



**Economic and Social  
Council**

Distr.  
GENERAL

ECE/EB.AIR/WG.1/2009/14  
8 July 2009

Original: ENGLISH

---

**ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE**

**EXECUTIVE BODY FOR THE CONVENTION ON LONG-RANGE  
TRANSBOUNDARY AIR POLLUTION**

Working Group on Effects

Twenty-eighth session  
Geneva, 23–25 September 2009  
Item 7 of the provisional agenda

**FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF EFFECTS-ORIENTED ACTIVITIES**

**DRAFT LONG-TERM STRATEGY**

Submitted by the Bureau of the Working Group on Effects

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. At its twenty-sixth session in December 2008, the Executive Body considered a note on a long-term strategy for the Convention (ECE/EB.AIR/2008/6) prepared by its Bureau and decided to finalize the document for submission to its twenty-seventh session in 2009. The Executive Body appreciated that the Working Group on Effects and the Steering Body to the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP) were updating their strategies. The present draft strategy was produced in accordance with the Convention's 2009 workplan (ECE/EB.AIR/96/Add.2, item 3.1 (d) (iii)), approved by the Executive Body at its twenty-sixth session in December 2008. The Working Group is invited to discuss this draft and its submission to the twenty-seventh session of the Executive Body in December 2009.

GE.09-22896

2. The Bureau of the Working Group on Effects agreed that the priorities defined in the long-term strategy of the effects-oriented activities for 2005–2015 (EB.AIR/WG.1/2005/15/Rev.1) were still valid. However, recent scientific development, new knowledge and emerging environmental issues necessitated an update.
3. The Working Group aims to support effective implementation of the Convention in line with its mandate, in particular in assessing the results, effectiveness and sufficiency of existing protocols as well as their revision and extension. The effects-oriented activities establish and continuously develop a reliable, up-to-date scientific basis that is used to design and assess environmental policies, including cost-benefit analysis.
4. In recent years, new environmental problems have emerged and certain existing ones have gained in importance. The driving forces and socio-economic conditions have changed. In particular, improvements are needed regarding: (a) the interaction of air pollution control with climate change; (b) more active participation of, and cooperation with, countries in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia (EECCA) and South-Eastern Europe (SEE); and (c) development of common policies on a hemispheric or global scale. These requirements would need to be reflected in the aims and scope of the future effects-oriented activities.

## **II. OBJECTIVES**

5. The Working Group focuses on the effects of acidifying air pollutants, nutrient nitrogen, tropospheric ozone, volatile organic compounds, particulate matter, heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants (POPs) on the environment and health. It also alerts the Executive Body to any perceived additional – or changed – threats caused by air pollution that might require policy response.
6. The Working Group collects, assesses and further develops environment and health related knowledge and information on:
  - (a) The present status, long-term trends and dynamics as well as the degree and geographical extent of the impacts of air pollution, in particular but not exclusively its long-range transboundary impacts;
  - (b) Exposure-response relationships for agreed air pollutants;
  - (c) Critical loads, levels and limits for agreed air pollutants, and their links to observations;
  - (d) The linkages between the effects of air pollution, biodiversity and the effects of climate change.

7. The effects-oriented activities: (a) prioritize problems to be solved and the timing of main tasks; (b) collect, process and assess data; and (c) present results in a clear and policy-relevant manner. The up-to-date scientific knowledge and information will:

(a) Support the development of air pollution abatement strategies and decision-making at the national and international levels;

(b) Assess the results and effectiveness of the implementation of the existing protocols to the Convention, and compile and evaluate available scientific knowledge for its application in integrated assessment models and air pollution policy;

(c) Attract more Parties, including from outside the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) region, to participate in the effects-oriented work and activities under the Convention, as active involvement is essential for the relevance and quality of the Working Group's deliverables;

(d) Continue to inform stakeholders and the general public about the effects of air pollution on the environment and health.

