workplan;

3. Confirm for State Parties the system of shares endorsed by decision III/10 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention, whereby countries make contributions equivalent in value to a number of shares of the budget;²

4. Recognize the commitment by the European Union to contribute 2.5 per cent of the total amount not covered by the United Nations regular budget for priority 1 activities of the workplan of the Convention and its Protocol and its intention to maintain its annual pledge of €50,000 towards the combined costs of the 2014–2017 workplan until that amount becomes less than 2.5 per cent of the total, while noting that this is subject to annual endorsement by the budgetary authorities of the European Union and is without prejudice to paragraph 1;

5. Adopt the financial strategy for carrying out the work under the Convention and the Protocol, given the financial constraints, as contained in annex II to this decision;

6. Urge all Parties to contribute to ensuring sustainable funding of activities and an equitable and proportionate sharing of the financial burden among the Parties and Signatories;

7. Strongly urge Parties that have so far only committed limited funds or in-kind contributions to raise their contributions during the current and future budget cycle;

8. Adopt the report prepared by the secretariat on the budget and financial arrangements in the period since the fifth session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the first session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of Parties to the Protocol (ECE/MP.EIA/2014/1–ECE/MP.EIA/SEA/2014/1);

9. Decide that activities under the workplan for the period up to the seventh session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the third session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, which are included in the budget of the Convention and the Protocol for that same period, as set out in annex I to this decision, and which are not covered by the United Nations regular budget, should be covered by contributions of 1,100 shares of US$ 1,000 each, of which 565 shares would cover the core (priority 1) requirements and 535 shares would cover the remaining non-core (priority 2) requirements;

10. Agree the budget of the Convention and its Protocol for the period up to the seventh session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the third session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, as set out in annex I;

11. Also agree that contributions shall be allocated to the budgets of the individual items in the budget table contained in annex I, in the order of priority set for each item unless and to the extent that a contributor specifies that a contribution should be allocated to a particular item in the budget; where funds remain after the completion of such items, the surplus shall be transferred to the overall budget to be spent on the budget items in the order of priority set for each item;

12. Request that Parties seek to transfer their contributions to the ECE Trust Fund on Local Technical Cooperation under the Convention and the Protocol as early as possible

² Language to be modified based on the agreement of the “financial scheme”.

in their budget year and, insofar as possible, contributions for a given calendar year should
be made by the end of the preceding year so as to provide greater certainty for future
financial and project management;

13. Request the secretariat to continue to prepare and submit to the Bureau
biannual reports with a view to assisting in the preparation of the report to the seventh
session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the third session of the Meeting
of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, as
requested in paragraph 17 below, and further request the Bureau to consider the biannual
reports prepared by the secretariat and to agree their circulation to the Parties;

14. Also request the secretariat to include in the biannual reports information on
the resources available (including in-kind contributions and United Nations programme
support) and the expenditure on each item specified in the budget, as well as highlighting
significant developments;

15. Further request the secretariat to provide Parties with timely reminders
concerning outstanding pledges;

16. Decide that the Executive Secretary of ECE has authority, after consultations
with the Bureau, to make adjustments to the budget, up to a maximum of 10 per cent, where
such adjustments are necessary before the next meeting of Parties, and that Parties are
promptly informed of such adjustments;

17. Request the secretariat, in accordance with the financial rules of the United
Nations, to monitor the expenditure of the funds and to prepare a report for the next
meeting of the Parties, based on the information contained in the biannual reports and
giving a clear indication of the significant developments during the period in order that
Parties can best meet future demands for resources under the Convention and its Protocol;

18. Request the Executive Secretary of the ECE to seek additional staff funded
by the regular budget of the United Nations to provide long-term and stable secretariat
functions;

19. Decide that the Working Group on Environmental Impact Assessment and
Strategic Environmental Assessment shall prepare a further draft decision on financial
arrangements for adoption at the seventh session of the Meeting of the Parties to the
Convention and the third session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as
the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, based on experience gained in the meantime
under the financial arrangements adopted by this meeting;

20. Call upon countries with economies in transition to finance to the extent
possible their own participation in the activities under the Convention and its Protocol in
order to ensure that the limited funds available are used efficiently;

21. Urge Parties and encourage non-Parties and relevant international
organizations to contribute financial resources to enable countries with economies in
transition and non-governmental organizations to participate in the meetings under the
Convention and its Protocol;

