

The Deloitte logo, consisting of the word "Deloitte" in a bold, dark blue sans-serif font, followed by a small green dot.

# Regulation and Investment

Meeting of the UN Economic Commission for Europe  
Ad Hoc Group of Experts on Cleaner Electricity  
Production from Coal and Fossil Fuels

Branko Terzic


Deloitte Services LP

March 19, 2007

Audit • Tax • Consulting • Financial Advisory

# Major Activities in Electric Power Industry

---



# Objectives of Regulation

---

- The primary objectives of electric utility regulation are to:
  1. Attract capital sufficient to build infrastructure of adequate capacity to maintain designated quality of service
  2. Insure adequate service at reasonable prices to consumers

# The Balance of Goals

---

- Governments can have multiple goals desired when deciding to restructure, introduce new technology and/or privatize any segment of the economy, while at the same time
- Investors have many choices including: choice of country, industry, individual company, and investment in equity versus debt instruments.

# Regulation

---

- “...an economic, legislative and legal concept. The legislature decides which industries should be regulated. Such decisions may be based upon the economic characteristics of certain industries, prevailing social philosophies or political considerations. The policies adopted, must conform to existing legal concepts and procedures. Compromise is thus the basic ingredient of economic policy.”

- Dr. Charles Phillips, Jr., The Regulation of Public Utilities

# Regulation

---

- “Regulation represents third party intervention by a government agency as an arbitrator by a government agency between the company and the customers it serves.”


- Bonbright, Danielson, & Kamerschen, Principles of Public Utility Rates

# Risk of Regulation

---

- “Regulation itself imposes inherent risks for which investors require compensation. ”
- “Regulatory risk, that is perceived uncertainty about regulation, can be assessed by means of ratings assigned to regulatory bodies by investment firms.”
  - Professor Roger A. Morin, Regulatory Finance: Utilities’ Cost of Capital

# Investor's Strategic Framework



# Government Responsibility

---

- Selection of workable industry structure or market model
- Establishment of viable initial starting position if restructuring is planned
- Establishment of effective regulatory agency provided with adequate leadership, funding for expert staff and legal authorization
- Recognition of regional realities in energy and financial markets

# Risk Reducing Factors

---

- Stable government
- Stable economic policies
- Predictable economic and environmental regulation
- Recognition by regulators of requirements of capital markets
- Desire to maintain national economic competitiveness

# Investor's View of Regulation

---

- “...the regulatory structure is the main factor to determine how attractive that country is to outside investment capital”
- “...in Europe the regulations are there but the regulatory structure needed to carry them out is NOT there”
- From the CEO of Southern International (now Mirant Corp.)

# Regulation Which Attracts Capital

---

- Recognizes “reasonable” costs of production
- Provides for full and timely recovery of capital through depreciation expense
- Includes taxes as a cost of service
- Provides reasonable opportunity for firm to earn a “fair return” on investment

# Two Industry Structures

---

- Vertical Monopoly

- Production-regulated
- Transmission-regulated
- Distribution-regulated
- Sales/marketing-regulated

- Liberalized

- Production-competitive
- Transmission-regulated
- Distribution-regulated
- Sales/marketing-competitive

# Different Roles for Regulators

---

- Regulated Monopoly

- Entry and construction cost by approval
- Regulator insures price is just and reasonable
- Sales are subject to weather and other external variables

- Liberalized Market

- Open entry, construction at risk
- Regulator insures wholesale market is fair
- Regulator insures that third-party-access functions fairly
- Sales are subject to competition as well as weather and other external variables

# Risks to Power Plant Investment

---

- New Power Plant in Regulated Utility

- Obtain timely regulatory approvals
- Obtain full recovery of investment (depreciation)
- Obtain symmetric rate regulatory treatment
- Future regulatory treatment (stranded cost)
- Acceptable liability for GHG treatment

- New Power Plant as Merchant Plant

- Construct at expected project cost
- Purchase fuel at projected prices
- Produce power at market competitive rates
- Power market prices and rules as anticipated
- Operate at capacity factor
- Acceptable liability for GHG treatment

# Unique Risks of New Technology

---

- Difficulty in control of construction costs
- Difficulty in estimation of operating costs
- Unknown susceptibility to technical obsolescence
- Unknown susceptibility to changes in science
- Law of Unintended Consequences

# Regulation & Risk of New Investment

---

- When expected return is commensurate with risk, the investment will be made by the private sector
- If expected return is too low, investment will be made if there is a commensurate reduction of the risk to investor, putting risk and return back into balance
- Economic regulation has the capability of shifting risk from investor to consumer and vice versa
- Government can shift risk to society