### **III. LONG-TERM PRIORITIES**

8. In fulfilling its mandate and in meeting the needs of the Executive Body, the Working Group identifies the following priority areas as of particular importance in the scientific work and in the development of methods and tools for the years 2010–2020:

(a) Provision of quantitative policy-relevant information on monitored and modelled air pollution effects;

(b) Derivation of exposure-response functions for chemical and biological effects of air pollutants, inter alia for acidification, nutrient nitrogen, ozone effects and ecosystems' functioning and biodiversity, in combination with other stresses such as land management;

(c) Gathering information on policy-relevant indicators to evaluate pollution effects on the environment and health;

(d) Monitoring and assessment of effects on the environment and health and their trends;

(e) Further development of modelling and mapping procedures, in particular for effects of nitrogen and ozone on the environment and particulate matter on health, and of dynamic processes of damage and recovery due to acidification, eutrophication and heavy metal accumulation, including biological effects;

(f) Further studies of the uncertainties, robustness and validation of models, as well as data assumptions and uncertainties;

(g) Gathering information on possible risks from POPs and heavy metals not yet included in the 1998 Protocol on Heavy Metals and the 1998 Protocol on POPs, as well as their updated annexes and amendments;

(h) Evaluation of environmental benefits of air pollution control policies, as well as contribution to economic assessment of damages.

9. Considering the available data on effects within the Convention, the Working Group gives priority to the following pollutants: nitrogen, particulate matter, ozone, sulphur, heavy metals and POPs.

10. The Bureau of the Working Group noted recent work on developing future long-term strategy for the Convention considered by the Executive Body, including the results of the Saltsjöbaden workshops and the note on the long-term strategy (ECE/EB.AIR/2008/6), new scientific knowledge, available data and new emerging issues. It suggested creating an additional provisional list of areas for inclusion in the long-term strategy of the effects-oriented activities. These activities might include, inter alia:

(a) Supporting and promoting capacity-building and enhanced participation of EECCA and SEE countries in the effects-oriented activities;

(b) Continuing to strengthen of scientific and technical links to other regions, to share knowledge, experiences, data and information through scientific outreach;

(c) Exploring further synergies and mutual benefits of closer linkage of effects-oriented activities to climate change mitigation and adaptation;

(d) Further developing quantification and methods of policy-relevant indicators of air pollution effects and their links to the integrated modelling work;

(e) Preparing proposals for strengthening existing control measures and for including additional pollutants on the basis of observed and documented adverse effects;

(f) Further developing forms and methods for efficient, comprehensive and timely communication of scientific results within the Convention and to policymakers, the scientific community and the general public.

#### **IV. METHODS**

11. The effects-oriented activities under the Working Group comprise large-scale transnational monitoring and research networks that provide the scientific expertise to assess effects on ecosystems and materials. These networks observe and document the present situation with respect to chemical and biological parameters, generate and collect data, and collate the best available information and scientific knowledge on a regional basis on the effects of major air pollutants and their recorded trends. This information is used for the creation and validation of models to reflect environmental processes and assess the risks of air pollution. The work includes comprehensive case studies, the modelling and mapping of effects as well as the assessment of damage and recovery in a broad context, including the terrestrial, aquatic and built environments.

12. The work on the effects on human health of air pollutants is based on the comprehensive knowledge gathered and synthesized from inputs from research organizations and EMEP as well as from the World Health Organization (WHO) and its collaborating networks, such as those on exposure assessment and health effects studies.

13. The Guidelines for reporting on the monitoring and modelling of air pollution effects (ECE/EB.AIR/2008/11; see also the related Executive Body decision 2008/1) are the basis for the work. Cooperation between International Cooperative Programmes (ICPs) concerning monitoring, modelling and mapping is carried out in areas and at sites of common interest. The Bureau will collaborate with the ICPs in reviewing and refining monitoring strategies in order to establish criteria which define the greatest risks or in order to provide additional monitoring where it is required. Shared information systems for effects-oriented activities will enhance assessment capabilities and improve common access to information.

14. To review the obligations of the effects-related work under the Convention, the Working Group and its Bureau will oversee the contributions of the individual programmes and task forces to the implementation of its long-term strategy. Reassessment of tasks will depend on the outcome of the envisaged review of protocols and on any new priorities of the Executive Body.

#### **V. ORGANIZATION**

15. The Working Group and its Bureau are responsible for the planning, coordination and reporting of effects-oriented activities under the Convention. Specific activities are coordinated and implemented by the task forces of the ICPs, the Joint Task Force on the Health Aspects of Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution of the WHO European Centre for Environment and Health (ECEH) and the Convention's Executive Body (hereinafter, the Task Force on Health), and the Joint Expert Group on Dynamic Modelling.

