

DRAFT REPORT OF THE 3rd DETA MEETING
Held in Geneva, 27 June 2008

1. Adoption of the agenda

The draft agenda (DETA-03-01 Rev.1) was approved with the following amendment in its footnote:

"Note: For clarification reasons participants are requested to read the documents on the feasibility study (informal documents WP.29-138-08, WP.29-139-8 and WP.29-139-9)."

The complete list of delegations is given in Annex 1 to this report.

2. Adoption of the report of the second meeting (DETA-03-02)

The report was adopted without amendments.

3. Discussion about the 'Feasibility study for Data exchange' in the UNECE

The following general issues were raised by the Chairman:

- The DETA questionnaire prepared by the Polish delegate. The participants are kindly asked to provide their opinions on its suggested changes and send them to the Chairman not later than by the end of August 2008.
- GTB markings that mentioned in the working documents might appear as a major difficulty in dealing with lamps and other lighting equipment.

Mr. Matthes: The working Group "Photometry" that includes Germany Netherlands, Italy, United Kingdom: there is a complicated system for marking the light and lightning devices. In one of older GRE document (GRE/2004/24) the problem is presented in detail. Also, during the 145th session of WP.29, Mr. I. Yarnold (UK) has reminded about these issues. So there should be a manufacturer's trade name and the type-approval number in the database and the other data should be easily recovered from the database. Another document on the subject that was mentioned by Mr. Matthes is: WP.29-132-3.

4. Accession, proposed users and scope of DETA

OICA has expressed his concern on the accessibility of the database, so it should be restricted to the services that are involved in particular process. Another concern is the number of consecutive users. If the police would have the access, the number of 2.000 seems too small.

UK mentioned that the database should reduce the burden of the administrative services that are involved in the process. There will be some problems occur during next 5, 10 or 15 years, when no component markings are present on the vehicles (like, tyres, silencers,

catalytic converters and many others). *GTB* proposed to start with the Regulations Nos. 112, 113, 6, 7, etc. that are component approvals.

F expressed that *DETA* need a definition of classes/groups of users to differentiate what kind of information should be made available to the different classes/groups.

IMMA showed his sympathy for the Australian system, where the approvals are granted on much relaxed basis. Vehicles could be marked by a bar code or a *RID* system instead. But an agreement about the data-access is needed.

The lack of the *WVTA* approach in the 1958 agreement leads not to a to data consistency; a similar system in the *UN/ECE* regulations would be a solution. There could be a new Regulation dealing with a kind of *WVTA* in the '58 agreement (See also a prop made by Japan in the *WP 29* anniversary meeting).

Confidentiality of the data is another important issue that the manufacturers are concerned with. If there are potential thousands of users (incl. the police). There should be a multi-level architecture of the database: - top level (type-approval authorities, technical services etc.). Second level (vehicle manufacturers e.g. *OEM* .)

The police use of the data was discussed in *WP.29* as early as in 1980, when the *WVTA* idea was first presented and many problems were named by the Parties using different sets of Regulations. This could be a goal for the future to work with the system we have today.

The group should define the scope of data that would really be necessary to be included, otherwise the size of the database could grow to unmanageable size.

A restriction of data-access to only those parties who apply the specific regulation was discussed. A manufacturer (approval owner) should be able to extend the access to, say, subcontractors, or to other states, as for example India, Switzerland etc.

So the design of the data-base is the most important and to keep it as simple as possible. A noise certificate for example is useless for the police, because if they stop a vehicle on the road they can not verify if it passed the test, or not.

The feasibility study includes already some of these items. Some enforcement issues should be built-in into the system. Some of the delegates supported this step-by-step approach plus the periodical inspections system requirement so it combines technical periodic inspections into the system.

Some delegates feel that the *DATA* are meant for the type-approval authorities and technical services, but not for the police. In Belgium, non-original exhaust system are good examples, as the police can not say if it is used correctly for a certain kind of motorcycle or moped (2- vs. 4-stroke engines).

In case of the financing question it was tabled that users need to pay for the access.

5. *DETA* questionnaire (DQ) v_1 (DETA-03-03)

First, there was a doubt if this questionnaire format (xls) could be accepted by *UNECE*. The Secretary decided to ask *UNECE* Secretary (Mr. J. Ramos) for approval as an exception. He will be invited for the next meeting to advise also on certain legal issues.

A detailed discussion about the questionnaire resulted in the following ideas:

For any answers which need an additional comment the questionnaire should offer a line called ... - *please specify*...

Questions 3, 4, 5 – should be the desired aims and objectives.

Further questions should be about the computer system essentials and data credibility.

F asked for the difference of data quality and integrity? The DQ need to be more specific to receive more precise answers.