22. Decide that securing proper staffing of the secretariat has priority above
financial support to participants in formal meetings and that, among participants, priority
should be given to representatives of Parties, then of non-Parties and then of non-
governmental organizations;

23. Recommend that the Convention and its Protocol should apply the guiding
criteria established and periodically updated by the Committee on Environmental Policy for
financial assistance to support the participation of experts and representatives from
countries with economies in transition in meetings and workshops organized within the framework of the Convention and its Protocol and other relevant activities, depending upon the availability of funds for this purpose;

24. **Request** the secretariat to grant, subject to the availability of funds for this purpose, in accordance with the budget decided by the Meeting of the Parties, financial assistance to designated experts from non-governmental organizations identified by the Bureau for their participation in meetings under the Convention and under the Protocol, unless otherwise decided by the Working Group on Environmental Impact Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment;

25. **Decide** that the Bureau shall, depending on the availability of funding for this purpose, in accordance with the budget decided by the Meeting of the Parties, and subject to priority being given to funding the workplan, examine requests for possible financial assistance for the participation by representatives and experts from States outside the ECE region in meetings under the Convention and its Protocol.
Annex I
Budget for the implementation of the Convention and its Protocol for the period up to the seventh session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the third session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, for activities to be funded from the Convention trust fund or by in-kind contributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Notes/sub-activities</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost per item per unit (shares)</th>
<th>Cost per unit (shares)</th>
<th>Number of units over three years (shares)</th>
<th>Total cost over three years (shares)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizational activities (with most meetings taking place in Geneva)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seventh session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the third session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Participation of countries with economies in transition (CITs)</td>
<td>Meeting 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Participation of non-governmental organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Invited speakers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Participation of non-ECE countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings of the Working Group on Environmental Impact Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Participation of CITs (Bureau members)</td>
<td>Meeting 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Participation of non-governmental organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Participation of non-ECE countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau meetings (free standing)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Participation of CITs (Bureau members)</td>
<td>Meeting  —</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings of the Implementation Committee</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Participation of CITs (Committee members)</td>
<td>Meeting  —</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal translations of informal papers for meetings listed above</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting  —</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion of contacts with countries outside the ECE region (with reporting of results to Working Group)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Travel of secretariat and Chair</td>
<td>Mission  —</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External expert to provide secretariat support for the implementation of the Convention and the Protocol</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>External expert (United Nations Standard Salary Cost, including net salary, taxes and common staff costs)</td>
<td>Year 180 3 540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further secretariat support for the implementation of the Convention and the Protocol</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Consultants</td>
<td>Year 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Promotional materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Secretariat travel in relation to the workplan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal (organizational) 960
### Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Notes/sub-activities</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost per item per unit (shares)</th>
<th>Cost per unit (shares)</th>
<th>Number of units over three years (shares)</th>
<th>Total cost over three years (shares)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complaince with and implementation of the Convention and the Protocol</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Informal translations of submissions</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Drafting of reviews of implementation</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Drafting guidance related to nuclear energy</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Country-specific performance reviews</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange of good practices</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Workshops or half-day seminars</td>
<td>Seminar</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal (substantive activities)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand total (in shares, 1 share = $1 000)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Full time staff member at the P-3 level to support the secretariat to fulfi its functions as required by the Convention and the Protocol, including notably regarding the review of implementation and compliance under the Convention and the Protocol, capacity-building and maintenance of the website. The external expert is required to supplement the secretariat staff funded through the United Nations regular budget, which currently comprises one staff member at the P-4 level and, as of April 2014, 40 per cent of a (support) staff member at the G-5 level.*
Annex II
Financial strategy

I. Introduction

1. The financial strategy set out in the present document has been prepared further to decision V/10–I/10 on budget, financial arrangements and financial assistance of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo Convention) and the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment (Protocol on SEA), requesting “the Bureau, possibly with the support of a small group, to develop a strategy for carrying out the work under the Convention and the Protocol given the financial constraints” (ECE/MP.EIA/15, para. 16).

2. The draft strategy was agreed by the Working Group on Environmental Impact Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment, on the basis of a proposal prepared by the Bureau and with support from the secretariat.

3. The strategy draws also on the experience of the other United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) environmental conventions in developing sustainable extrabudgetary financial arrangements.

