Proposal for amendments to UN Regulation No. 79.02 (Steering equipment)

This document proposes amendments to ACSF of Category B1

1. Proposal

Paragraph 5.6.2.3., insert a new sub-paragraph 5.6.2.3.1.3., to read:

- "5.6.2.3. System information data
- 5.6.2.3.1. Following data shall be provided together with the documentation package required in Annex 6 to this regulation to the Technical Service at the time of type approval;

. . .

5.6.2.3.1.3. Information about inputs other than lane markings (e.g. road boundaries, infrastructural separation, surrounding traffic, map data) that the system uses to reliably determine the course of the lane."

Annex 8, paragraph 3.2.4.1. and 3.2.4.2., amend to read:

- "3.2.4. Transition test; hands-on test
- 3.2.4.1. The vehicle shall be driven with activated ACSF with a vehicle test speed between Vsmin + 10 km/h and Vsmin + 20 km/h on a track with lane markings at each side of the lane.

The driver shall release the steering control and continue to drive until the ACSF is deactivated by the system. The track shall be selected such that it allows driving with activated ACSF for at least 65 s without any driver intervention.

The test shall be repeated with a vehicle test speed between Vsmax – 20 km/h and Vsmax - 10 km/h or 130 km/h whichever is lower and may be stopped upon the start of the optical warning.

Additionally, the vehicle manufacturer shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Technical Service that the requirements for the whole speed range are fulfilled. This may be achieved on the basis of appropriate documentation appended to the test report.

3.2.4.2. The test requirements are fulfilled if:

During both tests Tthe optical warning signal was given at the latest 15 s after the steering control has been released and remains until ACSF is deactivated.

During the lower speed test tThe acoustic warning signal was given at the latest 30 s after the steering control has been released and remains until ACSF is deactivated.

During the lower speed test tThe ACSF is deactivated at the latest 30 s after the acoustic warning signal has started, with an acoustic emergency signal of at least 5 s, which is different from the previous acoustic warning signal."

2. Justifications

A. Annex 8, paragraph 3.2.4.1. and 3.2.4.2. "hands-on test"

Performing the hands-on test at low and high speed aims at confirming robust hands-off detection across the entire speed range. Testing the subsequent warning cascade leading to deactivation of the system leads to a required track length of more than 2km (60s of driving at 130km/h after the release of the steering control) when testing at high speed. Since the system already demonstrates compliance with regard to the warning cascade when performing the test at low speed it is proposed to stop the high-speed test after the system has given the optical warning, meaning it has correctly detected the hands to be off.

B. paragraph 5.6.2.3.1.3.

Using other inputs than lane markings in situations where lane markings cannot be detected is not in contradiction with any requirements of this Regulation and is needed to provide the driver robust assistance in these often-occurring situations (see examples below). The new paragraph aims at clarifying that the use of alternative inputs is allowed and providing a list of the inputs used with the system information data will make the design more transparent.



