

DRAFT REPORT OF THE 13th DETA MEETING

Held in Geneva, 14 November 2011

Agenda

1. Approval of the agenda
2. Report of the 12th meeting of DETA (DETA-13-02)
3. UNECE DETA Feasibility Study
4. Administrative body
 - administrative committee
 - a. Terms of Reference
 - b. Election of officers
5. Financing
 - draft ideas of possible financing
6. Try-out
7. First page of documents (proposal)
 - proposal for the unique identifier
8. AOB.
 - questions to be drafted for the November session of WP.29

1. Approval of the draft agenda

Feedback from the meeting on International Whole Vehicle Type approval (IWVTA) has been added to the draft agenda as point 2a.

The complete list of delegations attending the meeting is given in Annex 1 to this report.

2. Report of the twelfth meeting (DETA-13-02).

The draft report has been adopted without amendments.

2a. IWVTA

The request from the DETA group for creating a legal status of the application within the 1958 Agreement and the mandatory use of it has been raised in the meetings of the informal group on IWVTA. It was decided that such requests should be directed to WP.29 for decision and not directly to IWVTA.

3. UNECE DETA Feasibility Study (DETA-12-04)

There are possibilities that the UN organisation will provide a server for the application. In that case an extra-budgetary staff is required to manage/administer DETA; a request for a half position for 2013-2014 has been sent to the Director on UNECE but realization will be difficult. Until 2013 a temporary solution will be needed.

4. Administrative committee

The informal group had no specific discussions on this topic. Several questions have been raised to WP.29 for further guidance to DETA, including a question on the creation of an official administrative committee. (see agenda point 8)

5. Financing

For the try-out period of the system KBA offers to take care of the costs. However a solution is needed for the financing of the final DETA application which should be simple and fair. The simplest way

would be that the Contracting Parties to the 1958 Agreement pay a flat rate for all the costs; depending on the number of participants that rate might vary between \$1,000 and \$3,000 per year. For a fair system the costs should be shared by those who have benefits from the application.

Depending on the content of DETA, the representative of OICA sees certain benefits for his members and stated that a cost of \$3,000 per year would not be such a problem. He also pointed out that his members do not need the first page.

Some Contracting Parties are of the opinion that, until the IWVTA becomes possible, there are no benefits for the approval authorities.

The representative from GTB indicated that his members have learned to cope with the long approval marking during the last years and that a contribution to the financing would be problematic.

Japan needs more information to define its benefits and needs more time to reflect the new situation.

Australia sees the availability of more approval information, recalls and availability of information for the police as benefits from DETA; sharing the costs is acceptable.

IMMA regards the reduction of paperwork as a real benefit of the system, but could not yet take a position of the financing.

DETA might firstly focus on a database with type approvals for a limited number of regulations without the first page and without the unique identifier. The developments on the IWVTA would still justify the DETA system and a road map for the development of DETA in line with the road map for IWVTA should be made available for presenting it to WP.29 in March 2012.

Finally the chair requests all delegates to make an inventory of possible benefits of the system, where the questionnaire (that has been performed earlier by DETA) can be helpful.

6. Try-out.

The chairman emphasised that the benefits of DETA can only be judged when the possibilities of the DETA application and the available information are known. Starting a try-out, for which a manual shall be provided, would provide the necessary knowledge.

7. First page of documents and the Unique Identifier.

No detailed discussion took place on these items.

8. Questions to be drafted for the November session of WP.29 (DETA-13-03)

The informal group discussed a working document from the secretary with several points for getting guidance from WP.29 for further progress in DETA, like the mandatory use of DETA, a steering committee, implementing stages of DETA, financing, the server and the unique identifiers.

Concerning the mandatory use of the DETA application OICA stressed that this could cause problems without a sound solution for the financing of the system. The proposals that have been raised to the informal group on IWVTA as a first discussion document are given in document DETA-12-10.

The results of the discussions within DETA are given in document DETA-13-03 rev.1 which has been presented to WP.29 as informal document WP.29-155-31.

9. Next meetings:

Monday 12 March 2012, starting at 14:30 hours

Monday 25 June 2012, starting at 14:30 hours

Monday 12 November 2012, starting at 14:30 hours.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

| Names | Country / Organisation |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Stephen Spencer | Australia |
| Gilles Fougere | France |
| Frank Wrobel (chairman) | Germany/KBA |
| Geoff Draper | GTB |
| Ravinder Choda | IMMA |
| Ushio Ueno | JASIC/Japan |
| Harry Jongenelen (secretary) | Netherlands/RDW |
| Martin Kulms | OICA/VDA |
| Vladan Popovic | Serbia |
| Stefan Wenger | Switzerland/FEDRO |
| Romain Hubert | UNECE/Transport division |
| Tony Stenning | United Kingdom, VCA |