II. Objectives

4. The objectives of the financial strategy are:

   (a) To establish the basis for preparing realistic intersessional workplans with defined resource requirements under the Convention and the Protocol;

   (b) To ensure sufficient resources for covering the costs of activities that are not covered by the United Nations regular budget;3

   (c) To improve the stability and predictability of the sources of funding;

   (d) To maintain a funding scheme based on voluntary contributions that is transparent and open to all Parties and Signatories, and in addition invite international financial institutions and other stakeholders to contribute;

   (e) To encourage all Parties and other stakeholders to support the implementation of the workplans.

3 Regular budget (RB) resources of the ECE secretariat are made available to cover its core mandate, that is, to ensure the servicing of meetings of the governing bodies and key subsidiary groups. The level of the RB resources is determined by United Nations Member States through relevant decisions in the Fifth Committee (with responsibilities for administration and budgetary matters) and later in the General Assembly. The RB staff resources have remained constant in spite of the increase in the functions of the secretariat, e.g., with the entry into force of the Protocol on SEA, and the greater use of the compliance mechanism (Implementation Committee). Moreover, the RB resources are insufficient for activities that go beyond the core mandate (e.g., capacity-building), which, therefore, must be financed through extrabudgetary funds.
III. Elements of the financial strategy

A. Planning

5. The following elements should be taken into account when planning future activities and drafting budgets:

   (a) The Meetings of the Parties, when deciding on the next intersessional workplan, should at the same time agree on the budget and ensure that sources of appropriate additional extrabudgetary resources are identified;

   (b) The primary responsibility for securing the necessary resources to implement the workplan should lie with the Parties;

   (c) Activities relevant for the implementation of the Convention for which no funding or no lead countries can be identified should not be included in the workplan upon its adoption but should be included on a separate waiting list until appropriate funding and lead countries are made available. The funding of the “wait-listed” activities should, however, be subject to reassessment by the Bureau based on possibly changed priorities, unless the donor contribution was earmarked for a specific activity on the waiting list;

   (d) The draft workplans should indicate funding requirements/estimated costs (in United States dollars) for all the proposed activities;

   (e) Extrabudgetary funds must be appropriate to cover not only the activities but also the staff — both professional staff and programme assistants — needed to implement them;

   (f) The allocation of resources for the budget and intersessional workplan items should be based on agreed priorities.

B. Contributions to the trust fund

6. To ensure sustainable funding of the activities and an equitable and proportionate distribution of the financial burden among the Parties and the Signatories, the system of voluntary financial contributions, based upon a system of shares, established by decision III/10 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention, is maintained, whereby Parties to the Convention and the Protocol as well as Signatory States, other countries, international and regional organizations, international financial institutions and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) may choose to make contributions equivalent in value to a number of shares of the budget.

7. To improve the sustainable availability and predictability of the extrabudgetary funding for the activities under the Convention and the Protocol:

   (a) Insofar as possible, and subject to the internal budgetary procedures of the Parties, contributions for a given calendar year should be made by the end of the preceding year, so as to secure staff costs for the smooth functioning of the secretariat, as a priority, and the timely and effective implementation of the activities;

   (b) Financial contributions should preferably be made towards the overall implementation of the workplan, but could also be earmarked for a particular activity;

   (c) To the extent possible, the financial contributions to the trust fund under the Convention should be “unconditional”, that is, they should be provided without signed agreements or other exchange of written communication, other than written requests for
payment, and requiring no specific financial or substantive reporting by the secretariat on
their use other than that provided in the biannual financial reports produced by the
secretariat;

(d) The secretariat should write to Parties to encourage further pledges and
timely financial contributions. The letters should be sent out not later than 90 days before
the session of the Meeting of the Parties and at the end of each subsequent calendar year of
the intersessional period. The letters should also make reference to the available
information on ongoing activities under the Convention and its Protocol and the status of
the paid contributions.

C. In-kind contributions

8. In addition to extrabudgetary financial contributions to the trust fund under the
Convention, Parties as well as Signatories, other ECE and non-ECE countries, international
and regional organizations, international financial institutions and NGOs should be
couraged to make in-kind contributions. These could include:

   (a) Covering the cost of services linked to workplan activities (provision of
       expertise, organization of a meeting, publication of results, etc.);

   (b) Funding directly the participation of representatives of countries with
economies in transition and the secretariat, rather than through contributions to the trust
fund;

   (c) Providing financial support for representatives of States outside the ECE
region that they wished to participate in activities or meetings;

   (d) Provision of leadership and expertise within the subsidiary bodies under the
Convention and the Protocol.