16. The international cooperative effects-related activities under the Convention (and their designated programme centres, including recent changes in the official names of the host institutes) are:

(a) ICP on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests, led by Germany (Johann Heinrich von Thünen-Institute (vTI), Federal Research Institute for Rural Areas, Forestry and Fisheries (earlier: Federal Research Centre for Forestry and Forest Products), Federal Research Centre for Forestry and Forest Products, Hamburg, Germany);

(b) ICP on Assessment and Monitoring of Acidification of Rivers and Lakes<sup>1</sup>, led by Norway (Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Oslo);

(c) ICP on Effects of Air Pollution on Materials, including Historic and Cultural Monuments, led by Sweden and Italy (Swerea KIMAB (earlier: Swedish Corrosion Institute), Stockholm);

(d) ICP on Effects of Air Pollution on Natural Vegetation and Crops, led by the United Kingdom (Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bangor, United Kingdom);

(e) ICP on Integrated Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Ecosystems, led by Sweden (Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki);

(f) ICP on Modelling and Mapping of Critical Loads and Levels and Air Pollution Effects, Risks and Trends, led by Germany (Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency (earlier: National Institute for Public Health and the Environment), Bilthoven, the Netherlands);

(g) The Task Force on Health, led by the WHO/ECEH (Bonn Office, Germany).

17. The Extended Bureau of the Working Group is responsible for implementing the workplan. The Extended Bureau comprised the Bureau of the Working Group, the Chairs of the individual task forces and the Joint Expert Group on Dynamic Modelling, the representatives of the programme centres of the ICPs and invited experts.

18. The designated programme centres associated with each programme are largely funded by the lead or host countries. Programme centres are also funded through the Convention's trust fund under revised decision 2002/1 of the Executive Body.

19. While striving to develop further the effects-oriented activities and, in particular, to ensure effective use of available resources, the Working Group also considers options for more closely linking related activities and tasks.

---

<sup>1</sup> In October 2008, the Programme Task Force of ICP Waters proposed changing its name to ICP on Assessment and Monitoring Effects of Air Pollution on Rivers and Lakes.

20. Depending on the specific needs identified by the Working Group, such options include:

(a) Joint and preferably pollutant-specific workshops and other meetings of the programme task forces;

(b) Using ad hoc joint expert groups to address specific problems, to undertake short-term tasks or to carry out particular case studies. These ad hoc groups, however, are not necessarily part of the formal structure of the Convention, but may report on clearly defined tasks through one or more of the programmes, with clear timetables for completion of their work.

21. The Working Group works in close collaboration with other subsidiary bodies under the Convention. Exchange of data and information and collaboration with other bodies is encouraged, developed and maintained. In particular, these include the Steering Body to EMEP, the Working Group on Strategies and Review, the Task Force on Heavy Metals and the Task Force on Reactive Nitrogen. The Working Group on Effects actively participates in the collaborative work on devising new policies and in assessments of the efficiency of current and future protocols.

22. The Working Group also provides information for related scientific activities outside the Convention and for joint efforts with other bodies and organizations. This includes, inter alia, cooperation with the European Environment Agency, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, the Convention on Biological Diversity, the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP) and the Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET).

23. Considering that an increasing number of Parties to the Convention are Member States of the European Community, the synergies between the Convention and European Community work are taken into account. The Working Group also looks for methods to increase the involvement of EECCA and SEE countries, as these subregions are a special focus for the Convention.

24. While continuing to further improve its geographical coverage of the entire UNECE region, the Working Group devotes attention to intensifying its cooperation with other regions (e.g. Asia), to the specific problems of the Mediterranean basin and to initiating collaboration with new Parties to the Convention.

## **VI. PERSPECTIVES**

25. The principal aims of the Working Group are:

(a) To produce quantitative policy-relevant information on air pollution effects as a basis for air pollution abatement measures;

(b) To ensure sufficient monitoring and modelling of the effects of air pollutants on the environment and human health;

(c) To improve understanding of air pollution processes and trends;

(d) To provide scientific background for assessing the effectiveness and sufficiency of existing protocols, and for preparing new ones.

26. The Working Group's organization provides a unique science-based framework to influence environmental and health issues caused by multiple pollutants in the UNECE region. This framework is well adapted to assessing the effectiveness and sufficiency of air pollution abatement policies.

27. The Working Group supports further aspirations to reduce the detrimental effects of air pollution. It requires long-term and stable financing to safeguard, maintain and develop its monitoring and scientific activities. It seeks to strengthen the participation of more Parties, so as to improve the foundation for abatement policies in the future.

-----