# **Comments for the draft\* of ASEP mesurement and analysis method**

\* Issued by the Netherlands on 26-9-2006

# JASIC GRB informal Meeting No. 5 8-10 November 2006

## 1. Sound slope versus engine speed

2. Comparison of four data processing

**3. Simplification of test procedure** 

4. Conclusion

# 1. Sound slope versus engine speed 'b'

Vehicle	Category	Eng <b>i</b> ne type	PM R	Maximum power			Transm ission	<b>b'</b>
			k₩/t	kW	/	rpm		dB/1000rpm
Vehicle-A	M 1	Gasoline	77	114	/	6000	A/T	5.7
Vehicle-B	M 1	Gasoline	63	72	/	5600	C V T	5.5
Vehicle-C	M 1	Gasoline	79	107	/	6400	СVТ	5.4
Vehicle-D	M 1	Gasoline	58	61	/	6000	M/T	5.4
Vehicle-E	M 1	Gasoline	114	147	/	7800	M /T	4.5
Vehicle-F	N 1	Diesel	39	67	/	4000	M /T	5.9

### The sound slope 'b' (without tyre noise)



Both sound level of fixed gear and D range are on the same regression. The slope 'b' are lager than 5.

### The sound slope 'b' (without tyre noise)



# 2. Comparison of the data processing

 Vehicle speed base (German/French proposal) modeling tyre noise and engine noise

- Vehicle speed \* acceleration
- Engine speed base

 Engine speed base (The Netherlands proposal) considering gear positions

### Data processing of the Netherlands proposal (Vehicle-B: CVT vehicle)



## **Data processing** (AT vehicle A; fixed gear )



# Data processing (AT vehicle A; D range)



# **Data processing (CVT vehicle B; fixed gear )**



## **Data processing (CVT vehicle B; D-range)**



#### 3. Simplification of test procedure



#### **Number of Test Runs**



When four measurements shall be carried out at n\_ref to the target engine speed, every 250rpm measurements have to be done.

It seems that one or two runs are enough to cover to the target engine speed.

## **Time needed for tests**

Test m ethod			M anualm ode					D-range				
		Running conditions	Num ber of m easured data					Num ber of m easured data				
			Gearposition [A}	M easurem ent / conditon [B}	Conditio ns [C }	Total [D=A*B*C]	Tine [hours]	Gear position [A }	M easurem ent / conditon [B}	Conditio ns [C }	Total [D=A*B*C]	Tine [hours]
Annex3		acceleration	2 Gear(i, i+1)	4	1	8		1 D-range	4	1	4	
		Constant speed	2 Gear(j, i+1)	4	1	8		1 D-range	4	1	4	
		Total				16	1.3				8	0.7
G e /F		acceleration	2 Gear(&i-1)	1	8	16		1 D-range	1	8	8	
	Germany /France	Coasting	1 N	1	4	4		1 N	1	4	4	
	proposar	Total				20	1.7				12	1.0
	[v*Acc] method	1	2	1	0	10	1.0	1	1	0	0	0.7
ASEP -	Engine speed base	acceleration	Gear(&i-1)	1	ð	10	1.0	D-range	1	ð	8	0.7
N	Netherlands proposal	acceleration	2 Gear(&i-1)	1	8	16		1 D-range	1	8	8	
		acceleration (Lwot,j(nBB',iref))	1 Geari-1	4 (?)	1	4						
		Total				20	1.7				8	0.7

We should still consider time reduction for measurements, but also should consider measurement uncertainty. The data which are measured by one run per one condition have measurement errors.

# **Summary of comparison of four data processing**

	Test for CVT with D-range	Tin e for m easurem ent	Comments
German/French proposal	$\bigtriangleup$	×	Cannot evaluate with a common reference line in case of CVT vehicle Time needed for test is more than that for Annex3 test
V *a	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangleup$	Cannot evaluate with a common reference line in case of CVT vehicle
Engine speed base	0	$\bigtriangleup$	
Netherhnd proposal	0	(🛆)	Som e vehicles cannot run with specified condition.

# 4. Conclusion

### Data processing

The data processing by engine speed base is better for evaluation of ASEP. The Definition of 'D' in the Netherlands proposal should be revised.

#### • Outstanding issues

- Need more investigation for sound slope versus engine speed
- Reduce time for measurements
  - Reduce number of runs
  - no test below n\_ref
  - one gear test, if it covers up to target engine speed
- Consider measurement uncertainty

one run per one condition?

## Vehicle speed at BB

80km/h at BB is not available on some test sites. We recommend that the vehicle speed which is possible on a test site is allowed, if 80km/h is not available.