9. Parties should also be encouraged to provide human resources for the
implementation of the activities by the Convention secretariat, for example through
providing a junior or associate expert.4

D. Expenditure from the trust fund

10. The contributions should continue to be allocated to the budgets of the individual
items of expenditure in the order of priority set for each item, as decided on by the Meeting
of the Parties. In addition to this:

   (a) Subject to the availability of financial resources, support to representatives of
States outside the ECE region can be provided only if such representatives would draw
clear benefits from their participation, such as attendance at a workshop and contribution to
relevant discussions;

   (b) Financial support for States neighbouring the ECE region should be
prioritized over other States outside the ECE region;

---
4 Parties that have a junior professional officer programme may wish to consider providing an associate
expert. These are typically young professionals with a university degree in an appropriate discipline
and a few years of professional experience that are made available to a receiving international
organization for a period of two to three years.
(c) Savings could be made by reducing the number of printed items and moving to electronic publications, as well as by reducing the length of printed documents.

E. **Expenditure from the regular budget**

11. Savings from the regular budget should be made, including through:

   (a) Continued reduction in the number of documents, their length and their translation;
   
   (b) Continued reduction in printing, with a move to electronic publications;
   
   (c) More even distribution of the meetings throughout the year.

**Decision VI/5–II/5**

*Accession by Member States of the United Nations not members of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe*

*The Meeting of the Parties to the Convention and the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, meeting in joint session,*

*Recalling* their decision V/8–I/8 on accession by Member States of the United Nations not members of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe,

*Also recalling* decision V/2 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention on interpretation of article 14 of the Convention, and the desire expressed therein to bring about an early entry into force of the amendments adopted through its decisions II/14 and III/7,

*Further recalling* article 17, paragraph 3, of the Convention, as adopted by decision II/14 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention, which concerns the accession upon approval of a State that is a Member of the United Nations but that is not a member of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE),

*Additionally recalling* article 23, paragraph 3, of the Protocol, which concerns the accession upon approval of a State that is a Member of the United Nations but that is not a member of ECE,

*Convinced* that the Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context and the Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment are effective instruments for fostering environmentally sound and sustainable development, and for supporting cooperation also beyond the ECE region,

*Wishing* to share the knowledge, practices and experience gained in the ECE region and, at the same time, to benefit from the knowledge, practices and experience in other regions of the world,

*Recognizing* the increased interest in the Convention and the Protocol and the involvement of many non-ECE countries in activities within their framework,

*Acknowledging* the need for a procedure for accession by non-ECE countries not differing from the procedure for accession by ECE-countries,

*Expressing* the unanimous desire to allow countries outside the ECE region to become Parties to the Convention and to the Protocol as soon as possible,
1. Express satisfaction that article 17, paragraph 3, adopted by decision II/4 will enter into force on 26 August 2014, in accordance with article 14, paragraph 4, of the Convention, and taking into account decision V/2 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention on the interpretation of article 14 of the Convention, for those States that have ratified, approved or accepted the amendment;

2. Urge all the States that were Parties to the Convention on 27 February 2001 that have not yet done so to ratify the amendment to article 17 as soon as possible, for it to have effect;

3. Decide that, for the purposes of the amendment to article 17 of the Convention, adopted by decision II/14, any future request for accession to the Convention by any Member of the United Nations that is not a member of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe is welcome and, therefore, shall be considered as approved by the Meeting of the Parties;

4. Acknowledge that the present approval of future requests for accession to the Convention will take effect upon entry into force of the amendment to article 17 in accordance with article 14, paragraph 4, of the Convention, and taking into account decision V/2 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention on interpretation of article 14 of the Convention;

5. Invite any Member of the United Nations that is not a member of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe and which submits an instrument of accession in accordance with paragraph 3 above to unilaterally accept the provisional application of the Convention until the amendment to article 17 of the Convention has entered into force for all the States and organizations that were Parties to the Convention on 27 February 2001;

6. Decide that, for the purposes of article 23, paragraph 3, of the Protocol, any future request for accession to the Protocol by any Member of the United Nations that is not a member of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe is welcome and, therefore, shall be considered as approved by the Meeting of the Parties;

7. Also decide, that the provision of article 24, paragraph 3, of the Protocol shall be interpreted as applying, mutatis mutandis, to the case of accession pursuant to article 23, paragraph 3, and that the Protocol shall therefore enter into force for any State referred to in article 23, paragraph 3, on the ninetieth day after the date of deposit by the State of its instrument of accession;

8. Request the secretariat to inform the United Nations Treaty Section and the interested Members of the United Nations which are not members of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe about the present decision;

9. Invite interested Members of the United Nations that are not members of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe to take steps to implement the two treaties with a view to a future accession to the Convention and the Protocol in accordance with article 17, paragraph 3, of the Convention, and article 23, paragraph 3, of the Protocol, respectively.
Declaration

We, the high-level representatives of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) member States and the European Union gathered in Geneva from 2 to 5 June 2014 on the occasion of the sixth session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo Convention) and the second session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment.

