## Application of UNFC-2009 to uranium and thorium projects: Guidelines



Guidelines for Application of United Nations Framework Classification for Fossil Energy and Mineral Reserves and Resources 2009 for Uranium and Thorium Resources

- The easy application of UNFC-2009 to uranium and thorium resource projects,
- The transfer of resource data from other resource classification schemes into UNFC-2009

or

## An overview of global nuclear fuel resources and production, plus sources of information

- Background information Especially useful to those not familiar with the global usage and production of nuclear fuels as an energy source
- Predictions of future nuclear energy needs and nuclear fuel requirements





#### **Brief description of UNFC-2009**

- Principles basics of the UNFC-2009 classification framework
- Specifications the application rules, which provide consistency *Commodity-specific specifications (Bridging Documents)*
  - Solid minerals CRIRSCO template
  - Petroleum PRMS system
  - Nuclear Fuel Resources NEA/IAEA system and CRIRSCO
- Guidelines non-mandatory guidance for application of UNFC-2009



U	NFC-2009 CI	ficatio	on	CRIRSCO	Template	NEA/IAEA Classification					
UNFC Classes and Sub- classes			UNFC	Categories	CRIRSCO Cla	asses and Sub- asses					
Class	Sub-Class	Е	F	G	Class	Sub-Class	IAEA-NEA Categories			Status	
	On	1	1 1	1		Proved				Eviatia e	
	Production		1.1	2		Probable				EXISTILI	
			1.2	1	Mineral Reserves	Proved	Reasonably Assured Resources (RAR)			Committed	
Commercial Projects	Development	1		2		Probable			ources (RAR)		
	hand from the second		1.3	1		Proved					
	Development	1		2		Probable				Planned	
	Development Pending	2	2.1	1		Measured					
				2		Indicated			RAR	Prospective	
Potentially Commercial Projects				3		Inferred			IR*		
	Development	2	2.2	1		Measured	Identified Resources				
				2	Mineral Resources	Indicated			RAR		
				3		Inferred			IR*		
Non- commercial	Development Unclarified	3.2	2.2	1,2,3	Inventory (not	Development Unclarified (not defined in Template)	Identified Resources			Unclarified	
Projects	Development Not Viable	3.3	2.3	1,2,3	defined in Template)	Not Viable (not defined in Template)	RAR IR*			Not Viable	
Exploration Projects		3.2	3.1	4			Undisco Resou	Prognosticated Resources			
		3.2	3.2 <i>,</i> 3.3	4	Exploration Results		overed	Speculative Resources			

- Socio-economic viability issues (E-axis)
- Known environmental or social impediments or barriers to projects (E-axis)
- **Project viability issues (F-axis)**
- Geological knowledge challenges (G-axis)
- In-situ leach production (solution mining of underground uranium deposits)

# In-situ leach mining of uranium (ISL)



"Comprehensive Extraction" — Methods that maximize returns from mining and processing, especially from low-grade, depleted and other non-commercial ore bodies

**Conventional resources** — Uranium is recovered as a primary product, a co-product, or as a significant by-product

**Unconventional Resources** — Uranium is recovered as a minor byproduct (example – phosphate deposits)

**Comprehensive Extraction benefits:** 

- Support principles of sustainability and resource conservation
- Optimizing returns from all resources in an ore body
- Reuse, recycle (tailings or residues)

Case Study — A thorium source in the Mountain Pass rare earth elements deposit, California, and the application of UNFC-2009



