To: Aarhus compliance, Fiona Marshall

From: Jan Haverkamp <email address redacted>

Date: 10/03/2014 03:50PM

Cc: kovacechova, Pavol Siroky, Tina Rametsteiner, Thomas Alge, Patricia Lorenz[email addresses

redacted]

Subject: Re: ACCC 89 - the answer from UJD on our request to give access to information in

Bratislava

Dear members of the Compliance Committee,

Please, find in the attachment the translation of the two documents I sent you during the

hearing session to prove on request of  ${\tt Mr.}$  Jendroska that Greenpeace formally asked to have

access to the documentation at the offices of UJD in Bratislava instead of in Mochovce and the rejection by UJD.

As you can see from the latter, our request was rejected on purely formal grounds, in spite of

the fact that our Energy Campaigner Pavol Siroky has been over the years in contact with  $\mathtt{UJD}$ 

and that UJD was aware of the fact that he was working for Greenpeace. In case of doubt they

could, of course, have made one telephone call to get confirmation - this would have saved

them the half hour or more of writing these rejection paragraphs. None of my submissions in

earlier procedures, nor any made by Mr. Siroky's predecessor has ever been rejected on these grounds.

I have to rectify one issue I mentioned during the hearing. I had asked  $\operatorname{Mr}$ . Siroky also to ask

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{UJD}}$  also for access to a digital copy of the documentation, but he forgot doing that and  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$  was

not aware of that. This means that UJD also not formally refused us access to digital copies of

the information.

Nevertheless, given the amount of material, access to digital copies (downloadable from the

internet or by email) is during EIA procedures the normal way of giving access to information.

And it would have been a practical way to give access to the public for those that were not able

to make the travel to Mochovce.

Also, it is recognisable in the black-outing and white-outing of what UJD considered "sensitive"  $\,$ 

information, that this has been done in an electronic way, which means that either the

developer or UJD had electronic copies of the material at its disposal.

With sincere apologies for the mistake in my remarks and looking forward to your questions as formulated during the hearing,

with greetings,

```
| Jan Haverkamp | Greenpeace expert consultant | nuclear energy and energy policy | mobile PL: +48 534 236 502 | mobile CZ: +420 603 569 243 | mobile NL: +31 621 334 619 | e-mail: [email address redacted] | GREENPEACE | http://www.greenpeace.org |
```

\*You can't sink a rainbow\*

The following section of this message contains a file attachment prepared for transmission using the Internet MIME message format. If you are using Pegasus Mail, or any other MIME-compliant system, you should be able to save it or view it from within your mailer. If you cannot, please ask your system administrator for assistance.

---- File information -----

File: 20131007-EN VERSION-UJD-ZiadostOspristDokumVBA\_GPSK.doc

Date: 3 Oct 2014, 12:07

Size: 13824 bytes.

Type: Unknown

The following section of this message contains a file attachment prepared for transmission using the Internet MIME message format. If you are using Pegasus Mail, or any other MIME-compliant system, you should be able to save it or view it from within your mailer. If you cannot, please ask your system administrator for assistance.

---- File information -----

File: 20131018-EN-Odpoved-UJD.doc

Date: 3 Oct 2014, 15:47

Size: 23040 bytes.

Type: Unknown