# The Investor's View of Return

---

- “Too low a return would discourage investment where really needed...”
  - But shouldn't investors (even foreign ones) carefully investigate the regulatory framework before plunking down so much money? Caveat emptor.”
- Leonard Hyman, Rudden's Energy Strategies Report,  
March 5, 2007

# Advice from the Movie: *Casino Royale*

---

“I believe in a fair rate of return”

– LeChiffre

# Recent USA IGCC Incentive Study

---

Prior studies:  
EPRI & NARUC studies  
Rosenberg – 3 party covenant  
Standard & Poor's

TMS/Deloitte Consulting study



Find views of private sector power  
companies and other stakeholders

# Individuals Polled in Study

---

14	Investor-owned utilities
4	Independent power producers
2	Public power entities
7	State regulators
6	Financial institutions
3	Technology owners/contractors
<hr/>	
36	Total

# Criteria for Selection in Study

---

- Publicly expressed interest in IGCC
- High percentage of coal generation
- Located in “coal states”
- Regulators in states with target IOUs/IPPs
- Recognized members of financial community
- Technology owners and allied EPC contractors

# Power/Utility Executives Views

---

- Issues raised
  - Technology risk
  - Capital and operating costs
  - Environmental concerns

---

Split on technology versus capital costs  
Differences over cost premiums  
Concerns over sequestration, particularly long-term liability  
Wider strategic vision prevailed

---

# Regulators' Views

---

- High cost concerns
- Inclusion in rate base or variation

---

Need for unaffiliated evaluation  
Need for state legislation  
Interplay of state with federal incentives  
Role of natural gas prices

---

# Technology Owners/Contractors

---

- “Confident” attitude to successful construction
- Guarantee issue

---

Mismatch on guarantees  
Don't give us the money  
Integration challenge mismatch

---

# Financial Institutions' Views

---

- Need for performance guarantees
- Players
- Assurance of recovery

---

No technology preference  
Role of natural gas prices


---

# Overall Themes from Interviews

---

- Keep incentives simple
- Improve processes to site plants
- Avoid disrupting market signals as much as possible

# Incentive Framework



# General Risks and Mitigation Options

---

- Technology Risk Mitigation
  - Performance guarantees
  - Rate base treatment
  - Adjustment clause
  - Credits
  - Preferential dispatch
  - “Feed-in tariff”
- Capital Cost Risk Mitigation
  - Construction cost sharing
  - Credits
  - Rate base treatment
  - Non-economic dispatch
  - “Feed-in tariff”

# Structuring of Incentives

---

- Target risk category
- Filling the “guarantee” gap
- Keeping it simple
- Alignment with concerns of regulators and owners

# TMS/Deloitte Study Identification

---

- Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC):  
evaluation of potential deployment incentives
- Subcontract:
  - TMS-FE67602-DELOITTE subtask order 4

# Deloitte.

## About Deloitte

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, a Swiss Verein, its member firms and their respective subsidiaries and affiliates. Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu is an organization of member firms around the world devoted to excellence in providing professional services and advice, focused on client service through a global strategy executed locally in nearly 140 countries. With access to the deep intellectual capital of approximately 135,000 people worldwide, Deloitte delivers services in four professional areas, audit, tax, consulting and financial advisory services, and serves more than 80 percent of the world's largest companies, as well as large national enterprises, public institutions, locally important clients, and successful, fast-growing global growth companies. Services are not provided by the Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Verein and, for regulatory and other reasons, certain member firms do not provide services in all four professional areas.

As a Swiss Verein (association), neither Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu nor any of its member firms has any liability for each other's acts or omissions. Each of the member firms is a separate and independent legal entity operating under the names "Deloitte", "Deloitte & Touche", "Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu" or other related names.

In the United States, Deloitte & Touche USA LLP is the U.S. member firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu and services are provided by the subsidiaries of Deloitte & Touche USA LLP (Deloitte & Touche LLP, Deloitte Consulting LLP, Deloitte Financial Advisory Services LLP, Deloitte Tax LLP, and their subsidiaries), and not by Deloitte & Touche USA LLP. The subsidiaries of the U.S. member firm are among the nation's leading professional services firms, providing audit, tax, consulting, and financial advisory services through nearly 40,000 people in more than 90 cities. Known as employers of choice for innovative human resources programs, they are dedicated to helping their clients and their people excel. For more information, please visit the U.S. member firm's Web site at [www.deloitte.com](http://www.deloitte.com).

Copyright © 2007 Deloitte Development LLC. All rights reserved.

Member of  
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu