Summary

The draft standard on a Zero Tolerance Approach to Corruption in People-First PPP Procurement was prepared by an international Project Team led by Mr. Marc Frilet (France) with input from the Secretariat.

The Team of Specialists on PPPs is requested to take note of the draft standard under preparation.
Draft Standard on a Zero Tolerance Approach to Corruption in ‘People-First’ PPP Procurement

Implementing the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development through effective “People-First Public-Private Partnerships”
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**Terms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AAAA</th>
<th>Addis Ababa Action Agenda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M</td>
<td>Operation and Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFI</td>
<td>Privately Financed Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>Public Private Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPPP</td>
<td>People First Public Private Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ToR</td>
<td>Terms of Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNECE</td>
<td>United Nations Economic Commission for Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCITRAL</td>
<td>United Nations Commission on International Trade Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD</td>
<td>United States Dollars</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction

The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) come with a huge price tag. Recent reports have estimated that global infrastructure will need USD 3.3 trillion of investment per year just to keep pace with projected growth.¹ This massive sum will need to be mobilized from many sources, including from the private sector. And governments scaling up investment and infrastructure development of this magnitude will need to make a strong commitment to transparency and integrity, and a plan to fight corruption, otherwise the investment required for meeting the UN SDGs will not be mobilized.

Cost of Corruption and its impact on the Sustainable Development Goals

The cost of corruption, the other name for lack of transparency and integrity in public and private activity, is staggering.

- The World Bank recently highlighted that about USD 1 trillion is paid each year in bribes around the world.² The European Union estimates that corruption costs EUR 120 billion per year.
- The 2014 OECD Foreign Bribery Report estimates that bribery consumes 10.9 per cent of the total transaction value in public procurement globally.
- Transparency International, in its Global Corruption Report 2005, noted that corruption in construction can add as much as 50 per cent to a project’s cost. It further estimated that 10 to 30 per cent of investment in a publicly funded construction project may be lost through mismanagement or corruption (COST 2011 Research).
- The European Commission has stated that “annual losses in global construction through mismanagement, inefficiency and corruption could reach USD 2.5 trillion by 2020.
- The World Bank has further noted that corruption disproportionately impacts the poor while undermining growth and prosperity by siphoning away resources from their intended purposes and exacerbating the long-term effects of those services not being delivered.³ Corruption erodes the social contract between state and citizens. Furthermore, economic activity is seriously harmed by corruption acting as a strong disincentive to foreign investment.

Apart from the sheer scale of its impact, the impact of corruption at the level of projects is also deeply concerning: Corruption.

- Diverts value from the project and the expected outcomes of the initiative.

¹ McKinsey analysis; McKinsey Global Institute Analysis, 2016
² World Bank Governance Brief Anti-Corruption. May 2016
³ World Bank Group President Jim Yong Kim, Anti-Corruption Summit 2016, London, United Kingdom
- Discourages participation, skews competition and raises barriers for entry, all the while stripping away stakeholder confidence in the public process.
- Lowers the capacity of governments to do PPPs, by undermining professional competencies. Encourages rent-seeking.
- Produces a quick money mentality that diverts officials and projects away from a people-first focus and the goal of bringing the maximum benefit to all through public expenditures (see the Guidelines of UNECE of good governance in people first PPPs).

Given the scale and scope of the impact of corruption, not surprisingly the UN SDGs give a strong priority to fighting corruption:

- SDG 16 is dedicated to the promotion of peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, the provision of access to justice for all, and building effective, accountable institutions at all levels. SDG 16.5 and 16.7 further target a substantial reduction in corruption and bribery in all their forms, and development of effective, accountable and transparent institutions at all levels.
- SDG 17 calls for strengthening the means of implementation and revitalization of the global partnership for sustainable development. Its SDG 17.17 calls for encouraging and promoting effective public, public-private, and civil society partnerships, and building on the experience and resourcing strategies of partnerships.

The Addis Ababa Action Agenda (AAAA), a global framework for financing development post-2015, also calls on governments to combat corruption at all levels and in all its forms, and to implement effective, accountable and inclusive democratic institutions.

**Objectives of the Standard**

The overall objectives of the Standard are the following:

- Provide a voluntary set of recommendations that collectively set a standard for transparency and integrity in PPP procurement and are in full compliance with governments’ commitments to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).
- Assist policy makers to improve the regulatory response to corruption in PPPs.

---

4 In furtherance of the SDG agenda, the UNECE is promoting a new generation of PPPs, “People First PPPs” (PfPPPs). PfPPPs are partnerships that achieve the SDGs, yet and foster trust and accountability between all stakeholders, and go beyond simply “transferring risks and bringing value for money” to the public sector, but bring a renewed focus on putting People First.

5 Addis Ababa Action Agenda, Financing for Development, Section II. B. 48; UN Sustainable Development Goals, Target 17.17

Empirical studies have consistently demonstrated that the poor pay the highest percentage of their income in bribes. (World Bank stats: Paraguay & Sierra Leone.) Thus corruption discourages people from accessing health services for example and can negatively impact health outcomes such as infant mortality.
- Integrate new technologies for more transparency, into procurement processes and regulation.
- Inform and educate ALL stakeholders, including civil society, about ethical behaviour in governmental practices and foster a culture of integrity and transparency in PPPs that can be shared by all individuals, companies, and institutions participating in a PPP project or procurement process.
- Strengthen the social contract between citizens and their public systems.

Specifically, the document will:

- Identify the ‘high risk’ areas within PPP procurement and respond to those risk areas with appropriate recommendations and action points for governments.
- Map some of the key recommendations and core elements of a robust anti-corruption system that governments can easily implement.

**Organization of the Standard**

Part 1 discusses the three (3) stages of PPP procurement, why PPPs are particularly susceptible to corruption, and the moments in the procurement where the risks of corruption are greatest.

Part 2 elaborates further the core areas where corruption in the procurement process occurs and sets out in detail several recommendations to address these specific issues and adds some action points for the implementation of the same.

Part 3 identifies steps for effective implementation of the standard.
Part one

Procurement contexts of PPPs
I. Risk across all stages of PPP Procurement

PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS

Public Contracts

PPPs are a type of public contract and the same rules can apply for both a PPP and other forms of public procurement contracts.

PPPs however do have some distinguishing characteristics that make them somewhat novel and different from traditional public contracts. For example, a PPP can place a number of, normally separate, contractual activities under one agreement, or include financing arrangements that go beyond the traditional role of the Treasury, or perhaps require new performance and partnering approaches between public and private partners. Despite these differences, in the end, they remain a public contract arising from a public process, and are aimed at fulfilling a public need. In this sense, a PPP will benefit from a well-designed procurement just as any traditional governmental contract would.

The UN Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Model Law on Public Procurement states that a well-designed procurement a) maximizes economy and efficiency, b) fosters and encourages participation in the process, c) promotes competition for the subject matter of the procurement, d) provides fair, equal, and equitable treatment of those involved, e) promotes integrity, fairness and confidence in the process by stakeholders, and (f) achieves transparency in the process.6

The Three stages of PPP procurement

PPP procurement operates much like traditional public procurement, either in concept or in fact, with the procurement process unfolding across three conceptual stages:

- Stage 1 is the public entity’s effort to identify its needs, examine its available resources versus those that it will need to obtain, then identify potential sources and solutions in the market, and finally set out the parameters of its proposed tender.
- Stage 2 is putting the contracting opportunity out to bid subjecting it to competition, and awarding the contract. PPPs can be large projects with complex interconnected operational elements, and sometimes equally complicated financing, so the cost and time to generate a responsive bid can be very high. As a result, PPP procurement is often broken into two steps, a qualifying step and then a bidding step.7 The qualifying step is where qualified bidders are identified and the

---

7 Note, pre-qualification is not without controversy. Some believe on large PPP projects pre-qualification is necessary because of the high cost of generating bids and the amount of interaction with bidders that is necessary to refine the scope of the project. Another school of thought is opposed to pre-qualification as it inherently limits competition and the belief is all qualified bidders should be permitted to participate regardless of how many or burdensome that may be for the public entity administering the procurement.
number of overall bidders invited to bid on the contract may be narrowed. The bidding step is then to have those pre-qualified bidders compete on the contracting opportunity and bid. In the end, the public entity, through an evaluation process, awards the contract to the bidder who proposes the best solution in terms of approach and cost.

- Stage 3 is after a winning bidder has been identified and the contract has been awarded. This is the long-term performance of the bidder under the contract. In infrastructure projects this is sometimes referred to as the operations and maintenance (O&M) phase.

Risk areas across the three stages of PPP procurement

Corruption in PPP procurement is often seen as gaining an unfair advantage in the ‘competition’ or Stage 2 of the process, that is, influencing the competition such that one bidder wins or gains an unfair advantage amongst the pool of other potential bidders.

A comprehensive view of corruption in PPPs however needs to focus on all three of the PPP procurement Stages. This is not only because corruption can occur at any stage of the process, but because corruption tends to go wherever the system is weakest – that is, wherever it is easiest to get away with and in some cases where the least amount of scrutiny is being applied.

For example, empirical evidence shows that bribes and other collusion with public officials occurs frequently at the outset of procurement, or that companies are underperforming, unfairly seeking adjusting to performance requirements, or padding invoices for services years into a long-term contract.8

These cases of corruption that occur at the beginning and after the contract is awarded might imply that a government has a robust Stage 2, e.g. the tendering process.

Unfortunately this may not be true, many governments still lack basic institutional elements and good practices to conduct a robust tender, especially when the intensity and complexity of a PPP presents itself. Government procurement systems therefore need improvement, but governments need to be more aware of the risks associated with PPPs and make improvements that are targeted to improving PPP procurement.

Corruption in PPP procurement

There are many opportunities in a PPP procurement for corruption9, but some of the more prominent risks include:

- When the government lacks strong institutions – the underpinning of procurement with integrity and transparency is a government with structures, authority, and

---

9 Most institutions, the UN, the World Bank Group, OECD recognize the corruption risks, and that it can come in different forms. from unfairly determining the winners, to awards favouring friends or relatives of government officials, to simply skewing how the institution or competition works. These large institutional players recognize that corruption is important and tackling them is critical to making their and governments’ efforts effective and achieving sustainable change. (For example, the World Bank Group has debarred more than 370 companies, governmental organizations and individuals over the past 7 years.)
review and approval processes all clearly established and demarcated, and with robust checks and balances on that decision making authority.

- When a PPP is market tested - where the public body goes out and interacts with the market and potential bidders to see what solutions are available. This interaction is often a necessary step in a PPP procurement, yet it also opens opportunities for improper conversations or influence between the bidder and public officials, or simply for the private sector to steer the public party’s ‘needs’.
- When competitive dialogue and negotiation is used - which may be necessary to fine tune the public service, but it also provides opportunities for improper conversations or influence, behind the scenes arrangements, or schemes to gain an upper hand against competition.
- When projects are very large and/or technical in nature - certain sectors that compete on these types of public contracts are actually small communities, with a finite number of companies, employees, experts, and contractors working in that sector. The result being -- the risk of conflicts of interest are greatly enhanced, especially when employees tend to move from public to private, and then private to public service.
- When projects involve significant amounts of money - the desire to win such opportunities can be intense, and the incentive to get access to the contract, even if for example it is simply helping a friend or family member gain a subcontracting opportunity on a lucrative project, can be great.
- When PPPs are long term - public contracting opportunities of significant length do not come around that often, and as noted, certain sectors have a limited number of players who are able to provide such a service and for such a long term, so the need to gain an advantage over your competitors and/or win the contract can be acute.
- When governments are technically ill equipped – governments often have to retain sophisticated, front-end transactional, financial, technical, and/or legal consultants and experts to handle complex PPPs. These relationships, many of which the government relies upon heavily, present windows of opportunity to control the process or influence the outcomes of the procurement and consultants and experts, while necessary, need to be managed appropriately.
Part two

Transparency and Integrity in PPP Procurement
II. Transparency and Integrity in PPP Procurement Standard

1. Institutional elements that promote transparency and integrity in PPP procurement

Compliance with Laws and a Code of Ethics

Challenge

The challenge for governments in a PPP procurement is to promote predictability in an open and fair competitive process with public and private participants adhering to high ethical standards and conduct throughout the PPP process.

Recommendations

1. Governments need to set boundaries, benchmarks and expectations for public and private sector participation in a PPP, and establish legal and ethical controls that build trust in, and between, the public and private participants and a framework that ultimately strengthen the underlying social compact between government and its citizens.

2. Governments should have anti-corruption laws, regulations and codes in place that either incorporate or are based upon international models and anti-corruption instruments.

3. Public and private sector participants to a PPP procurement process should endorse and/or commit to complying with all applicable laws, regulations and codes relating to anti-Corruption.

4. The Tender documents should incorporate and refer to all applicable international and national laws, regulations and codes relating to anti-corruption.

5. Governments should have a code of ethics governing public entities, employees, and public advisors, consultants, contractors, and subcontractors that either incorporates, or is based upon, international models and ethics instruments.

6. The code of ethics should set out the standard of ethical behavior of public and private participants involved in the procurement process as well as set out participation restrictions for employees leaving public service.

7. The Tender documents should incorporate and refer to the code of ethics.

8. The code of ethics should be formally endorsed by the public authority sponsoring the PPP on behalf of itself and the various public entities involved in the process, and by bidders.

9. The code of ethics should be enforceable.
**Action Notes**

- Establish anti-corruption laws, regulations and codes.
- If existing anti-corruption laws, regulations and codes exist, review, revise or extend in anticipation of PPP activity.
- Establish a code of ethics.
- Publish the code of ethics (ideally available online) and incorporate it in the bidding conditions and terms of tender.
- Require the public authority sponsoring the PPP on behalf of itself, and the various public entities involved in the process, and by bidders, and both public and private affiliates, contractors and subcontractors participating in the bidding process, to endorse the code of PPP ethics.

**Avoidance of Conflicts of Interest**

**Challenge**

*In order to put “people first”, it is important for governments to ensure that their PPP projects are protected from those seeking to extract improper personal gain from the initiative. Conflicts of interest are one of the key indicators of just such an opportunity therefore and governments are challenged to implement strong identification and remedial measures for conflicts of interest.*

**Recommendations**

10. Governments should avoid conflicts of interest in PPPs where the interests of a person or entity are incompatible with or competing with their obligations occurring in their official public capacity.

11. Governments should define conflicts of interest broadly and, although primarily focusing on public sector representatives acting in their official capacity, should include situations where bidders or their affiliates, contractors, or subcontractors receive or provide, or agree to receive or provide, a gift, gratuity, commission or consideration of any kind as an inducement for favour or disfavour in the PPP process.

12. Governments should be particularly aware of conflicts of interest that arise as the result of economic interest, political or national affinity, family or emotional ties, or any other relevant connection or shared interest.

13. Governments should take preventative steps or institute corrective measures even when there is merely an appearance of a conflict of interest.

14. Early identification, rapid disclosure, and appropriate mitigation are key to an effective system for handling conflicts of interest.
15. Governments are particularly at risk of conflicts of interest during exchanges with bidders and in a process of evaluating or optimizing the bids.

Action Notes

- Establish a conflict of interest system that incorporates international models and includes procedures for identification, disclosure, and mitigation prior to the initiation of any PPP procurement.
- Publish the conflict of interest system (ideally available online) and incorporate it in the bidding conditions and terms of tender.
- Implement procedures for individuals working on a PPP project to identify direct and indirect personal, professional, and/or financial interests related to the project and the appropriate remedial steps that will be taken to eliminate or mitigate such conflicts.
- Identify a list of entities currently engaged in contractual relations with the Public Authority and working on the PPP project and put controls in place for their interaction with or providing assistance to bidders.
- Require conflict checks at the outset of the PPP formulation and procurement and then at regular intervals throughout procurement process.

Disclosure of Information

Challenge: Governments are challenged to provide access to the essential facts and information that public officials use to make decisions and undertake their official responsibilities.

Recommendations

16. Public disclosure rules are critical to promoting transparency and integrity in the PPP process. Governments should institute robust disclosure practices at the outset of a PPP program or project and continue through general awareness and use of tools such as electronic disclosure, public information access systems and other disclosure practices.

17. Governments should create training and awareness programs that ensure the public disclosure requirements are met and utilized.

18. As an extension of any public disclosure rules, governments should establish an information disclosure framework for the PPP that spans the entirety of the project.

19. While attention should be paid to robust disclosure requirements, PPPs often invite bidders to propose innovative solutions which can involve proprietary technology or trade secrets, therefore governments should put systems in place to protect these sensitive materials.
20. The framework should include other disclosure procedures, such as timing and violations of the framework through failure to disclose or other wrongful withholding of materials that were subject to disclosure.

21. The disclosure rules and framework should be well publicized and set out clearly for public and private participants and stakeholders to the PPP process.

*Action Notes*

- Establish and publish public disclosure rules.
- Implement a system for training and awareness of the public disclosure rules.
- Establish a disclosure framework that begins no later than the PPP project conceptualization and continues through procurement, award and operations.
- Provide instructions and specific disclosure procedures for public servants to follow during the PPP, including mandatory and discretionary disclosure requirements and procedures for protecting the proprietary, confidential, and personal/private information of bidders.
- Reference and incorporate the disclosure rules and the disclosure framework in tender documents.
2. Standard implementation tools that support transparency and integrity in PPP procurement.

PPP Units, Committees and Boards

Challenge: Clear lines of reporting and responsibility for the contracting authority as well as the designation or existence of a high level coordinating and decision making body is a key factor for success.

Recommendations

22. Governments should separate the activity of preparation, evaluation, awarding and of decision making in PPP procurement and organize it into PPP Units, Committees and/or Boards that are independent from one another.

23. Governments should create these entities at appropriate levels in the governmental approval path and/or within or alongside existing governance structures such that the entities with authority to take action and make decisions regarding the PPP procurement is clear.

24. Governments should make public and reference in the bidding documents the functions, responsibilities, roles, and decision making process of the review and approval structure.

Action Notes

- Specify and publish (ideally online) the role and scope of responsibilities, including terms and conditions of appointment of members, and decision making and requisite authority of each of the PPP Units, Committees and Boards.
- Specify the reporting activity and timing of deliverables of PPP Units, Committees and Boards and implement compliance mechanisms to ensure their adherence with the same.

Consultants and Experts

Challenge: Because of the influence consultants and experts can exert on the decision making processes of governments, including such basic decisions as whether to initiate a PPP, or on what grounds to award a PPP contract, governments are challenged to clearly specify and carefully control the basis for retention, input and deliverables of consultants and experts.
Recommendations

25. Governments should implement guidelines and open vetting of the necessity of and terms of reference (TOR) for PPP consultants and experts.

26. Consultants and Experts should be competent to handle each stage of the project from evaluation of the needs of the public partner, up to final award of the PPP agreement(s).

27. Governments should give due consideration to the capacity of consultants and experts to work within a team of public officials and deal with the specific, yet diverse competencies needed within a PPP project or series of projects.

28. Consultants and experts should be independent and free from conflicts of interest with individuals, companies and institutions, financial or otherwise, having an interest in the Project.

29. Governments should use open, clear, and consistent invitations, TOR, and evaluation systems to retain consultants and experts.

30. Governments should consider using a value for money (VFM) approach to evaluate consultants and experts and balancing the cost of their retention against the retention budget and the size, complexity, and cost of the project.

31. Governments should identify cost controls, such as the ability to increase, decrease, or eliminate specified services, prior to the retention of consultants and experts and incorporate them into their retention contracts.

32. Governments should actively monitor consultant and expert performance and their maintenance of the conflict free advisory role.

Action Notes

- Use standard contract terms & conditions for consultants and experts, and those that are structured to the particular deliverables required (such as cost plus, lump sum, schedules of rates and estimated quantities).
- Identify grounds for retaining consultants and experts, memorialize them in writing and include them in the public record associated with the project.
- Post consultant and expert tenders at the same time and with the same information. Specify the necessary skills and experiences that are required for effective assistance, and evaluate bids according to the same criteria.
- Require consultants and experts to attest in writing to being conflict free, commit to any applicable code of conduct, and maintain the same throughout the procurement process.
- State contracts deliverables and milestones clearly such that an objective external assessment of the service provided can be made. Require detailed cost breakdowns in the tender so that costs can be monitored and minimized.
- Use contracts that allow the contracting authority to adapt or limit the scope of the services being provided, and that provide remedies for breach of contract, grounds for termination and dispute settlement mechanisms.
- Appoint a project officer with a significant amount of experience in dealing with consultants and experts to manage the activities of consultants and experts including their respective external affiliations, adherence to key personnel requirements, relationship with the public sector and stakeholders, control of the methodology for implementing the contract, draft notes and reports, and insure compliance with timelines and deliverables.

**Confidentiality and Maintenance of Information**

*Challenge: In a competitive PPP procurement environment, information is essential. Information that the public provides to the private sector that forms the basis of the PPP competition, and information the private sector may share with the public sector that forms the basis of their competitiveness. Governments are therefore challenged to hold this key public and private information confidential throughout the process because the disclosure of which could impact the objectives of the PPP and the competitiveness of the procurement, while unfairly affecting the decision making of the public authorities or willingness to participate of the participants.*

**Recommendations**

33. Governments should protect and preserve the confidentiality, integrity and safe custody of information and documents that are shared during the bidding process.

34. Governments should establish a clear chain of responsibility, with parameters and timing for retention and/or disclosure of information, in accordance with the public information disclosure framework.

35. Governments should maximize the use of electronic procurement and document management systems.

**Action Notes**

- Implement a secure communication system and depository of information and documents that is in accord with the public information disclosure rules and framework yet facilitates exchanges between the authority and bidders.
- Use electronic procurement systems to the extent feasible and those that are certified by external experts to guarantee the accuracy, confidentiality and integrity of the information exchanged.
Unsolicited Proposals

Challenge: Governments are challenged to bring innovative solutions to the task of providing public services, however they must do so in a cost-effective and responsible manner, therefore governments must be cautious when dealing with unsolicited proposals that seek to avoid the open and competitive tendering processes.

Recommendations

36. Governments should be cautious with unsolicited PPP proposals, and if choosing to allow them, put in place stringent controls on their receipt, review and approval.

37. Unsolicited PPP proposals should demonstrate uniquely innovative solutions that are fit for purpose to the government’s needs and are solutions that could not be otherwise acquired by normal competitive means.

38. If other solutions exist, governments should organize a competitive procurement that is open to all potential bidders and invite competing proposals.

39. If the unsolicited PPP proposal contains elements of private finance, governments should evaluate whether the financial aspects can be put to open bidding and competition.

40. Governments should consider unsolicited proposals only if the proposal fits within the overall strategic service plans of the government.

41. Governments should protect confidential or proprietary information within an unsolicited proposal, however all other information and data, including the existence of the unsolicited proposal, should be disclosed according to the government’s public disclosure framework.

42. Governments should provide public notice, in an open and easily accessible location, that an unsolicited proposal has been received and is under review.

43. Governments should institute a multi-step review and approval process for unsolicited proposals that includes a second public notice being provided prior to award.

44. Governments should allow potentially responsive bidders and other interested stakeholders the right to challenge and/or seek termination of unsolicited proposal contracts that have been awarded.

Unsolicited proposals not only circumvent the competitive process but can divert public time, attention, and resources away from the strategic plans of the government that could be undertaken in an open and transparent manner.
Action Notes

- Establish an unsolicited proposal receipt, review and approval process.
- Implement a multi-step review and approval process that includes no less than the using authority, the financing authority, and an independent supervising tender board.
- Require unsolicited proposals to provide sufficient information and detail to support that it is clearly unique and fits within the Government’s strategic service plans.

Tender Notices and Bidding Documents

Challenge: Procurement is most effective when there is competitive tension amongst the bidders. Governments are therefore challenged to ensure their PPP procurement process includes fair and transparent communications with all potential bidders such that it invites the greatest amount of participation and competition to PPP procurement.

Recommendations

45. Governments should apply the underlying requirements of transparency contained in the 2011 UNCITRAL’s Model Law on public procurement implementing the UN Convention against Corruption.

46. Governments should design tender notices to seek responsive candidates and provide the highest possible degree of public information related to the project that is necessary to bid.

47. Governments should use tender notices that are simple and accurate, contain all the main information relating to the tender, and give sufficient information for any potential bidder to understand the functional specifications that are required by the project, as well as all pertinent information on the process, conditions and criteria for selection.

48. Governments should ensure that all candidates are able to have access at the same time, to the same information, and same documentation necessary for preparing responses and for participating in the tender procedure.

49. Governments should not include requirements of technical, professional or financial capabilities which are disproportionate or excessive in relation to the requirements and feasibility of a project, nor those that would favor any of the candidates.

50. Governments should provide within the tender notices and bidding documents for the disqualification of a bidder when a conflict of interest or other improper behavior is identified.
51. Governments should indicate in tender notices and bidding documents that the bidders are to refrain from influencing the awarding process and avoid any direct or indirect contact with the contracting or administering authority and its agents unless such contact is expressively authorized and organized by the public authority.

**Action Notes**

- Use standard notice and bidding documents, including templates that take into account both local and international standards and best practices.
- Publish uniform tender notices and bidding documents through channels having the best chances to reach potential bidders, including electronically or on a e-procurement system of the contracting authority.
- Publish tender notices and bidding documents for a sufficient amount of time to allow bidders to prepare their bids, taking into account the nature and complexity of the project and other sector expectations.
- Require bidders to sign the code of ethics and provide proof of being free from conflicts of interest.
- Specify in bidding documents when a conflict of interest or other improper behaviour exists, including prohibitions on employees, consultants, experts or firms having left the contracting authority within a specified period of time (e.g. 1 year, 2 years) and working on behalf of bidders.
- Specify in bidding documents the anticipated schedule for bidder interaction with the public authority and prohibit communications or interaction outside the specified schedule.

**Tender Evaluation Committee**

*Challenge:* Governments are challenged to create a transparent system of review and evaluation of bidders that is uniform, based only on the merits of a proposal, and awards a contract to the entity that prevailed in the competition.

**Recommendations**

52. Governments should appoint members of the Tender Evaluation Committees after giving due consideration to the particulars of the project, the procurement method and the nature and timing of the evaluation, and the skills and resources necessary for permitting the committee to carry out a fair, independent and professional evaluation.

53. Governments should bind each member and the Tender Evaluation Committee to a code of ethics and require that they be conflict free.

*Evaluation criteria can be tailored to favour one bidder, bias the decision making against a bidder, or simply cause a strong bid to be unresponsive. The criteria can also be over burdensome or unreasonable such that certain responsive bids are rejected.*
54. The Tender Evaluation Committee should memorialize in writing all deliberations and decisions.

55. The Tender Evaluation Committee should make all decisions based on objective criteria and only using information derived from the bidding materials and bidder responses provided during the course of the PPP procurement.

**Action Notes**

- Establish a Tender Evaluation Committee comprised of members who in the aggregate possess the respective technical, organizational, operational and financial background necessary to effectively review and evaluate bids.
- Specify and publish the role, scope of responsibilities, code of conduct and other terms and conditions of appointment of committee.
- Provide instructions to each member on conflicts of interest and the requirement to disclose in writing any existing or potential conflict of interest as per the terms of the conflict of interest policy.
- Require each member to continually monitor the risk of conflict of interest and disclose the same for corrective action.
- Implement and publish measurable reporting activities and timing of deliverables, with consequences for non-compliance specified.
- Require a written record of the deliberations and evaluations of each step of the evaluation process.
- Require all members of the evaluation committee to sign a written report of the proceedings of the evaluation that specifies the findings, basis and recommendation(s) for award.
- Require a summary of the evaluation to be transmitted to the body in charge of approving the choice of the successful bidder.