A. On the application of the Convention and the Protocol to nuclear energy issues

Mindful of the growing energy needs that call for diversification of energy sources, including the exploration of renewable energy sources,

Respecting the sovereign right of each Party to the Convention and the Protocol to make decisions upon its national energy mix, while protecting the environment and human health,

Aware that nuclear energy-related activities are being carried out or planned in the region and beyond,

Conscious that nuclear energy-related activities due to their nature can lead to significant transboundary and long-range adverse environmental impacts and imply special challenges due to great public concern and national interests,

Acknowledging that the Convention is a key instrument establishing rules for domestic action and international cooperation for preventing, reducing and controlling significant adverse transboundary environment impact from proposed activities that include nuclear energy-related activities,

Acknowledging also that the Protocol is an essential instrument to ensure the assessment of the environmental, including health, effects of plans and programmes, and possibly also policies and legislation, that set the framework for future development consent for projects, including in the field of nuclear energy,

Recognizing that other international treaties and relevant international organizations play an important role in ensuring nuclear safety and security worldwide,

A1. Emphasize that Parties to the Convention and the Protocol that carry out nuclear energy-related activities should do so in accordance with the Convention and the Protocol, in a sustainable manner, taking into consideration the precautionary and polluter pays principles, and respecting international nuclear safety standards and relevant environmental legislation;

A2. Invite all Parties to apply the Convention and the Protocol in the nuclear energy-related field in a consistent, cooperative and transparent manner, following all the procedural steps, and ensuring that a transboundary environmental impact assessment of planned activities and a strategic environmental assessment of relevant plans and programmes are undertaken before the decision to authorize or undertake the activities is taken or the plan or programme is adopted;

A3. Also invite all Parties to the Convention and the Protocol to ensure early, timely and effective consultations and public participation — when all options are open;
A4. **Recommend** that the environmental impact assessment documentation should describe reasonable locational and technological alternatives, and identify and assess all the impacts of a nuclear energy activity throughout the whole life cycle taking also into consideration its impacts on climate and risks;

A5. **Invite** all Parties to the Convention to take due account of the outcomes of the transboundary environmental impact assessment procedure in the final decision on the proposed activity, including the environmental impact assessment documentation and the comments made by the authorities and public of the potentially affected Parties;

A6. **Consider** that if an activity needs upgrade works during its life cycle that might have significant adverse environmental impacts, this should be considered as a major change to the activity in question and be subject to the provisions of the Convention;

A7. **Encourage** civil society and all stakeholders to actively and constructively participate in the environmental impact assessment and the strategic environmental assessment procedures and share best practices in this regard;

A8. **Recognize** the need to assist countries in the practical application of the Convention and the Protocol in the field of nuclear energy, and welcome the decision to elaborate good practice recommendations to support the application of the Convention to nuclear energy-related activities (decision VI/7);

A9. **Emphasize** that close cooperation and improved mutual understanding of other Parties’ practices and needs in the field of nuclear energy will facilitate the application of transboundary environmental procedures in full compliance with the Convention and the Protocol;

A10. **Encourage** effective cooperation between Parties and secretariats with all relevant international treaties as well as international organizations to maximize synergies and strengthen capacities with a view to ensuring the highest possible quality of environmental assessment and level of safety in the nuclear energy field, especially stressing the importance of the treaties under the auspices of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), including in particular the 1994 Convention on Nuclear Safety;

A11. **Encourage** Parties to the Espoo Convention and its Protocol to explore the IAEA Site and External Events Design (SEED) Review Service, in order to ensure that the best available option is selected for nuclear energy development.

