## **UN/ECE GRB**

R41WG

DEG conclusions 8 August 2007

## General - 1

In February 2007, GRB agreed:

That ISO362-2 is practical and repeatable

- To increase the number of MCs in the database to 60 ( from an original sample size of 33)
- That the database is diverse and broad enough and that R41WG/DEG should prepare the data for a limits discussion (standstill limits and reduction effects; costefficiency modelling ideas; consequences for resources (equipment, time))
- That R41WG/DEG should collect more ASEP data (to define ASEP limit line and the PMR exclusion cut-off value)

## General - 2

- From April to July 2007, ASEP tests were conducted by Japan & IMMA
- Meeting on 2 July 2007 to check data collection process
- 4/DEG meeting on 7/8 August to analyse data and prepare material for R41WG and GRB in September 2007

## R41 – ISO correlation





## Standstill limit values

Standstill limit values are those which give the same degree of severity as the R41 limit values taking into account the change in the test procedure

These values were calculated from the Lurban noise levels that correspond to the highest valid R41 noise test result (keeping any difference between the R41 result and its limit value)



# ECE R41-03 vs ISO 362-2



## ECE R41-03 vs ISO 362-2



#### Standstill limit values

|             |         | ECE R41      |            | ISO 362-2     |            | Vehicles<br>excluded (%)                       |  |
|-------------|---------|--------------|------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
|             |         | Class        | Limit (dB) | Class         | Limit (dB) |  |  |
|             | Class 1 | -80cc        | 75         | < 25<br>kW/t  | 74         | 0 (but reduced<br>margin relative<br>to limit) |  |
| No. and Sol | Class 2 | 80-<br>175cc | 77         | 25-50<br>kW/t | 75         | 13   |  |
|             | Class 3 | 175cc-       | 80         | > 50<br>kW/t  | 78         | 16   |  |

#### **Cost-effectiveness analysis**

 Qualitative benefits exist (more robust test procedure for OE and RESS, excluding number of existing models at standstill limits)

Most existing ambient noise prediction models do not cover MCs; the limited number of models that do cover MCs show that Leq contribution from MCs is small except for cities with high MC population

 Reliable quantitative cost-effectiveness analysis of reduction scenarios beyond standstill limits not possible although costs could be assessed

#### **Consequences for resources**

- Potentially more lengthy/costly but offset by:
  - Duration of noise tests small compared to overall noise test session (eg. travel to and from test site; test site set-up; establishing entry speed; ...)
  - Increasing experience for testers and preparatory deskwork
  - Reducing # test runs from 4 to 3
- Higher equipment specifications needed but already commonplace

# ASEP campaign results

## General

Tests to the latest ASEP test protocol were done by Japan and IMMA
25 MCs were used (61 to 385 kW/t)
ASEP data was analysed by linear regression to establish the noise increase/decrease slopes

#### **ASEP limit line**



#### Exclusion of MCs with PMR below 130 kW/t

ASEP\_1



#### Exclusion of CVT MCs



# Enforcement testing

**Enforcement options** At international level: Type Approval & Conformity of Production At national level: Stationary test with reference value Drive-by acceleration test with reference value (DEG awaits BASt research conclusions)



|              |               | Base 1        | ΓA test       |  | ASEP test<br>(R41WG to<br>discuss its use)  | Road<br>enforcer<br>nati<br>implen<br>legisl | dside<br>ment (via<br>onal<br>nenting<br>ation)           | Tampering   |  |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| ECE R41      |               | ISO 362-2     |               | Vehicles<br>excluded<br>(%)                          | +5dB/1000rpm<br>-0.95dB/1000rpm<br>2dB tolerance  | Stationa<br>ry                               | Drive-by  | Prohibition of<br>easily<br>removable<br>parts                    |  |
| Class        | Limit<br>(dB) | Class         | Limit<br>(dB) |  | PMR>130 kW/t<br>+<br>exemption of CVT   | See ECE<br>R41                               | [Lowest<br>WOT<br>gear<br>data as<br>referenc<br>e value] | Provisions for<br>multi-mode<br>manually<br>adjustable<br>systems |  |
| -80cc        | 75            | < 25<br>kW/t  | 74            | 0 (but<br>reduced<br>margin<br>relative to<br>limit) |   |  | [Entry<br>speed<br>estimate<br>d on<br>speedom<br>eter]   | Tightening the<br>type approval<br>of RESS                        |  |
| 80-<br>175cc | 77            | 25-50<br>kW/t | 75            | 13   | Other issues:<br>- 3 runs instead of 4<br>- family concept for class boundaries (lowest PMR version to<br>be tested with corresponding lower class limit) |  |   |   |  |
| 175cc<br>-   | 80            | > 50<br>kW/t  | 78            | 16   |   |  |   |   |  |