200 µm

### Brad Van Gosen



1																	18
H	Periodic Table													2 He			
1.01	2									13	14	15	16	17	4.00		
Li	<sup>4</sup> Be	<sup>4</sup> of the									B	C	7 N	8 0	P F	<sup>10</sup> Ne	
6.94	9.01									10.81	12.01	14.01	16.00	19.00	20.18		
11	12				Ele	em	er	Its				13	14	15	16	17	18
Na	Mg											A	Si	P	S	CL	Ar
22.99	24.30	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	26.98	28.09	30.97	32.07	35.45	39.95
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
K	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	7n	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
30.10	40.08	44.96	47.88	50.94	52.00	54.94	55.85	58.93	58.69	63.55	65.39	69.72	72.61	74.92	78.96	79.90	83.80
37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54
Ph	Sr	v	7r	Nh	Mo	To	<b>D</b> II	Ph	Dd	۸a	Cd	In	Sn	Sh	To	T	Ye
	J	00.01			110	(07.01)	101.07	102.01	FU 105.42	Ay	Cu	114.02	J10 71	30	107.00	126.00	
55.47	56	57	88.91 91.22 92.91 95.94 (97.91) 101.07 102.91 106.42 107.87 112.41								00	Q1	02	02	127.00 Q/	120.90	151.29
6	Do										Do	A+	Do				
CS	Dd	Ld		Id	٧V	Re	US	П	Pι	Au	T S	11	PD	DI	PO	ΑL	RII
132.91	137.33	138.91	178.49	180.95	183.85	186.21	190.23	192.22	195.08	196.97	200.59	204.38	207.2	208.98	(208.98)	(209.99)	(222.02)
_8/	88	89	104	105	100							11.00					
Fr	Ra	AC	Rt	Ha	Sg		Ra	re Ea	arth E	Eleme	ents						
(223.02)	(226.03)	(227.03)	(261.11)	(262.11)	(263.12)						te fil pil et Contra pil						
				50	50	60		60	60	6.4			67		60	70	
				58	59	60	_ 61	62	63	64	65	66	6/	68	- 69	/0	. /1
				Ce	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	ID	Dy	HO	Er	Im	Yb	Lu
				140.12	140.91	144.24	(144.91)	150.36	151.97	157.25	158.93	162.50	164.93	167.26	168.93	173.04	174.97
				90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	- 99	100	101	102	103
				Th	Pa	U	Np	Pu	Am	Cm	Bk	Cf	Es	Fm	Md	No	Lr
				232.04	231.04	238.03	(237.05)	(244.06)	(243.06)	(247.07)	(247.07)	(251.08)	(252.08)	(257.10)	(258.10)	(259.10)	(262.11)



## **Global Rare Earth Oxide Mine Production**



## Mountain Pass mine of Molycorp, Inc.

Proved and Probable Reserves

16.7 million metric tons of ore, avg. grade of 7.98 % REE oxides, using cut-off grade of 5 %



Proved and Probable Reserves = 16.7 million metric tons of ore

Ore averages about 0.025 % Thorium, thus....

Thus, the deposit may contain approximately **4,200 metric tons of Thorium** 



Mountain Pass processing facilities of Molycorp (on-site) Mountain Pass mine tailings (average **0.03 % Th**) (the ore body = 0.025 % Th)

### From 2010 until 2015, the Mountain Pass mine could be classified as:

- E1.1 "Extraction and sale is economic on the basis of current market conditions and realistic assumptions of future market conditions."
- F1.1 "Extraction is currently taking place."
- G1+G2 "Quantities associated with a known deposit that can be estimated with a high level of confidence" (Proven Reserves) and "with moderate level of confidence" (Probable Reserves).

However, since 2016, following mine closure, the Mountain Pass deposit (resource) can be classified as:

- E2 "Extraction and sale is expected to become economically viable in the foreseeable future."
- F2.2 "Project activities are on hold and/or where justification as a commercial development may be subject to significant delay."

G1+G2 "Quantities associated with a known deposit that can be estimated with a high level of confidence" (Measured Resources) and "with moderate level of confidence" (Indicated Resources).

- As a potential producer of Thorium (as by-product of the production for rare earth elements), and
- Sampled for Thorium content at a reconnaissance level:
- E3.3 "On the basis of realistic assumptions of future market conditions, it is currently considered that there are not reasonable prospects for economic extraction and sale [of thorium] in the foreseeable future."
- F2.3 "There are no current plans to develop or to acquire additional data at the time due to limited potential."
- G3 "Quantities [of thorium] associated with a known deposit that can be estimated with a low level of confidence."

## **Mountain Pass deposit**

Mountain Pass deposit	Quantities (metric tons)	Average Grade (%)	CRIRSCO Classification	UNFC-2009 Categories			UNFC-2009 Class	UNFC-2009 Sub-Class	
				Ε	E F G				
Total rare earth element oxides	1,333,000	7.98 (as oxide)	Measured + Indicated Resources	1.1 ↓ 2	1.1 ↓ 2.2	1,2	<b>Potentially</b> Commercial Project	(On Production) Development on Hold	
Thorium	4,200	0.025 (elemental weight %)	"Inventory" (not used in CRIRSCO)	3.3	2.3	3	Non- Commercial Project	Development Not Viable	





- Bridging Document between the Organisation of Economic Cooperation and Development Nuclear Energy Agency/International Atomic Energy Agency Uranium Classification and UNFC-2009
- Guidelines for Application of United Nations Framework Classification for Fossil Energy and Mineral Reserves and Resources 2009 for Uranium and Thorium Resources

#### • 13 Case studies so far:

Yili Basin, China Azelik deposit, Niger Uranium resources of Argentina Thorium resources of Brazil Uranium deposits of India Uranium in Malawi Mountain Pass deposit (Th), USA Coles Hill uranium deposit, USA Paraguay Egypt Mongolia Jordan Rare earth/thorium in Argentina \*\*more coming soon\*\*