**Pre-Qualification Process**

**Prequalification**

*Challenge: PPP Procurement is designed to attract bidders that on one hand are responsive, responsible and able to tender competitive offers, but on the other not be so burdensome as to negatively impact timeliness or cost effectiveness of the procurement. Governments are therefore challenged to create a fair and just pre-qualification process that permits qualified bidders to compete, yet assists in streamlining and expediting the administration of the procurement.*

**Recommendations**

56. The purpose of prequalification is to advertise the project to the largest number of potential bidders with sufficient information to allow the candidates to evaluate their interest for the project,
informed of the criteria for prequalification and if interested, submit qualifying documentation with the objective of being pre-qualified.

57. Governments should allow pre-qualification to be open and unlimited, however in some two-step procurements, competitive dialogues, and/or those projects involving functional specifications that are very complex or costly in nature, governments may limit the number of pre-qualified candidates taking into account the cost of preparing and bidding, number of available providers in the market, and overall ability to maintain competition.

58. Governments should require bidders to maintain their prequalified status throughout the procurement process and organize a pre- and a post-qualification check for the successful bidder.

59. Governments should sanction a bidder who has provided inaccurate information related to the pre-qualification criteria and disqualify the bidder from the pre-qualified group, and depending of the intent and nature the misleading information, be able to seek further penalties or sanctions.

60. The contracting authority may at any moment, and after the award verify the accuracy of the relevant pre-qualification information provided by the winning bidder.

Action Notes

- Require prequalified bidders to maintain their prequalified status and the successful bidder to undergo a pre and post qualification check.

Dialogue-based PPP Procurement

Challenge: Governments are challenged to maximize the opportunity that dialogue-based procurement provides, which is to assist governments to identify project specifications that are fit for purpose and achieve the objectives of the public entity, yet limit the window of opportunity for improper interactions or the provision of unfair competitive advantage to a bidder(s).

Recommendations

61. Governments should use dialogue-based PPP procurement when the contracting authority does not have sufficient expertise to set up technical specifications, has limited financial capacity to generate full specifications, and/or where a range of options may be possible to satisfy the functional requirements and performance criteria of the public body.

62. Dialogue-based procurement may include a two-stage tendering process where a first phase determines the technical specifications and characteristics of the service to be
provided, a second phase with bidders capable of delivering the technical solution submitting a financial bid, and the successful bidder being the one having the best composite score aggregating the technical and financial evaluation.

63. Governments should tightly scope and control dialogue between the contracting authority and one or more selected bidders and focus the dialogue only on the technical (which may include certain financial requirements) of the PPP and where the public authority expects contribution from the bidders.

64. Governments should permit dialogue to revisit functional specifications, performance parameters, or standards or norms which are clearly specified in the tender documents and/or are of the essence of the project as determined by the procuring authority.

65. Governments should put in place a tender evaluation committee that has the necessary capacity to evaluate technical proposals and make quick and fully documented decisions during the technical dialogue phase.

66. The contracting authority should ensure confidentiality on dialogue intellectual property and know-how, including financial and contractual innovation.

Action Notes

- Specify the scope of the dialogue clearly in the tender documents and include a traceable and transparent procedure that is shared with potential bidders before any dialogue or negotiation begins.
- Establish a system of informing all candidates of all the same information during the dialogue stage.

Probity and Fairness Mechanisms

Challenge: Governments are challenged to recognize that projects involving assets of particularly high value, complexity, or political sensitivity may require additional mechanisms for ensuring protection against corrupt practices.

Recommendations

Probity Officer

67. Governments should consider use of Probity Officers when extra-ordinary mechanisms for ensuring probity and fairness are warranted.

68. Governments should appoint Probity Officers to participate in and certify that the procurement proceedings comply with the applicable laws and regulations, tender documentation and procedures, and other requirements such as codes of ethics or information disclosure and confidentiality rules.
69. Probity Officers should have sufficient professional capacity, skills and independence, from all public and private parties involved in the PPP.

70. The Probity Officer certificate should be a comprehensive report that comments on all pertinent activities and communications in light of the procedural requirements, and certifies compliance with the same (rather than for example stating an opinion).

71. Governments should make the probity certificate and any associated reports or materials part of the documents reviewed by the body(ies) approving the choice of the successful PPP bidder.

*Fairness Auditor*

72. Governments may also appoint Fairness Auditors to audit the process, but unlike Probity Officers, include the substance of the proceedings, including deliberations of the evaluation committee and other sessions of the tendering entities, to ensure that a fair evaluation and neutral assessment was conducted.

73. Governments should ensure that Fairness Auditors have similar professional capacity, skills and independence as Probity Officers.

