

## **Official request to withdraw document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2019/93 from the WP29 179<sup>th</sup> session agenda**

### **Submitted by the expert from the International Organization of Motor Vehicle Manufacturers**

The text reproduced below was prepared by the expert from the International Organization of Motor Vehicle Manufacturers (OICA) to officially request GRSG to withdraw the document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2019/93 from the item 4.7.1. of the provisional agenda of the 179<sup>th</sup> session of WP29 (12-14 November 2019).

## **I. Proposal**

*Document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1148, item 4.7.1., delete*

Re-number the following paragraphs accordingly

## **II. Justification**

1. The current text of UN R 35 is unclear about the left reference of dimensions G and J; the provisions only refer to: "to the left". Therefore, Industry faced discrepancies in the application of the text by several Technical Services, whose some referred to the footrest in lieu of the left side wall. The OICA original idea per document GRSG-115-17 was
  - a. To let the manufacturer declare the existence of a footrest as the footrest is currently ignored by the regulation
  - b. To measure the minimum distance on the plane "P".
2. During the drafting process at GRSG this spatial dimension changed to a horizontal dimension of the same value, which is challenging for some manufacturers since this provision is quite dimensioning for the manufacturers because the footwell is based on the "body in white" of the vehicle i.e. the platform. This platform can indeed be the basis for a whole line-up for the manufacturers.
3. OICA requests GRSG their consent to withdraw the document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2019/93 from the WP29 provisional agenda, and to resume consideration of this issue at the 118<sup>th</sup> session of GRSG (March 2019).