It was decided to finalise the questionnaire until end of August. The Secretary will circulate the finalised version. If there are no reservations the questionnaire is able to be circulated according the list WP 29 delegates.

GTB asked for the status of DETA. Which extent of data should be included in the database? What kind of minimum data do you expect to appear in the database?

The delegate informed that Type Approval information exchange data is the only purpose that has been agreed upon. Therefore two stages are needed:

1. Conversion of the type-approval data to be included in the database;
2. Ideas for further applications.

The DETA group shall prepare an Informal Document explaining the main purpose of the DATA, explaining the two-stage approach so WP.29 members could get a better idea of the DATA purpose.

The Secretary and the Chairman may present (if available) documents on the database purpose, scope and another one on its organisation in the next session.

Maybe it would be wise to approach other organisations that could be interested in the access of DETA, like the Police forces for example. Lux may prepare a suitable proposal.

Concluding this Agenda item, Mr. Wrobel thanked Mr. Skibinski for spending his time and effort on preparing the questionnaire and asked for his further assistance in modifying it.

6. Miscellaneous

There were no requests for other topics to be discussed.

7. Next steps

All delegates are requested to present informal papers with their ideas for DETA for the next meeting. Also, the participants are kindly asked to provide their opinions on the suggested changes to the questionnaire prepared by the Polish delegate and send them to the Chairman not later than by the end of August 2008.

8. Next meeting

The following topics will be tabled:

1. Adoption of the Agenda.
2. Adoption of the report of the 3rd meeting of DETA.
3. Finalisation of the questionnaire.
4. Other ideas from the participants.
5. Discussion about the 'Feasibility study for Data exchange' in the UNECE.
6. Accession, proposed users and scope of DETA.
7. Miscellaneous.
8. Next steps.
9. Next meeting. The next meeting will be held on Friday 14 November 2008 from 9:30 to 12:30.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Names	Country / Organisation
Iliyana Atanasova	Bulgaria / MEE
Dieter Matthes	GTB
Peter Robertson	Australia / DITRDLG
Jean Marie Swealus	Belgium / SPF Mobility & Transport
Christophe Perruchet	France / UTAC
Frank Wrobel (chairman)	Germany / KBA
Martin Kulms	OICA / VDA
János Deak	Hungary / KTI
Claude Liesch	Luxembourg
Jerzy W. Kownacki (secretary)	Poland / ITS
Filip Skibinski	Poland / ITS
Heinz Berger	Switzerland / FERODO
Anatolii Stolyarov	Ukraine / Ministry of Transport
Tony Stenning	United Kingdom / VCA
Vladan Popović	Serbia / Institute for Standards of Serbia
Nick Rogers	IMMA
Dilip Chenoy	SIAM / IMMA
Romain Hubert	UNECE

LIST OF WORKING DOCUMENTS

Number	Title	Document by	Meeting
DETA-01-1	Proposal for the Agenda for the 1 st meeting of the Informal Group „DETA”	chairman	16-11-2007
DETA-01-2	Proposal for the Terms of Reference	chairman	16-11-2007
DETA-01-3	Chronology of DETA	chairman	16-11-2007
WP.29-138-9	Questionnaire	Secretary UNECE	16-11-2007
WP.29-139-8	DETA feasibility study	T-systems	16-11-2007
WP.29-139-9	DETA feasibility study (presentation)	T-systems	16-11-2007
WP.29-141-24	Experiences in the EU with ETAES	chairman	16-11-2007
DETA-02-01	Proposal for the agenda for the 2 nd meeting of the Informal Group “DETA”	Chairman/ secretary	14-03-2008
DETA-02-02	Draft report of the 1 st DETA meeting	secretary	14-03-2008
DETA-02-02 Rev.1	Report of the 1 st DETA meeting	secretary	14-03-2008
DETA-02-03	Draft terms of reference and rules of procedure	Chairman/ secretary	14-03-2008
DETA-02-03 Rev.1	Terms of reference and rules of procedure	Chairman/ secretary	14-03-2008
DETA-03-01	Proposal for the agenda for the 3 rd meeting of the Informal Group “DETA”	Chairman/ secretary	27-06-2008
DETA-03-01 Rev.1	Proposal for the agenda for the 3 rd meeting of the Informal Group “DETA”	Chairman/ secretary	27-06-2008
DETA-03-02	Draft report of the 2 nd DETA meeting	secretary	27-06-2008
DETA-04-01	Proposal for the agenda for the 4 th meeting of the Informal Group “DETA”	Chairman/ secretary	14-11-2008
DETA-04-02	Draft report of the 4 th DETA meeting	secretary	14-11-2008