74. Governments should allow the appointment of a Fairness Auditor at the request of any of the parties claiming misprocurement and/or as preliminary step to a claim of misprocurement.

75. The Fairness Auditor(s) is empowered to audit the full procurement process and should issue a report confirming compliance or non-compliance with applicable procurement procedures and rules and stating any reservations about the process identified in their audit.

76. Governments should make the Fairness Auditor report part of the documents reviewed by the body in charge of approving the choice of the successful bidder.

77. Governments should require that the Probity officers and Fairness auditors be different, independent individuals.

*Action Notes*

- Specify clear and measurable responsibilities for Probity Officers and Fairness Auditors, including identification of their role, scope of inquiry and reporting and timing responsibilities, and any governing laws, regulations, codes, rules, procedures, etc. upon which they are to base their probity certificate or audit, respectively.
- Specify and publish terms of reference for Probity Officer and Fairness Auditor that are based on their capacity, skills and independence, including a compensation scheme that ensures their independent.
- Require reports to be in writing and reporting on all pertinent activities and communications of the process. In the case of a Probity Officer require the
report to certify compliance or lack thereof to the approving body. In the case of a Fairness Auditor, require the report to confirm compliance or non-compliance with applicable procurement procedures and rules and state any reservations identified in the audit.

- Require the Probity Report to be confidential unless and until challenged and/or disclosed by court order.

Whistle-blowing

Challenge

Governments are challenged to establish a framework for whistle-blowing that can act as a check and balance on improper conduct that is often difficult to track or identify and is purposely concealed from disclosure.

Recommendations

78. A whistle-blower is any person from the public, and potentially the private sector, witnessing a conflict of interest, corruptive maneuvers or other fraudulent practices that is detrimental to public interest and deciding to report it in accordance with a recommended whistle-blowing framework.

79. Governments should establish whistle-blowing rules and procedural framework in order to enable and encourage proactive disclosure of conflicts, corruptive manoeuvres and other fraudulent practices.

80. Governments should incorporate whistle-blower rules and framework, and ensure that it be easy to initiate by a whistle-blower, and provides a sufficient degree of confidentiality of the information and protection of the identity of the whistle-blower.

81. Governments should provide protection against personal and professional retaliation and against criminal and civil liability to a whistle-blower reporting in good faith.

82. Governments should not protect a whistle-blower when a disclosure does not meet the requirement of good faith, and in such case governments should be able to hold the whistle-blower liable to specified penalties.

Action Notes

- Establish whistle-blowing rules.
- Implement a whistle-blowing framework that begins at project conceptualization and continues through PPP procurement, award and operation.
Part three

Effective Implementation of the Standard
III. Effective Implementation of the Standard

Presentation and Publication of the Standard

83. Governments seeking to implement this standard should adopt the standard and then adapt its recommendations through various actions that may include making them binding and subject to judicial review and criminal penalties in case of major infringement.

84. Governments should make elements of the standard and its recommendations and actions publicly available and accessible and put systems in place to keep them up to date.

85. Governments should make all other authoritative information relating to a PPP procurement, notably legal rules and procurement procedures, easily accessible and free of charge to access this information.

Non-compliance with Transparency and Integrity Standard and Sanctions

86. Governments should investigate allegations of misconduct, conflict of interest, or other acts of corruption and utilize an independent authority having the power to take interim measures to safeguard the integrity of the procurement process.

87. Governments should sanction any infringement above a certain threshold with civil or criminal penalties as necessary and as determined by the jurisdiction.

88. Governments should establish a transparent, independent, efficient and fair procedure of inquiry and enforcement.

89. Governments should establish, publish, and maintain a debarment list within an independent authority and make the list judicially reviewable.

Misprocurement and Protests

90. Governments should implement an effective protest mechanism for bidders. A mechanism which, for example, can include a prohibition on the contracting authority signing the PPP contract for a specified period of time while the name of the preferred bidder and the basis for award is disclosed to all prospective bidders, and/or resolution of the protest has occurred.

91. Governments should allow any bidder, or prospective bidder justifying an interest, who fails to be selected, to protest the award for misprocurement.

92. Governments should allow protests to be filed with an independent authority or a court having the power to make an full or interim decision to, among other things, suspend the awarding process upon proof of prima facie evidence that the protest has sufficient merit, cancel the procedure, and/or take other appropriate remedial action.
93. In the event of a protest, governments should be provide to the aggrieved bidder any special report certified by a Probity Officer, or other transcript or procurement record generated by the public authority in accordance with the public disclosure rules. This is particularly important in scenarios where the procurement involved competitive dialogue or negotiation and/or there is a greater risk of improper communications.

94. The Public Authority may proceed with the signature of the contract without prejudice of the right of any aggrieved bidder to initiate court proceeding for damages with a competent court.
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