B. **On the global application of the Convention and the Protocol**

**Convinced** that the Convention and the Protocol are effective instruments for fostering environmentally sound and sustainable development, and for supporting closer international cooperation also beyond the ECE region,

**Recognizing** the important contribution of both treaties to improving environmental governance and transparency in planning and decision-making, promoting public participation pursuant to principles 10, 17 and 19 of the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development⁵ and convinced that they bring benefits also worldwide,

---

Emphasizing that the Convention is the only international legal instrument requiring countries to notify and consult each other on activities under consideration that are likely to have a significant adverse environmental impact across borders,

Emphasizing also that strategic environmental assessment, in particular, is a key tool for integrating environment and health considerations into planning, policymaking and legislation, including related to greening the economy and climate change mitigation, for all the United Nations Member States alike,

Recognizing that strategic environmental assessment can help all countries achieve the Millennium Development Goal of integrating the principles of sustainable development into their plans, programmes and policies to ensure environmental sustainability,

Welcoming the increased interest in the Convention and the Protocol and the involvement of many non-ECE countries in activities within their framework,

Wishing to share the knowledge, practices and experience gained in the ECE region and, at the same time, to benefit from the knowledge, practices and experience in other regions of the world,

Recalling that the Protocol provides for the accession of all United Nations Member States,

Recalling also decision II/14 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Convention of 27 February 2001 to amend the Convention’s article 17 to allow for States outside the ECE region to become Parties to the Convention,

Aware that the 2001 amendment to the Convention must enter into force for all the Parties that were Party to the Convention at the time of the amendment’s adoption for it to have effect,

Wishing to allow countries outside the ECE region to become Parties to the Convention and to the Protocol as soon as possible following a procedure for accession not differing from the procedure for accession by ECE member countries,

B1. Urge all the States and organizations that were Parties to the Convention on 27 February 2001 that have not yet done so to ratify the amendment to article 17 as soon as possible;

B2. Call upon all member States of ECE that have not yet done so to ratify and accede to the Convention, its amendments and the Protocol;

B3. Invite other interested States that are Members of the United Nations to take steps to implement the two treaties with a view to a future accession;

B4. Emphasize that, in order to reap the full benefit of the Convention and the Protocol, States must not only become Parties, but must also introduce all the necessary national measures, both legal and practical, to meet their obligations fully;

B5. Encourage the Parties and Signatories to the Convention and the Protocol, as well as other United Nations Member States wishing to accede to the two treaties, to develop sufficient capacity for the Convention’s and the Protocol’s successful implementation;

B6. Recognize that the successful implementation of the Convention and the Protocol depends in part on the relevant authorities having adequate administrative and financial capacity to comply fully with their requirements;
B7. **Acknowledge** the need to raise awareness of the benefits of the Convention and the Protocol as well as to assist countries within and beyond the ECE region in their efforts to build capacities to effectively implement them,

B8. **Call for** strengthening of cooperation with non-ECE countries interested in acceding to the Convention and the Protocol with a view to promoting mutual exchange of experience as well as the application of the two treaties beyond the ECE region;

B9. **Call upon** Parties and encourage Signatories, other States as well as partner organizations and international financial institutions to coordinate their efforts in providing the appropriate technical assistance, as needed, notably for the development of legislation, and for building sufficient institutional capacity for the application of the Convention and the Protocol, in particular in countries in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia, as well as developing countries beyond the ECE region;

B10. **Also invite** the secretariats of other relevant treaties, as well as international organizations, including other United Nations Economic and Social Council Regional Commissions and non-governmental organizations, to participate in and support the relevant activities under the Convention and the Protocol and to promote the application of the two treaties within their fields of competence;

B11. **Further invite** civil society and all stakeholders to continue to assist with and contribute to the implementation and application of the Convention and the Protocol, noting that the meetings under the two treaties provide a platform for an exchange of views and information;

B12. **Acknowledge** the role that international financial institutions and bilateral aid agencies play in mainstreaming environmental considerations into economic development throughout the world;

B13. **Encourage** international financial institutions and bilateral aid agencies to ensure that their environmental assessment procedures are consistent with the Convention and the Protocol, notably in relation to their strategic planning and to investment projects with national and transboundary effects;

B14. **Acknowledge** the importance of coordinated international cooperation among countries, governmental organizations and international financial institutions within and beyond the ECE region in assessing environmental, including health, effects, in particular in a transboundary context;

B15. **Invite** the ECE Executive Secretary to continue to provide adequate secretariat support for the Convention and the Protocol and request the allocation of sufficient regular United Nations budget funds to ensure the stable and effective provision of secretariat functions, including to promote the implementation of the two treaties within and beyond the ECE region.